

A Short Tale of an Effective Field Theory for Nucleons

Johannes Kirscher

The George Washington University, USA

Effective Field Theory (EFT):

- most general Lagrangian with only relevant degrees of freedom, obeying certain symmetries;
here: low energy \Rightarrow Nucleons only, Galilei invariance;

Effective Field Theory (EFT):

- most general Lagrangian with only relevant degrees of freedom, obeying certain symmetries;
here: low energy \Rightarrow Nucleons only, Galilei invariance;
- high-momentum/short-distance modes embedded in infinite number of local interaction coefficients;
here: C_i

Effective Field Theory (EFT):

- most general Lagrangian with only relevant degrees of freedom, obeying certain symmetries;
here: low energy \Rightarrow Nucleons only, Galilei invariance;
- high-momentum/short-distance modes embedded in infinite number of local interaction coefficients;
here: C_i
- method only useful with organization schema, i.e. *power counting*;
here: effective range expansion of the T-matrix;

Wilsonian Effective Theory:

generating functional of a theory valid up to λ ($\rightarrow \infty$):

$$Z = \int [D\phi]_\lambda e^{- \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi)}$$

Wilsonian Effective Theory:

generating functional of a theory valid up to λ ($\rightarrow \infty$):

$$Z = \int [D\phi]_\lambda e^{- \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi)}$$

\Downarrow integration over momentum shell $b\lambda < |k| < \lambda$; $b < 1$

Wilsonian Effective Theory:

generating functional of a theory valid up to λ ($\rightarrow \infty$):

$$Z = \int [D\phi]_\lambda e^{- \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi)}$$

\Downarrow integration over momentum shell $b\lambda < |k| < \lambda$; $b < 1$

$$Z = \int [D\phi]_{b\lambda} e^{- \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{eff}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi)}$$

with $\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L} + (\text{higher order terms in } [\phi, \partial_\mu \phi]);$

Wilsonian Effective Theory:

generating functional of a theory valid up to λ ($\rightarrow \infty$):

$$Z = \int [D\phi]_\lambda e^{- \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi)}$$

\Downarrow integration over momentum shell $b\lambda < |k| < \lambda$; $b < 1$

$$Z = \int [D\phi]_{b\lambda} e^{- \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{eff}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi)}$$

with $\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L} + (\text{higher order terms in } [\phi, \partial_\mu \phi]);$

important: loop integrals cut off at $b\lambda$;

EFT(\not{p}) for the Nucleon-Nucleon System:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & \overline{N} \left(i\partial_0 + \frac{1}{2m_N} \vec{\nabla}^2 + (\text{relativistic corrections}) \right) N \\ & + C_s \overline{N} N \overline{N} N + C_t (\overline{N} \vec{\sigma} N) \cdot (\overline{N} \vec{\sigma} N) \\ & + C_2 (\overline{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \cdot (\overline{\nabla} \overline{N} N) + C'_2 (\overline{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \cdot (\overline{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \\ & + \dots\end{aligned}$$

EFT(\not{p}) for the Nucleon-Nucleon System:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & \overline{N} \left(i\partial_0 + \frac{1}{2m_N} \vec{\nabla}^2 + (\text{relativistic corrections}) \right) N \\ & + C_s \overline{N} N \overline{N} N + C_t (\overline{N} \vec{\sigma} N) \cdot (\overline{N} \vec{\sigma} N) \\ & + C_2 (\overline{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \cdot (\overline{\nabla} \overline{N} N) + C'_2 (\overline{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \cdot (\overline{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \\ & + \dots\end{aligned}$$

size estimate of a graph:

$$\text{vertex : } Q^n \quad \text{loop : } \frac{mQ}{4\pi}$$

n = number of derivatives at the vertex;

Q = low momentum scale;

EFT(\not{p}) for the Nucleon-Nucleon System:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & \overline{N} \left(i\partial_0 + \frac{1}{2m_N} \vec{\nabla}^2 + (\text{relativistic corrections}) \right) N \\ & + C_s \overline{N} N \overline{N} N + C_t (\overline{N} \vec{\sigma} N) \cdot (\overline{N} \vec{\sigma} N) \\ & + C_2 (\overline{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \cdot (\overline{\nabla} \overline{N} N) + C'_2 (\overline{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \cdot (\overline{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \\ & + \dots\end{aligned}$$

size estimate of a graph:

$$\text{vertex : } Q^n \quad \text{loop : } \frac{mQ}{4\pi}$$

n = number of derivatives at the vertex;

