

Three-particle dynamics in ^a finite volume

Akaki Rusetsky, University of Bonn

In collaboration with M. Döring, H.-W. Hammer, M. Mai, J.-Y. Pang, F. Romero-López, C. Urbach and J. Wu

arXiv:1706.07700, arXiv:1707.02176 ⁺ ongoing work

INT Workshop "Multi-Hadron Systems from Lattice QCD," February 6, 2018, Seattle

A. Rusetsky, INT Workshop "Multi-Hadron Systems from Lattice QCD," February 6, 2018, Seattle – p.1

Plan

- •**Introduction**
- • Formalism:
	- Non-relativistic EFT and dimer picture
	- Independence from the off-shell effects
	- Quantization condition
- \bullet Comparison with other approaches
- • Symmetries of the box and reduction of the quantizationcondition
- \bullet The finite volume spectrum: bound and scattering states
- \bullet The shift of the ground state
- •Conclusions, outlook

Extracting three-particle observables from the lattice

K. Polejaeva and AR, EPJA 48 (2012) 67Finite volume energy levels determined solely by the $S\text{-}\mathsf{matrix}$

M. Hansen and S. Sharpe, PRD 90 (2014) 116003; PRD 92 (2015) 114509Quantization condition

R. Briceno and Z. Davoudi, PRD 87 (2013) 094507Dimer formalism, quantization condition

P. Guo, PRD 95 (2017) 054508Quantization condition in the 1+1-dimensional case

S. Kreuzer and H.-W. Hammer, PLB 694 (2011) 424; EPJA 43 (2010) 229; PLB 673(2009) 260; S. Kreuzer and H. W. Grießhammer, EPJA 48 (2012) 93Dimer formalism, numerical solution

M. Mai and M. Döring, EPJA 53 (2017) 240Three-body unitarity ⁺ analyticity (similar in spirit to the present approach)

Alternative approach: HAL QCD

 \leftrightarrow Is the finite-volume spectrum determined solely by the three-body S-matrix elements in the infinite volume? three-body S -matrix elements in the infinite volume?

The strategy

Quantization condition very complicated, involves "unconventional"scattering amplitudes . . .

- \rightarrow Do not try to extract the amplitudes directly from data, in analogy to Lüscher's formula! to Lüscher's formula!
- \leftrightarrow Extract low-energy couplings, get amplitudes by solving
scattering equations in the infinite volume! scattering equations in the infinite volume!
	- •NREFT: relativistic kinematics will be included later
	- Effective couplings: only exponentially suppressed effects at large volumes!
	- **?**Is the information about the S -matrix sufficient to uniquely determine the spectrum? Do the *off-shell couplings,* which arenot fixed from matching to the S -matrix, contribute to the finite-volume energies?

NREFT: dimer picture in the two-particle sector

L=ψ†i∂0 ∇22m ψ+L2C0C2

$$
\mathcal{L}_2 = -\frac{C_0}{2} \,\psi^\dagger \psi^\dagger \psi \psi - \frac{C_2}{4} \left(\psi^\dagger \nabla^2 \psi^\dagger \psi \psi + \text{h.c.} \right) + \cdots
$$

 C_0, C_2, \ldots matched to $p \cot \delta(p) =$ −1 $\frac{1}{a}+\frac{r}{2}$ $\frac{r}{2}$ p^2 2 + \cdots

$$
dimer: \qquad \bigcirc \bullet \bigcirc \bigcirc \bullet \cdots \quad \Rightarrow \quad = \bullet \implies \bullet \cdots
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^{\mathsf{dimer}} = \sigma T^{\dagger} T + \bigg(T^{\dagger} \big[f_0 \psi \psi + f_1 \psi \nabla^2 \psi + \cdots \big] + \mathsf{h.c.} \bigg)
$$

- \bullet • Dimer framework algebraically equivalent to the three-particle framework
- \bullet Higher partial waves can be included: dimers with arbitrary spin
- •Can be generalized to the non-rest frames

$$
\langle \mathbf{p} | \mathcal{L}_2 | \mathbf{q} \rangle = -2C_0 - C_2(\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2) - C_4(\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2)^2 - C_4'(\mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{q}^2)^2 + \cdots
$$

Off-shell term can be eliminated with the use of EOM

$$
-\frac{C_4'}{4}\left(\psi^{\dagger}\nabla^4\psi^{\dagger}\psi\psi-\psi^{\dagger}\nabla^2\psi^{\dagger}\psi\nabla^2\psi+h.c\right)=\frac{C_4'}{4}m^2\partial_t^2(\psi^{\dagger}\psi^{\dagger}\psi\psi)
$$

