



Three-particle dynamics in a finite volume

Akaki Rusetsky, University of Bonn

In collaboration with M. Döring, H.-W. Hammer, M. Mai, J.-Y. Pang, F. Romero-López, C. Urbach and J. Wu

arXiv:1706.07700, arXiv:1707.02176 + ongoing work

INT Workshop "Multi-Hadron Systems from Lattice QCD," February 6, 2018, Seattle





Plan

- Introduction
- Formalism:
 - Non-relativistic EFT and dimer picture
 - Independence from the off-shell effects
 - Quantization condition
- Comparison with other approaches
- Symmetries of the box and reduction of the quantization condition
- The finite volume spectrum: bound and scattering states
- The shift of the ground state
- Conclusions, outlook

Extracting three-particle observables from the lattice

K. Polejaeva and AR, EPJA 48 (2012) 67 Finite volume energy levels determined solely by the *S*-matrix

M. Hansen and S. Sharpe, PRD 90 (2014) 116003; PRD 92 (2015) 114509 Quantization condition

R. Briceno and Z. Davoudi, PRD 87 (2013) 094507 Dimer formalism, quantization condition

P. Guo, PRD 95 (2017) 054508 Quantization condition in the 1+1-dimensional case

S. Kreuzer and H.-W. Hammer, PLB 694 (2011) 424; EPJA 43 (2010) 229; PLB 673 (2009) 260; S. Kreuzer and H. W. Grießhammer, EPJA 48 (2012) 93 Dimer formalism, numerical solution

M. Mai and M. Döring, EPJA 53 (2017) 240 Three-body unitarity + analyticity (similar in spirit to the present approach)

Alternative approach: HAL QCD

 \hookrightarrow Is the finite-volume spectrum determined solely by the three-body *S*-matrix elements in the infinite volume?

The strategy

Quantization condition very complicated, involves "unconventional" scattering amplitudes...

- → Do not try to extract the amplitudes directly from data, in analogy to Lüscher's formula!
- Extract low-energy couplings, get amplitudes by solving scattering equations in the infinite volume!
 - NREFT: relativistic kinematics will be included later
 - Effective couplings: only exponentially suppressed effects at large volumes!
 - Is the information about the S-matrix sufficient to uniquely determine the spectrum? Do the off-shell couplings, which are not fixed from matching to the S-matrix, contribute to the finite-volume energies?

NREFT: dimer picture in the two-particle sector

$$\mathcal{L} = \psi^{\dagger} \left(i \partial_0 - \frac{
abla^2}{2m}
ight) \psi + \mathcal{L}_2$$

 $\mathcal{L}_2 = -\frac{C_0}{2} \, \psi^{\dagger} \psi^{\dagger} \psi \psi - \frac{C_2}{4} \left(\psi^{\dagger}
abla^2 \psi^{\dagger} \psi \psi + \text{h.c.}
ight) + \cdots$

 C_0, C_2, \dots matched to $p \cot \delta(p) = -\frac{1}{a} + \frac{r}{2}p^2 + \cdots$

dimer:
$$\bigcirc + \bigcirc -+ \cdots \rightarrow = + = \bigcirc = + \cdots$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 \to \mathcal{L}_2^{\mathsf{dimer}} = \sigma T^{\dagger} T + \left(T^{\dagger} \left[\mathbf{f}_0 \psi \psi + \mathbf{f}_1 \psi \nabla^2 \psi + \cdots \right] + \mathsf{h.c.} \right)$$

- Dimer framework algebraically equivalent to the three-particle framework
- Higher partial waves can be included: dimers with arbitrary spin
- Can be generalized to the non-rest frames

$$\langle \mathbf{p} | \mathcal{L}_2 | \mathbf{q} \rangle = -2C_0 - C_2(\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2) - C_4(\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2)^2 - C_4'(\mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{q}^2)^2 + \cdots$$

Off-shell term can be eliminated with the use of EOM

$$-\frac{C_4'}{4}\left(\psi^{\dagger}\nabla^4\psi^{\dagger}\psi\psi - \psi^{\dagger}\nabla^2\psi^{\dagger}\psi\nabla^2\psi + h.c\right) = \frac{C_4'}{4}m^2\partial_t^2(\psi^{\dagger}\psi^{\dagger}\psi\psi)$$