Q = low momentum scale;

important: loop integrals and C_i coefficients depend on λ ;

T-matrix as an Example:

$$T_{ERE}(k) = \frac{4\pi}{M} \left(-a + ika^2 + \left(\frac{a^2 r_0}{2} + a^3 \right) k^3 + \dots \right)$$

k : c.m. momentum a : scattering length r_0 : effective range

T-matrix as an Example:

$$T_{ERE}(k) = \frac{4\pi}{M} \left(-a + ika^2 + \left(\frac{a^2 r_0}{2} + a^3 \right) k^3 + \dots \right)$$

k : c.m. momentum a : scattering length r_0 : effective range

$$\begin{aligned} T_{EFT}(k) &= -C_0^{(R)} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{m C_0^{(R)}}{4\pi} ik \right) + \left(\frac{m C_0^{(R)}}{4\pi} ik \right)^2 + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \frac{C_2^{(R)}}{C_0^{(R)}} k^2 \left[1 - 2 \left(\frac{m C_0^{(R)}}{4\pi} ik \right) \right] + 2 \frac{C_2^{(R)}}{C_0^{(R)}} k^2 \vec{p}' \cdot \vec{p} + \dots \right\} \end{aligned}$$

important: if the two expansions (loop \rightarrow , derivative \downarrow) can be treated perturbatively depends on the size of the low energy coefficients $C_{2n}^{(R)}$;

LEC Scaling Behavior:

loop expansion parameter: $c \propto m C_0^{(R)} k$

mass dimension: $[C_0] = -2$

assumption: one mass scale $M \sim m$

$$C_0^{(R)} \sim \frac{4\pi}{mM} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \sim \frac{k}{M} \quad k \ll M$$

LEC Scaling Behavior:

loop expansion parameter: $c \propto m C_0^{(R)} k$

mass dimension: $[C_0] = -2$

assumption: one mass scale $M \sim m$

$$C_0^{(R)} \sim \frac{4\pi}{mM} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \sim \frac{k}{M} \quad k \ll M$$

\Rightarrow perturbative treatment possible!

LEC Scaling Behavior:

loop expansion parameter: $c \propto m C_0^{(R)} k$

mass dimension: $[C_0] = -2$

assumption: one mass scale $M \sim m$

$$C_0^{(R)} \sim \frac{4\pi}{mM} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \sim \frac{k}{M} \quad k \ll M$$

\Rightarrow perturbative treatment possible!

problem in the NN case: \exists second scale m_π ; perturbative treatment only possible if $k \ll m_\pi$, otherwise resummation of diagrams necessary;

LEC Scaling Behavior:

loop expansion parameter: $c \propto m C_0^{(R)} k$

mass dimension: $[C_0] = -2$

assumption: one mass scale $M \sim m$

$$C_0^{(R)} \sim \frac{4\pi}{mM} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \sim \frac{k}{M} \quad k \ll M$$

\Rightarrow perturbative treatment possible!

problem in the NN case: \exists second scale m_π ; perturbative treatment only possible if $k \ll m_\pi$, otherwise resummation of diagrams necessary;

essence: to establish a correct power counting (observables independent of cutoff up to a certain order) determine size of the various interactions.

Fit/Calculation of the Strength Parameters:

potential: $V \equiv (\sum \text{irreducible diagrams})$

Fit/Calculation of the Strength Parameters:

potential: $V \equiv (\sum \text{irreducible diagrams})$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= C_s \bar{N} N \bar{N} N + C_t (\bar{N} \vec{\sigma} N) \cdot (\bar{N} \vec{\sigma} N) \\ &\quad + C_2 (\bar{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \cdot (\bar{\nabla} \bar{N} N) + C'_2 (\bar{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \cdot (\bar{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \\ \Rightarrow V(\vec{q}, \vec{k}) &= C_s + C_t \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 + \tilde{C}_2 \vec{q}^2 + \hat{C}_2 \vec{k}^2 \\ \vec{q} &= \vec{p} - \vec{p}' \quad \vec{k} = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{p} + \vec{p}')\end{aligned}$$

Fit/Calculation of the Strength Parameters:

potential: $V \equiv (\sum \text{irreducible diagrams})$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= C_s \bar{N} N \bar{N} N + C_t (\bar{N} \vec{\sigma} N) \cdot (\bar{N} \vec{\sigma} N) \\ &\quad + C_2 (\bar{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \cdot (\bar{\nabla} \bar{N} N) + C'_2 (\bar{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \cdot (\bar{N} \vec{\nabla} N) \\ \Rightarrow V(\vec{q}, \vec{k}) &= C_s + C_t \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 + \tilde{C}_2 \vec{q}^2 + \hat{C}_2 \vec{k}^2 \\ \vec{q} &= \vec{p} - \vec{p}' \quad \vec{k} = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{p} + \vec{p}')\end{aligned}$$

but: for the variational method used to solve the Schrödinger equation
the potential has to be transformed into coordinate space!