Insertions of the off-shell term vanish on shell (dim.reg., no scale)

$$
\int \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} (\mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{k}^2)^2 \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 - q_0^2} f(\mathbf{k}) = (\mathbf{p}^2 - q_0^2)^2 \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 - q_0^2} f(\mathbf{k}) + \text{no scale integrals}
$$

- \bullet The result does not depend on the regularization
- \bullet No off-shell term in the dimer formulation: one coupling at eachorder

Off-shell term in the three-particle sector

$$
\mathcal{L}_3^{(4)} = \frac{D_4''}{12} \left(\psi^\dagger \psi^\dagger \nabla^4 \psi^\dagger \psi \psi \psi + 2 \psi^\dagger \nabla^2 \psi^\dagger \nabla^2 \psi^\dagger \psi \psi \psi - 3 \psi^\dagger \psi^\dagger \nabla^2 \psi^\dagger \psi \psi \nabla^2 \psi + \text{h.c.} \right) + \cdots
$$

- •• Off-shell term proportional to D_4'' $\frac{\prime\prime}{4}$ can be eliminated using EOM
- •In the momentum space, the potential is proportional to

$$
V^{\mathsf{off-shell}} \propto D_4''(E({\bf p})-E({\bf q}))^2\,,\quad E({\bf p}) = \frac{1}{2m}\,({\bf p}_1^2+{\bf p}_2^2+{\bf p}_3^2)
$$

All insertions of this potential vanish on shell (no-scale integrals) \hookrightarrow The *S*-matrix does not depend on D_4'' !
!

$$
\mathcal{L}_3^{\text{dimer}} = h_0 T^{\dagger} T \psi^{\dagger} \psi + h_2 T^{\dagger} T (\psi^{\dagger} \nabla^2 \psi + \text{h.c.}) \n+ h_4 T^{\dagger} T (\psi^{\dagger} \nabla^4 \psi + \text{h.c.}) + h_4' T^{\dagger} T \nabla^2 \psi^{\dagger} \nabla^2 \psi + \cdots
$$

• $\bullet~$ Two couplings h_4, h'_4 : off-shell coupling D''_4 $\frac{\prime\prime}{4}$ can be eliminated!

Why are there no off-shell terms in the dimer picture

Off-shell dimers are physical:

 $\mathbf p$ 2 $d\,$ $\frac{2}{d} = (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)^2$, q2 $d\,$ $\mathbf{q}^2 = (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)^2$

> $\mathbf p$ 2 $\frac{2}{d}\neq{\bf q}$ 2 $\,d$

The scattering equation

 ⁼ ⁺ ⁺ ⁺

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; E) = Z(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; E) + \int_{\mathbf{k}}^{\Lambda} Z(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}; E) \tau(\mathbf{k}; E) \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}; E)
$$

$$
Z(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q};E)=\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}^2+\mathbf{q}^2+\mathbf{p}\mathbf{q}-mE}+H_0+H_2(\mathbf{p}^2+\mathbf{q}^2)+\cdots
$$

 H_0, H_2, \ldots are related to the couplings h_0, h_2, \ldots

$$
\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{k}; E) = k^* \cot \delta(k^*) + \underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{3}{4} \mathbf{k}^2 - mE}}_{=k^*}
$$

Finite volume

$$
\mathbf{k} = \frac{2\pi}{L} \mathbf{n}, \ \ \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^3, \qquad \qquad \int_{\mathbf{k}}^{\Lambda} \to \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^{\Lambda}
$$

 ${\cal M}_L({\bf p},{\bf q};E) = Z({\bf p},{\bf q};E) + \frac{8\pi}{L^3} \sum_{\bf k}^{ \Lambda}$ ${\bf k}$ $Z(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q};E)\tau_L(\mathbf{k};E) \mathcal{M}_L(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q};E)$

$$
\tau_L^{-1}(\mathbf{k}; E) = k^* \cot \delta(k^*) - \frac{4\pi}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{l}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + \mathbf{l}^2 + \mathbf{k}\mathbf{l} - mE}
$$

- $\begin{array}{c}\n\hookrightarrow\end{array}$ Poles in the amplitude $\quad\to\quad$ finite-volume energy spectrum
 $\begin{array}{c}\n\hookrightarrow\end{array}$ $k^*\cot\delta(k^*)$ fitted in the two-particle sector;
- $\longleftrightarrow k^* \cot \delta(k^*)$ fitted in the two-particle sector;
 $H_0, H_2, ...$ should be fitted to the three-part H_0, H_2, \ldots should be fitted to the three-particle energies
- $\begin{aligned}\n\iff S\text{-matrix in the infinite volume}\quad &\to\quad \text{equation with } H_0,H_2,\dots \\
\iff \text{No-scale arguments apply in the finite volume as well:}\n\end{aligned}$
- \rightarrow No-scale arguments apply in the finite volume as well:
no off-shell effects in the finite volume spectrum! no off-shell effects in the finite volume spectrum!

