Simulations of neutron star mergers: Status and prospects

David Radice^{1,2}



¹ Research Associate, Princeton University
² Taplin Member, Institute for Advanced Study

First multi-messenger observations of a neutron star merger and its implications for nuclear physics — INT 18-72R — March 13, 2018

NS merger: roadmap



Binary NS inspiral



Tidal effects in NS mergers



 $Q_{ij} = -\Lambda_2 \mathcal{E}_{ij}$

- Part of the orbital energy goes into tidal deformation
- Accelerated inspiral
- Imprinted on the gravitational waves
- Constrains dimensionless tidal parameter

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_2 = \frac{\Lambda_2}{M^5} \sim \frac{R^5}{M^5}$$

Inspiral modeling



Constraints from GW170817



$$\frac{R^5}{\bar{M}^5} \sim \tilde{\Lambda} = \frac{16}{13} \left[\frac{(M_A + 12M_B)M_A^4 \Lambda_2^{(A)}}{(M_A + M_B)^5} + (A \leftrightarrow B) \right] \le 800$$

From LIGO/Virgo collaboration, PRL 119, 161101 (2017)

Prompt-BH formation



Simulation results



 $(1.44 + 1.39) M_{\odot} - B1913 + 13$

DR, Perego, Zappa, ApJL 852:L29 (2018)

Simulation results



 $(1.44 + 1.39) M_{\odot} - B1913 + 13$

DR, Perego, Zappa, ApJL 852:L29 (2018)

EOS constraints (I)



From Bauswein, Just+ (2017)

EOS constraints (II)



See also Bauswein+ 2017 ApJL 850:L34

DR, Perego, Zappa, ApJL 852:L29 (2018)

Hypermassive NSs



GW-driven phase



Bernuzzi, **DR**+, PRD 94: 024023 (2016)

Postmerger peak frequency



- Post-merger signal has a characteristic peak frequency
- f_{peak} correlates with the NS radius
- Small statistical uncertainty, systematics not understood yet

See also Takami+ 2014; Rezzolla & Takami 2016; Dietrich+ 2016; Bose+ 2017

Extreme-density physics



- Neutron stars in binaries have masses clustered around ~1.35 M⊙
- Phase transition at high-density not constrained by the inspiral
- Can we probe the equation of state of nuclear matter at the highest densities?
- Yes, with the postmerger signal

See also Bauswein+ 2011, 2013, 2015, Read+ 2013, Hotokezaka+ 2013, Takami+ 2014, Bernuzzi+ 2015, Clark+ 2014, 2016, Bose+ 2017, Chatziioannou 2017, ...

DR, Bernuzzi, Del Pozzo+, ApJL 842:L10 (2017)

Gravitational waveform



DR, Bernuzzi, Del Pozzo+, ApJL 842:L10 (2017)

End of GW-driven phase



Zappa, Bernuzzi, **DR+**, PRL in press (2018)

Viscous evolution to collapse



Angular momentum transport



See also: Shibata & Kiuchi 2017; Kiuchi, Kyotoku+ 2017

Angular momentum transport



See also: Shibata & Kiuchi 2017; Kiuchi, Kyotoku+ 2017

Angular momentum transport



See also: Shibata & Kiuchi 2017; Kiuchi, Kyotoku+ 2017

Gravitational waves



See also: Shibata & Kiuchi 2017; Kiuchi, Kyotoku+ 2017

Gravitational waves



See also: Shibata & Kiuchi 2017; Kiuchi, Kyotoku+ 2017

Viscous evolution to equilibrium



Long-lived remnants



DR, Perego, Bernuzzi, Zhang, in prep.

Viscous evolution



See also Fujibayashi, Kiuchi+ (2017)

DR, Perego, Bernuzzi, Zhang, in prep.

Excess gravitational mass



DR, Perego, Bernuzzi, Zhang, in prep.

Stable or unstable?



From Kaplan, Ott, O'Connor+ (2014)

Stable or unstable?



From Kaplan, Ott, O'Connor+ (2014)

The remnant of GW170817



From Margalit & Metzger 2017

Long-lived SMNS unlikely —> limit on the maximum NS mass

See also Rezzolla+, Shibata+, Ruiz+ (2017)

The origin of the elements



Are neutron star mergers the site of the r-process?

Ejection mechanisms



Fernandez+2014; Metzger+2014; Perego+2014; Martin+2015; Sekiguchi+2015,2016; Foucart+2016; Siegel+2017

DR, Galeazzi+ MRAS 460:3255 (2016)

Dynamic ejecta: role of neutrinos



Perego, DR, Bernuzzi, ApJL:850 L37

Dynamic ejecta: role of neutrinos



Perego, DR, Bernuzzi, ApJL:850 L37

Conclusions

- Numerical relativity is essential in the age of multimessenger astronomy
- Do we really understand the outcome of NS mergers?
- Neutrinos play a crucial role for nucleosynthesis and EM counterparts

Thank you!