# Heavy meson electroweak decays into multi-hadron states

Multi-Hadron Systems from Lattice QCD INT, February 5 - 9, 2018

Luka Leskovec



# **Standard Model of Elementary Particles**



$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{bmatrix}$$

EW interaction basis

## flavor physics

determining the CKM matrix from a combination of Electro-Weak decays

# can we do it?

- ► a lot of success (MILC, HPQCD, ETMC, ...)
- ▶ "only" involves (QCD)-stable hadrons

is it done?

No!

- ► puzzles!

 new aspects to flavor physics



# size of CKM elements

- $\blacktriangleright$   $V_{cb}$ 
  - $\blacktriangleright \ B \to D \ \ell \ \nu \ [V^{exc}_{cb}, R(D)]$
  - $\blacktriangleright B \to D^*(\to D\pi) \ \ell \ \nu \\ [V_{cb}^{exc}, R(D^*)]$
  - $B \to X_c \ \ell \ \nu \ [V_{cb}^{inc}]$
- $\blacktriangleright V_u b$ 
  - $B \to \pi \ \ell \ \nu \ [V_{ub}]$
  - $\blacktriangleright \quad B \to X_c \ \ell \ \nu$

# phases of CKM

- fully hadronic decays:
  - $B \to K \pi^{\gamma}$  history
  - $B \to J/\psi K_L^\beta$ ,
  - $B \to \pi \pi^{\alpha}$ ,  $\bar{B} \to \pi \rho^{\alpha}$ ,  $B \to \rho \rho^{\alpha}$

rare decays

 $\blacktriangleright \ B \to K^*(\to K\pi) \ \ell^+ \ \ell^-$ 

• 
$$B_s \to \phi(\to KK, \rho\pi)\ell^+\ell^-$$

 $\blacktriangleright \ B \to K \ \ell^+ \ \ell^-$ 

... and many others ...

### flavor point of view

- properties of the current
- New Physics contribution
- Hadronic effects are a neccessary evil

### hadronic point of view

- effects of (hadronic) final states
- coupled channel effects
- do decays need to go through resonances?
- how do the resonances behave in these decays?

### Two points of view on the same thing!

what if in addition to going to very high precision on a few decays, we also do reasonable precision on many decays?

For example: Determine  $V_{ub}$  from  $B \to \pi \ell \nu$ ,  $\Lambda_b \to p \ell \nu$ ,  $B \to \pi \pi \ell \nu$ , and others?

 $\rightarrow$  More information, more insights and less risk of potentialy harmful systematic effects...

... and lattice can do many of these things ... ((or we're at least close)) C. Alexandrou, S. Meinel, J.W. Negele, S. Paul, M. Petschlies, A. Pochinsky, G. Rendon, S. Syritsyn

the final state dictates the complexity of the decay

$$H \to V \ell \nu$$
,  $V \to H_1 H_2$ ,  $H_1', H_2'$ 

- ► 3 angles
- ► 5 form factors
- $\ell \nu$  momentum transfer  $q^2$
- two-hadron invariant mass  $\sqrt{s}$
- ▶  $H_1, H_2, H'_1, H'_2$

 $H \to P \ell \nu$ , P stable

- ► 2 angles
- 2 form factors
- $\ell \nu$  momentum transfer  $q^2$

An Example: 
$$D \to \pi \pi (\to \rho) \ell \nu$$



$$H_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cd} \left( \bar{d}\gamma_\mu c - \bar{d}\gamma_5 \gamma_\mu c \right) \bar{l}\gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \nu$$

[Feldmann B-Physik Workshop, Siegen 2014]

# An Example: $D \to \pi \pi (\to \rho) \ell \nu$



An Example: 
$$D \to \pi \pi (\to \rho) \ell \nu$$

leads to very rich differential decay width

$$H_0(q^2,\sqrt{s}) = \frac{1}{2m_\rho\sqrt{q^2}} \left( (m_D^2 - m_\rho^2 - q^2)(m_D + m_\rho)A_1(q^2,\sqrt{s}) - 4\frac{m_D^2 p_\rho^2}{m_D + m_\rho} A_2(q^2,\sqrt{s}) \right)$$
$$H_{\pm}(q^2,\sqrt{s}) = (m_D + m_\rho)A_1(q^2,\sqrt{s}) \mp \frac{2m_D p_\rho}{m_D + m_\rho} V(q^2,\sqrt{s}))$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\Gamma(D \to \rho(\to \pi\pi)\ell\nu)}{dq^2d\cos\Theta_v d\cos\Theta_l d\chi} &= \frac{3G_F^2|V_{cd}|^2}{8(4\pi)^4} \frac{p_v^2 q^2}{m_D^2} Br(\rho \to \pi\pi) \times \\ &\times ((1+\cos^2\Theta_l)\sin^2\Theta_v |H_+(q^2,\sqrt{s})|^2 + (1-\cos\Theta_l)^2\sin^2\Theta_v |H_-(q^2,\sqrt{s})|^2 \\ &+ 4\sin^2\Theta_l\cos^2\Theta_v |H_0(q^2,\sqrt{s})|^2 \\ &+ 4\sin\Theta_l(1+\cos\Theta_l)\sin\Theta_v\cos\Theta_v\cos\chi H_+(q^2,\sqrt{s})H_0(q^2,\sqrt{s}) \\ &- 4\sin\Theta_l(1-\cos\Theta_l)\sin\Theta_v\cos\Theta_v H_-(q^2,\sqrt{s})H_0(q^2,\sqrt{s}) \\ &- 2\sin^2\Theta_l\sin^2\Theta_v\cos2\chi H_+(q^2,\sqrt{s})H_-(q^2,\sqrt{s}) \end{split}$$