Quantization condition

The particle-dimer scattering amplitude:

 ${\cal M}_L = Z + Z \tau_L {\cal M}_L$

The three-particle scattering amplitude:

$$
T_L^{(3)} = \tau_L + \tau_L \mathcal{M}_L \tau_L = (\tau_L^{-1} - Z)^{-1}
$$

The quantization condition:

the three-body energy levels coinside with the poles of $T_L^{(3)}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$

$$
\det(\tau_L^{-1} - Z) = 0
$$

- •The spectrum is determined only by the on-shell input!
- \bullet Compare with:

Polejaeva and AR, Hansen and Sharpe, Briceno and Davoudi, Mai and Döring!

Relativistic vs. non-relativistic:

• Dynamics: No particle creation/annihilation, except Briceno, Hansen and Sharpe, PRD ⁹⁵ (2017) ⁰⁷⁴⁵¹⁰: connecting the 2- and 3-particle channels

(not needed for the energies below higher (4-particle) threshold)

• Kinematics: Can be taken into account via covariant NREFT: Colangelo, Gasser, Kubis and AR, PLB 638 (2006) 187 <mark>(work in progress)</mark>

Introducing smooth cutoff $H({\bf q})$ on the spectator momentum ${\bf q}$:

- Above a given spectator momentum q , the kernel is no more singular, the regular summation theorem applies
- $\bullet \hspace{1mm}$ "Unconventional" scattering amplitude in the limit $L\to\infty$
- Similar in Polejaeva and AR, the smooth cutoff moved to zero! •

"Unconventional" scattering amplitude

- If no $2\to3$ transitions present, both approaches contain identical sets of diagrams
- Particle-dimer formalism is algebraically equivalent to thethree-particle formalism

The role of the cutoff:

$$
\mathcal{M}_L = Z + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} H(\mathbf{q}) Z \tau_L \mathcal{M}_L + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} (1 - H(\mathbf{q})) Z \tau_L \mathcal{M}_L
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_L = \mathcal{M}_H + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} H(\mathbf{q}) \mathcal{M}_H \tau_L \mathcal{M}_L, \quad \mathcal{M}_H = Z + \int_{\mathbf{q}} (1 - H(\mathbf{q})) Z \tau \mathcal{M}_H
$$

- \bullet \mathcal{M}_{H} $_H$ related to the "unconventional" HS amplitude $\mathcal{K}_{3,df}$
- If cutoff $H({\bf q})$ is removed for $|{\bf q}|<\Lambda,$ then $\mathcal{K}_{3,df}\rightarrow H_0(\Lambda)+\ldots$ •
- \bullet Both approaches contain identical sets of diagrams
- In BD approach, dimers are characterized in terms of poles andresidues (both volume dependent)
- ^A cutoff emerges effectively: the highest pole in the dimer propagator
- Relation of the *energy spectrum* to the *three-particle amplitude* is algebraically rather complicated

Comparison with Doring and Mai ¨

 \bullet Relativistic approach based on the two- and three-particleunitarity and analyticity

(suffices for the energies below the higher (4-particle) threshold)

- • Isobar picture used: equivalent to the particle-dimer framework. Not ^a model. The actual existence of the two-body resonance isnot required
- The quantization condition is identical to ours, except relativistickinematics
- Lagrangian is not specified. Fixing the Lagrangian would be equivalent to the choice of parameterization of the two-bodyscattering amplitude and the three-body force

See more in Maxim Mai's talk!