Insertions of the off-shell term vanish on shell (dim.reg., no scale)

$$\int \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \, (\mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{k}^2)^2 \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 - q_0^2} \, f(\mathbf{k}) = (\mathbf{p}^2 - q_0^2)^2 \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \, \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 - q_0^2} \, f(\mathbf{k})$$

$$+ \text{ no scale integrals}$$

- The result does not depend on the regularization
- No off-shell term in the dimer formulation: one coupling at each order

Off-shell term in the three-particle sector

$$\mathcal{L}_{3}^{(4)} = \frac{D_{4}^{\prime\prime}}{12} \left(\psi^{\dagger} \psi^{\dagger} \nabla^{4} \psi^{\dagger} \psi \psi \psi + 2 \psi^{\dagger} \nabla^{2} \psi^{\dagger} \nabla^{2} \psi^{\dagger} \psi \psi \psi - 3 \psi^{\dagger} \psi^{\dagger} \nabla^{2} \psi^{\dagger} \psi \psi \nabla^{2} \psi + \text{h.c.} \right) + \cdots$$

- Off-shell term proportional to D_4'' can be eliminated using EOM
- In the momentum space, the potential is proportional to

$$V^{\text{off-shell}} \propto D_4''(E(\mathbf{p}) - E(\mathbf{q}))^2, \quad E(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\mathbf{p}_1^2 + \mathbf{p}_2^2 + \mathbf{p}_3^2\right)$$

All insertions of this potential vanish on shell (no-scale integrals) \hookrightarrow The *S*-matrix does not depend on D_4'' !

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{3}^{\text{dimer}} &= h_{0}T^{\dagger}T\psi^{\dagger}\psi + h_{2}T^{\dagger}T(\psi^{\dagger}\nabla^{2}\psi + \text{h.c.}) \\ &+ h_{4}T^{\dagger}T(\psi^{\dagger}\nabla^{4}\psi + \text{h.c.}) + h_{4}'T^{\dagger}T\nabla^{2}\psi^{\dagger}\nabla^{2}\psi + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

• Two couplings h_4, h'_4 : off-shell coupling D''_4 can be eliminated!

Why are there no off-shell terms in the dimer picture

Off-shell dimers are physical:



$$\mathbf{p}_d^2 = (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)^2, \qquad \mathbf{q}_d^2 = (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)^2$$

 $\mathbf{p}_d^2
eq \mathbf{q}_d^2$

The scattering equation

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q};E) = Z(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q};E) + \int_{\mathbf{k}}^{\Lambda} Z(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{k};E)\tau(\mathbf{k};E)\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q};E)$$

$$Z(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; E) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{p}\mathbf{q} - mE} + \mathbf{H_0} + \mathbf{H_2}(\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2) + \cdots$$

 H_0, H_2, \ldots are related to the couplings h_0, h_2, \ldots

$$\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{k}; E) = k^* \cot \delta(k^*) + \underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{3}{4} \mathbf{k}^2 - mE}}_{=k^*}$$

Finite volume

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{2\pi}{L} \,\mathbf{n} \,, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \,, \qquad \qquad \int_{\mathbf{k}}^{\Lambda} \to \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^{\Lambda}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_L(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; E) = Z(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; E) + \frac{8\pi}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^{\Lambda} Z(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; E) \tau_L(\mathbf{k}; E) \mathcal{M}_L(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}; E)$$

$$\tau_L^{-1}(\mathbf{k}; E) = k^* \cot \delta(k^*) - \frac{4\pi}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{l}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + \mathbf{l}^2 + \mathbf{k}\mathbf{l} - mE}$$

- \hookrightarrow Poles in the amplitude \rightarrow finite-volume energy spectrum
- $\longrightarrow k^* \cot \delta(k^*)$ fitted in the two-particle sector; H_0, H_2, \ldots should be fitted to the three-particle energies
- \hookrightarrow S-matrix in the infinite volume \rightarrow equation with H_0, H_2, \ldots
- → No-scale arguments apply in the finite volume as well: no off-shell effects in the finite volume spectrum!