#### [Richman, Burchat Rev.Mod.Phys. 67 (1995)]

# An Example: $D \rightarrow \pi \pi (\rightarrow \rho) \ell \nu$ the lattice: part 1 (preliminary)

# RHQ charm quarks

[El-Khadra et al. 1996, Aoki et al. 2001 & 2003, RBC/UKQCD 2012]

- ► tune  $m_{D_s}$  (PS) to experimental value (1968.3(1) MeV)
- relativistic dispersion relation  $c^2 = 1$ .

- ► renormalization constant: Z<sub>cq</sub> = 1.02054
- mostly nonperturbative method [Hashimoto et al. PRD 61 (1999), El-Khadra et al. PRD 64 (2001)]

► 
$$d_1 = 0.04305$$

$$J_{\Gamma}(y) = Z_{cq}(\bar{q}(y)\Gamma Q(y) + d_1\bar{q}(y)\Gamma\sum_{i=1}^3 \gamma_i(\nabla^i Q)(y))$$

# An Example: $D \to \pi \pi (\to \rho) \ell \nu$ the lattice: part 1 (preliminary)

# $C_3^p(t_\rho, t_J, t_D) = \langle O_D(t_D, \vec{p}_D) O_J(t_J, \vec{q}) O_{\pi\pi}^p(t_\rho, \vec{p}_\rho) \rangle$



optimized three point functions:

$$\Omega_3^n(t_J, \Delta t) = v_p^{(n)} C_3^p(t_J, \Delta t = t_\rho - t_D)$$

determine (finite volume matrix elements):

$$\langle n, \Lambda, r, \vec{p}_{\rho} | J_{V,A}(\vec{q}) | \pi, \vec{p}_{\pi} \rangle$$

# the matrix elements at (0.43838, 0.31339) with $(B_2, (0, 1, 1))$



the matrix elements at (0.48269, 0.195071) with  $(A_2, (1, 1, 1))$ 



# An Example: $D \rightarrow \pi \pi (\rightarrow \rho) \ell \nu$ the lattice: part 3 (preliminary)

map the matrix elements from  $\mathsf{FV}$  to  $\mathsf{IV}$ 

[Lellouch & Lüscher 2001, ..., Briceño, Hansen & Walker-Loud 2014, Feng, Christ, Martinelli & Sachrajda 2015]

$$\frac{|\langle n,\Lambda,r,\vec{p}_{\rho}|J^{\mu},\vec{q}|D,\vec{p}_{D}\rangle(q^{2},\sqrt{s})|_{IV}^{2}}{|\langle n,\Lambda,r,\vec{p}_{\rho}|J^{\mu},\vec{q}|D,\vec{p}_{D}\rangle|_{FV}^{2}} = \frac{32\pi E_{D}\sqrt{s}}{k} \left[\frac{\partial\delta_{1}(\sqrt{s})}{\partial E_{\pi\pi}} + \frac{\partial\phi_{1}^{\vec{d}}(k)}{\partial E_{\pi\pi}}\right]$$





Luka Leskove

# An Example: $D \to \pi \pi (\to \rho) \ell \nu$ the lattice: part 4 (preliminary)



[z-expansion(1-pole) + BW + some residual  $\sqrt{s}$  dependence]

- ► known very well experimentally [FOCUS, hep-ex/0509027]
- maybe we can chime in on the one-pole vs two-pole discussion [Fajfer & Kamenik, hep-ph/0601028]
- use as a check of the methodology ( $V_{cd}$  known very well)
- ▶ (potential interplay with experiment?)
- crucial: cannot treat  $\rho$  as stable

# Other decays

(from M. Neubert Moriond 2017)

- $B \to K\pi(\to K^*(892))\ell^+\ell^ P'_5$ : (2-3  $\sigma$ ),  $R_{K^*}$ : (2.5  $\sigma$ )
- $\blacktriangleright \quad B \to D^* \tau \nu$  $\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}: (3.5 \ \sigma)$
- ►  $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^$ rate: (suppressed at 3.5  $\sigma$ )
- $\blacktriangleright~3~\sigma$  tension in  $V_{ub}$  exclusive vs. inclusive
- $\blacktriangleright~3~\sigma$  tension in  $V_{cb}$  exclusive vs. inclusive
- (and  $\mu g 2$ , K physics)

Is it New Physics? Maybe. But ...

Maybe the Standard Model has some surprises for us?

# Conclusions

- multi-hadron system represent a whole new opportunity in electroweak decay studies
- ▶ a plethora of decays to investigate with QCD
- ▶ still issues though: long-distance effects in general
- ▶ also issues: sometimes resonances couple to three particles
- it can provide additional observables that can be compared to experiment
- ► (better control of systematics)
- semileptonic decays can be done
- ► rare decays can be done
- fully hadronic? a bit harder

Thank you :)