Reduction of the quantization condition: the symmetries

- Symmetry in a finite volume: octahedral group O_h , including inversions (rest frame), little groups (moving frames)
- Reduction: an analog of the partial-wave expansion in ^a finit evolume
- Analog for ^a sphere |k| ⁼ const for ^a cube: *shells*

$$
s = \left\{ \mathbf{k} : \quad \mathbf{k} = g\mathbf{k}_0 \,, \quad g \in O_h \right\}
$$

- • \bullet Each shell *s* is characterized by the *reference momentum* \mathbf{k}_0
- •• Shells are counted by increasing $|\mathbf{k}|$
- The momenta, unrelated by the O_h , but having $|\mathbf{k}| = |\mathbf{k}'|$, belong to the different shells

For an arbitrary function of the momentum $\mathbf p,$ belonging to a shell $s,$

$$
f(\mathbf{p}) = f(g\mathbf{p}_0) = \sum_{\Gamma} \sum_{ij} T_{ij}^{(\Gamma)}(g) f_{ji}^{(\Gamma)}(\mathbf{p}_0), \quad \Gamma = A_1^{\pm}, A_2^{\pm}, E^{\pm}, T_1^{\pm}, T_2^{\pm}
$$

Projecting back the components:

$$
\frac{G}{s_{\Gamma}} f_{ji}^{(\Gamma)}(\mathbf{p}_0) = \sum_{g \in O_h} (T_{ij}^{(\Gamma)}(g))^* f(g\mathbf{p}_0), \quad G = \dim(O_h) = 48
$$

The quantization condition in the new basis partially diagonalizes

An alternative method of expansion: see Maxim Mai's talk

The expansion of the kernel

The kernel is invariant under O_h : $Z(g\mathbf{p}, g\mathbf{q}) = Z(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$

$$
Z_{nm}^{(\Gamma\Gamma',ij)}(r,s) = \sum_{g,g'\in O_h} (T_{in}^{(\Gamma)}(g'))^* Z(g' \mathbf{p}_0(r), g \mathbf{k}_0(s)) T_{jm}^{(\Gamma')}(g)
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{g,g'\in O_h} (T_{in}^{(\Gamma)}(g'))^* Z(g^{-1}g' \mathbf{p}_0(r), \mathbf{k}_0(s)) T_{jm}^{(\Gamma')}(g)
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{g,g'' \in O_h} \sum_k (T_{ik}^{(\Gamma)}(g))^* (T_{kn}^{(\Gamma)}(g''))^* Z(g'' \mathbf{p}_0(r), \mathbf{k}_0(s)) T_{jm}^{(\Gamma')}(g)
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{g'' \in O_h} \sum_k \frac{G}{s_{\Gamma}} \delta_{\Gamma\Gamma'} \delta_{ij} \delta_{km} (T_{kn}^{(\Gamma)}(g''))^* Z(g'' \mathbf{p}_0(r), \mathbf{k}_0(s))
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{G}{s_{\Gamma}} \delta_{\Gamma\Gamma'} \delta_{ij} \sum_{g \in O_h} (T_{mn}^{(\Gamma)}(g))^* Z(g \mathbf{p}_0(r), \mathbf{k}_0(s))
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{G}{s_{\Gamma}} \delta_{\Gamma\Gamma'} \delta_{ij} Z_{nm}^{(\Gamma)}(r, s)
$$

Reduction of the equation

The equation determining the energy spectrum:

$$
f(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{8\pi}{L^3} \sum_{s} \sum_{g \in O_h} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{G} Z(\mathbf{p}, g\mathbf{k}_0(s))\tau(s) f(g\mathbf{k}_0(s))
$$

 $\vartheta(s)$: the multiplicity of the shell s

Projecting the equation on a given irrep Γ :

$$
f_i^{(\Gamma)}(r) = \frac{8\pi}{L^3} \sum_s \frac{\vartheta(s)\tau(s)}{G} \sum_j Z_{ij}^{(\Gamma)}(r,s) f_j^{(\Gamma)}(s).
$$

The quantization condition partially diagonalizes

$$
\det\biggl(\tau(s)^{-1}\vartheta(s)^{-1}\delta_{rs}\delta_{ij}-\frac{8\pi}{L^3}\frac{1}{G}Z^{(\Gamma)}_{ij}(r,s)\biggr)=0\,.
$$

The finite-volume spectrum in the A_1 **irrep, CM frame**

 \bullet $m=a=1,\,\Lambda=225,\,H_{0}(\Lambda)=0.192$

 The spectrum both below and above the three-particle threshold•

Bound-state spectrum: E $E = -1.016$ and $E = -10$

. . . or, ^a linear combination thereof

Scattering states

Avoided level crossing

- Avoided level crossing between 3-particle and particle-dimer states
- \bullet Where is the (displaced) particle-dimer threshold?

Pushing up the energy level by ^a shallow bound state

- •Change the parameters: the shallow bound state disappears
- •Displaced threshold can be easily identified!