Quantization condition

The particle-dimer scattering amplitude:

 $\mathcal{M}_L = Z + Z \tau_L \mathcal{M}_L$

The three-particle scattering amplitude:

$$T_L^{(3)} = \tau_L + \tau_L \mathcal{M}_L \tau_L = (\tau_L^{-1} - Z)^{-1}$$

The quantization condition:

the three-body energy levels coinside with the poles of $T_L^{(3)}$:

$$\det(\tau_L^{-1} - Z) = 0$$

- The spectrum is determined only by the on-shell input!
- Compare with:

Polejaeva and AR, Hansen and Sharpe, Briceno and Davoudi, Mai and Döring!

Relativistic vs. non-relativistic:

• Dynamics: No particle creation/annihilation, except Briceno, Hansen and Sharpe, PRD 95 (2017) 074510: connecting the 2- and 3-particle channels

(not needed for the energies below higher (4-particle) threshold)

• Kinematics: Can be taken into account via covariant NREFT: Colangelo, Gasser, Kubis and AR, PLB 638 (2006) 187 (work in progress)

Introducing smooth cutoff $H(\mathbf{q})$ on the spectator momentum \mathbf{q} :

- Above a given spectator momentum q, the kernel is no more singular, the regular summation theorem applies
- "Unconventional" scattering amplitude in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$
- Similar in Polejaeva and AR, the smooth cutoff moved to zero!

"Unconventional" scattering amplitude

- If no 2 → 3 transitions present, both approaches contain identical sets of diagrams
- Particle-dimer formalism is algebraically equivalent to the three-particle formalism

The role of the cutoff:

$$\mathcal{M}_L = Z + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} H(\mathbf{q}) Z \tau_L \mathcal{M}_L + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} (1 - H(\mathbf{q})) Z \tau_L \mathcal{M}_L$$

$$\mathcal{M}_L = \mathcal{M}_H + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} H(\mathbf{q}) \mathcal{M}_H \tau_L \mathcal{M}_L, \quad \mathcal{M}_H = Z + \int_{\mathbf{q}} (1 - H(\mathbf{q})) Z \tau \mathcal{M}_H$$

- \mathcal{M}_H related to the "unconventional" HS amplitude $\mathcal{K}_{3,df}$
- If cutoff $H(\mathbf{q})$ is removed for $|\mathbf{q}| < \Lambda$, then $\mathcal{K}_{3,df} \to H_0(\Lambda) + \ldots$

- Both approaches contain identical sets of diagrams
- In BD approach, dimers are characterized in terms of poles and residues (both volume dependent)
- A cutoff emerges effectively: the highest pole in the dimer propagator
- Relation of the *energy spectrum* to the *three-particle amplitude* is algebraically rather complicated

Comparison with Döring and Mai

 Relativistic approach based on the two- and three-particle unitarity and analyticity

(suffices for the energies below the higher (4-particle) threshold)

- Isobar picture used: equivalent to the particle-dimer framework. Not a model. The actual existence of the two-body resonance is not required
- The quantization condition is identical to ours, except relativistic kinematics
- Lagrangian is not specified. Fixing the Lagrangian would be equivalent to the choice of parameterization of the two-body scattering amplitude and the three-body force

See more in Maxim Mai's talk!

Reduction of the quantization condition: the symmetries

- Symmetry in a finite volume: octahedral group *O_h*, including inversions (rest frame), little groups (moving frames)
- Reduction: an analog of the partial-wave expansion in a finite volume
- Analog for a sphere $|\mathbf{k}| = \text{const}$ for a cube: *shells*

$$s = \left\{ \mathbf{k} : \mathbf{k} = g\mathbf{k}_0, \quad g \in O_h \right\}$$

- Each shell s is characterized by the reference momentum \mathbf{k}_0
- Shells are counted by increasing $|\mathbf{k}|$
- The momenta, unrelated by the O_h , but having $|\mathbf{k}| = |\mathbf{k}'|$, belong to the different shells