Potential Operators:

- momentum space:

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(2)}(\vec{q}, \vec{k}) = & C_1 \vec{q}^2 + C_2 \vec{k}^2 + \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 \left(C_3 \vec{q}^2 + C_4 \vec{k}^2 \right) \\ & + iC_5 \frac{\vec{\sigma}_1 + \vec{\sigma}_2}{2} \cdot \vec{q} \times \vec{k} + C_6 \vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1 \vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 + C_7 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 \end{aligned}$$

Potential Operators:

- momentum space:

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(2)}(\vec{q}, \vec{k}) = & C_1 \vec{q}^2 + C_2 \vec{k}^2 + \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 \left(C_3 \vec{q}^2 + C_4 \vec{k}^2 \right) \\ & + iC_5 \frac{\vec{\sigma}_1 + \vec{\sigma}_2}{2} \cdot \vec{q} \times \vec{k} + C_6 \vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1 \vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 + C_7 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 \end{aligned}$$

- coordinate space:

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(2)}(\vec{r}) = & -C_1 I_0 e_1 - C_2 I_0 \vec{\nabla}^2 - \frac{1}{4} C_2 I_0 e_1 + C_2 I_0 \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} - C_3 I_0 e_1 \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 \\ & + \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 \left(-C_4 I_0 \vec{\nabla}^2 - \frac{1}{4} C_4 I_0 e_1 + C_4 I_0 \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right) + C_5 I_0 \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S} \\ & + C_6 I_0 \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 - C_6 I_0 \frac{\Lambda^4}{4} \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{r} \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{r} \\ & + C_7 I_0 \frac{\Lambda^2}{4} \left(\vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{r} \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla} + \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{r} \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 - \frac{\Lambda^2}{4} \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{r} \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{r} \right) \\ & - C_7 I_0 \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{\nabla} \end{aligned}$$

Refined Resonating Group Modell:

$$\hat{H}_N = \sum_i^N \hat{T}_i + \hat{V}(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N)$$

↓ center of mass motion 'removed'

$$\tilde{H}_N = \hat{H}_{F1} + \hat{H}_{F2} + \hat{T}_r + \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{R} + \left(\hat{V}_{F1 \leftrightarrow F2} - \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{R} \right)$$

Refined Resonating Group Modell:

$$\hat{H}_N = \sum_i^N \hat{T}_i + \hat{V}(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N)$$

↓ center of mass motion 'removed'

$$\tilde{H}_N = \hat{H}_{F1} + \hat{H}_{F2} + \hat{T}_r + \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{R} + \left(\hat{V}_{F1 \leftrightarrow F2} - \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{R} \right)$$

wave function Ansatz:

$$\psi_l = \mathcal{A} \sum_k^{n_k} \phi_{r,k}^{(l)} \Phi_{ch,k}$$

\mathcal{A} : anti symmetrizer;

l : determines boundary conditions in the scattering problem;

Refined Resonating Group Modell:

relative motion wave function used for a scattering calculation:

$$\phi_{r,k}^{(l)} = \delta_{lk} F_k(R) + a_{lk} \tilde{G}(R) + \sum_m b_{lkm} \chi_{km}(R)$$

Refined Resonating Group Modell:

relative motion wave function used for a scattering calculation:

$$\phi_{r,k}^{(l)} = \delta_{lk} F_k(R) + a_{lk} \tilde{G}(R) + \sum_m b_{lkm} \chi_{km}(R)$$

channel wave function:

$$\Phi_{ch,k} = \left[\frac{Y_l(R)}{R} \otimes \left[\theta_1^{j_1} \otimes \theta_2^{j_2} \right]^{S_c} \right]^J$$

channel defined by j_1, j_2, S_c, l, J and the fragmentation; fragment wave function:

$$\theta^j = \sum_{\substack{\{l_I\}, S \\ \{m\}}} [C_m^{l_I l_S} \Omega_m^{l_I}(\vec{\rho}) \Xi^{S,T}]^J$$