Extraction of the three-body couplings from the lattice data

The energy level displacements can be treated in perturbation theory, are known up to and including $O(L^{-7})$ $^7)$:

 S.R. Beane, W. Detmold and M.J Savage, PRD 76 (2007) 074507; W. Detmold and M.J. Savage, PRD ⁷⁷ (2008) 057502; S.R. Sharpe, PRD 96(2017) 054515 . . .

$$
\Delta E_2 = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{mL^3} \left(1 + \frac{c_1}{L} + \frac{c_2}{L^2} + \frac{c_3}{L^3} \right) + O(L^{-7})
$$

$$
\Delta E_3 = \frac{12\pi a}{mL^3} \left(1 + \frac{d_1}{L} + \frac{d_2}{L^2} + \frac{\bar{d}_3}{L^3} \ln L + \frac{d_3}{L^3} \right) + O(L^{-7})
$$

- The coupling d_3 contains two-body contributions (scattering length, effective radius) as well as the three-body term
- Three-body contributions can be separated, if the many-bodystates (4,5,. . . particles) are included
- Multipion systems in lattice QCD has been considered S.R. Beane, W. Detmold, T.C. Luu, K. Orginos, M.J. Savage and A. Torok, PRL 100 (2008) 082004

Energy shift in the φ^4 theory

F. Romero-López, A. Rusetsky and C. Urbach, in preparation

$$
S = \sum_{x} \left(-\kappa \sum_{\mu} (\varphi_x^* \varphi_{x+\mu} + c.c.) - \lambda (|\varphi_x|^2 - 1)^2 + |\varphi_x|^2 \right)
$$

- $\bullet~$ The calculations are performed for different values of L
- For our choice of parameters λ and κ : perturbative, the phase shift does nor exceed few degrees
- Single particle mass: perfectly fits the one-loop expression:

$$
M(L)-M=\text{const}\,\frac{K_1(ML)}{(ML)^{1/2}}\sim \text{const}\,\frac{\exp(-ML)}{(ML)^{3/2}}
$$

•• Extracting H_0 at small L : does one have control over exponentially suppressed contributions?

Exponentially suppressed contribitions: 2-body levels

Using quasi-potential reduction of the Bethe-Salpeter equation. . .

$$
E_2 - 2M(L) = \frac{1}{L^3} T_L(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, E_2)
$$

 $T_L=\bar{T}_L+\bar{T}_L(g'_L-g_\infty)T_L\,,\qquad \bar{T}_L$ $L=V_L+V_Lg_\infty\bar{T}_L$

Leading exponentially suppressed term:

$$
V_L - V_{\infty} \sim \frac{\exp(-ML)}{(ML)^{1/2}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad E_2 - 2M(L) \Big|_{\exp} \sim \frac{\exp(-ML)}{(ML)^{7/2}} + \cdots
$$

 \hookrightarrow The difference $E_2-2M(L)$ already captures the leading \sim 2000 \sim exponentially suppressed contribution. The correction coming fromthe potential is suppressed by an additional factor $L^{−2}$

Preliminary results of simulations

- $\bullet~$ The single-particle mass $M(L),$ as well as two- and three-particle levels E_2 and E_3 have been measure values of L from $L = 4$ until $L = 24$. E_2 and E_3 have been measured for different
- The two-body scattering lenght a and the effective radius r have been extracted
- The three-body force has been extracted: definitely different from zero!

Fernando Romero-López can tell more during his short talk . . .

Conclusions

- An EFT formalism in ^a finite volume is proposed to analyze thedata in the three-particle sector
- $\bullet\,$ The low-energy couplings H_0,H_2,\ldots are fitted to the spectrum; S-matrix is obtained through the solution of equations
- \bullet ^A systematic approach: allows the inclusion of higher partial waves, derivative couplings, two → three transitions, relativistic
kinematics kinematics,. . .
- Equivalent to other known approaches, much easier to use!
- • Reduction of the quantization condition is possible, according tothe octahedral symmetry
- Extraction of the three-body couplings both in non-perturbativeand perturbative regimes is discussed, backed by the latticeresults in the φ^4 theory

Outlook

- \bullet Three-particle Lellouch-Lüscher formula
- •Three-nucleon interactions: inclusion of the long-range forces
- • Inclusion of relativistic effects, higher partial waves, spin, partial wave mixing, etc
- Full group-theoretical analysis of the three-particle equation inthe rectangular box including moving frames and the higherpartial waves
- • Derivation of the shift of the three-particle and *particle-dimer* ground-state levels from the quantization condition