For an arbitrary function of the momentum p, belonging to a shell s,

$$f(\mathbf{p}) = f(g\mathbf{p}_0) = \sum_{\Gamma} \sum_{ij} T_{ij}^{(\Gamma)}(g) f_{ji}^{(\Gamma)}(\mathbf{p}_0), \quad \Gamma = A_1^{\pm}, A_2^{\pm}, E^{\pm}, T_1^{\pm}, T_2^{\pm}$$

Projecting back the components:

$$\frac{G}{s_{\Gamma}} f_{ji}^{(\Gamma)}(\mathbf{p}_0) = \sum_{g \in O_h} (T_{ij}^{(\Gamma)}(g))^* f(g\mathbf{p}_0), \quad G = \dim(O_h) = 48$$

The quantization condition in the new basis partially diagonalizes

An alternative method of expansion: see Maxim Mai's talk

The expansion of the kernel

4

The kernel is invariant under O_h : $Z(g\mathbf{p}, g\mathbf{q}) = Z(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$

$$\begin{split} Z_{nm}^{(\Gamma\Gamma',ij)}(r,s) &= \sum_{g,g'\in O_h} (T_{in}^{(\Gamma)}(g'))^* Z(g'\mathbf{p}_0(r), g\mathbf{k}_0(s)) T_{jm}^{(\Gamma')}(g) \\ &= \sum_{g,g'\in O_h} (T_{in}^{(\Gamma)}(g'))^* Z(\underbrace{g^{-1}g'}_{=g''}\mathbf{p}_0(r), \mathbf{k}_0(s)) T_{jm}^{(\Gamma')}(g) \\ &= \sum_{g,g''\in O_h} \sum_k (T_{ik}^{(\Gamma)}(g))^* (T_{kn}^{(\Gamma)}(g''))^* Z(g''\mathbf{p}_0(r), \mathbf{k}_0(s)) T_{jm}^{(\Gamma')}(g) \\ &= \sum_{g''\in O_h} \sum_k \frac{G}{s_{\Gamma}} \,\delta_{\Gamma\Gamma'} \delta_{ij} \delta_{km} (T_{kn}^{(\Gamma)}(g''))^* Z(g''\mathbf{p}_0(r), \mathbf{k}_0(s)) \\ &= \frac{G}{s_{\Gamma}} \,\delta_{\Gamma\Gamma'} \delta_{ij} \sum_{g\in O_h} (T_{mn}^{(\Gamma)}(g))^* Z(g\mathbf{p}_0(r), \mathbf{k}_0(s)) \\ &= \frac{G}{s_{\Gamma}} \,\delta_{\Gamma\Gamma'} \delta_{ij} Z_{nm}^{(\Gamma)}(r,s) \end{split}$$

Reduction of the equation

The equation determining the energy spectrum:

$$f(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{8\pi}{L^3} \sum_{s} \sum_{g \in O_h} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{G} Z(\mathbf{p}, g\mathbf{k}_0(s))\tau(s)f(g\mathbf{k}_0(s))$$

 $\vartheta(s)$: the multiplicity of the shell s

Projecting the equation on a given irrep Γ :

$$f_i^{(\Gamma)}(r) = \frac{8\pi}{L^3} \sum_s \frac{\vartheta(s)\tau(s)}{G} \sum_j Z_{ij}^{(\Gamma)}(r,s) f_j^{(\Gamma)}(s) \,.$$

The quantization condition partially diagonalizes

$$\det\left(\tau(s)^{-1}\vartheta(s)^{-1}\delta_{rs}\delta_{ij} - \frac{8\pi}{L^3}\frac{1}{G}Z_{ij}^{(\Gamma)}(r,s)\right) = 0.$$

The finite-volume spectrum in the A_1 irrep, CM frame



• $m = a = 1, \Lambda = 225, H_0(\Lambda) = 0.192$

• The spectrum both below and above the three-particle threshold

Bound-state spectrum: E = -1.016 and E = -10



... or, a linear combination thereof

Scattering states





Avoided level crossing



- Avoided level crossing between 3-particle and particle-dimer states
- Where is the (displaced) particle-dimer threshold?

Pushing up the energy level by a shallow bound state



- Change the parameters: the shallow bound state disappears
- Displaced threshold can be easily identified!

Extraction of the three-body couplings from the lattice data

The energy level displacements can be treated in perturbation theory, are known up to and including $O(L^{-7})$:

S.R. Beane, W. Detmold and M.J Savage, PRD 76 (2007) 074507; W. Detmold and M.J. Savage, PRD 77 (2008) 057502; S.R. Sharpe, PRD 96(2017) 054515 ...

$$\Delta E_2 = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{mL^3} \left(1 + \frac{c_1}{L} + \frac{c_2}{L^2} + \frac{c_3}{L^3} \right) + O(L^{-7})$$

$$\Delta E_3 = \frac{12\pi a}{mL^3} \left(1 + \frac{d_1}{L} + \frac{d_2}{L^2} + \frac{\bar{d}_3}{L^3} \ln L + \frac{d_3}{L^3} \right) + O(L^{-7})$$

- The coupling d_3 contains two-body contributions (scattering length, effective radius) as well as the three-body term
- Three-body contributions can be separated, if the many-body states (4,5,... particles) are included
- Multipion systems in lattice QCD has been considered
 S.R. Beane, W. Detmold, T.C. Luu, K. Orginos, M.J. Savage and A. Torok, PRL 100 (2008) 082004

Energy shift in the $arphi^4$ theory

F. Romero-López, A. Rusetsky and C. Urbach, in preparation

$$S = \sum_{x} \left(-\kappa \sum_{\mu} (\varphi_x^* \varphi_{x+\mu} + c.c.) - \lambda (|\varphi_x|^2 - 1)^2 + |\varphi_x|^2 \right)$$

- The calculations are performed for different values of L
- For our choice of parameters λ and κ: perturbative, the phase shift does nor exceed few degrees
- Single particle mass: perfectly fits the one-loop expression:

$$M(L) - M = \text{const} \, \frac{K_1(ML)}{(ML)^{1/2}} \sim \text{const} \, \frac{\exp(-ML)}{(ML)^{3/2}}$$

• Extracting *H*₀ at small *L*: does one have control over exponentially suppressed contributions?

Exponentially suppressed contribitions: 2-body levels

Using quasi-potential reduction of the Bethe-Salpeter equation...

$$E_2 - 2M(L) = \frac{1}{L^3} T_L(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, E_2)$$

 $T_L = \overline{T}_L + \overline{T}_L (g'_L - g_\infty) T_L , \qquad \overline{T}_L = V_L + V_L g_\infty \overline{T}_L$

Leading exponentially suppressed term:



$$V_L - V_{\infty} \sim \frac{\exp(-ML)}{(ML)^{1/2}} \longrightarrow E_2 - 2M(L) \bigg|_{\exp} \sim \frac{\exp(-ML)}{(ML)^{7/2}} + \cdots$$

 \hookrightarrow The difference $E_2 - 2M(L)$ already captures the leading exponentially suppressed contribution. The correction coming from the potential is suppressed by an additional factor L^{-2}

Preliminary results of simulations

- The single-particle mass M(L), as well as two- and three-particle levels E_2 and E_3 have been measured for different values of L from L = 4 until L = 24.
- The two-body scattering lenght *a* and the effective radius *r* have been extracted
- The three-body force has been extracted: definitely different from zero!

Fernando Romero-López can tell more during his short talk ...

Conclusions

- An EFT formalism in a finite volume is proposed to analyze the data in the three-particle sector
- The low-energy couplings H_0, H_2, \ldots are fitted to the spectrum; *S*-matrix is obtained through the solution of equations
- A systematic approach: allows the inclusion of higher partial waves, derivative couplings, two → three transitions, relativistic kinematics,...
- Equivalent to other known approaches, much easier to use!
- Reduction of the quantization condition is possible, according to the octahedral symmetry
- Extraction of the three-body couplings both in non-perturbative and perturbative regimes is discussed, backed by the lattice results in the φ^4 theory

Outlook

- Three-particle Lellouch-Lüscher formula
- Three-nucleon interactions: inclusion of the long-range forces
- Inclusion of relativistic effects, higher partial waves, spin, partial wave mixing, etc
- Full group-theoretical analysis of the three-particle equation in the rectangular box including moving frames and the higher partial waves
- Derivation of the shift of the three-particle and particle-dimer ground-state levels from the quantization condition