#### **Multi-particle correlations** from the initial state

Mark Mace University of Jyväskylä

**Probing Nucleons and Nuclei in High Energy Collisions Institute for Nuclear Theory** November 13, 2018







### Outline

#### 1.) Introduction and motivation

2.) Dilute-dense CGC

#### 3.) Simple power counting argument for v<sub>n</sub> multiplicity dependence at LHC

MM, V. Skokov, P. Tribedy, R. Venugopalan PLB (in press) [arXiv:1807.00825]

#### 4.) Demonstration of hierarchy of $v_2$ and $v_3$ across small systems in CGC EFT at RHIC

MM, V. Skokov, P. Tribedy, R. Venugopalan PRL 121 (2018) [arXiv:1805.09342], and in preparation

#### 5.) Outlook

## **Initial State Flow**

At high energy  $\rightarrow$  high density gluon matter described by the Color Glass Condensate Effective Field Theory McLerran, Venugopalan, PRD 49 (1994), Iancu, Venugopalan hep-ph/0303204

High gluon density in QCD generates dynamical saturation scale, Q<sub>s</sub>







Note: *Very strongly correlated system*. Dependence on coupling drops out, effective classical description

This talk: CGC has "flow" in line with observations

CGC EFT: solve QCD CYM with static color sources

 $[D_{\mu}, F^{\mu\nu}] = J^{\nu}$  $J^{\nu} = g\delta^{\nu+}\delta(x^{-})\rho_{p}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) + g\delta^{\nu-}\delta(x^{+})\rho_{T}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$ 

#### Dilute-dense regime: $\rho_T/k_T^2 \gg \rho_p/k_T^2$

Kovchegov, Mueller NPB 529 (1998), Kovner, Wiedemann PRD 64 (2001), Dumitru, McLerran NPA 700 (2002), Blaizot, Gelis, Venugopalan NPA 743 (2004),...

 $\frac{dN}{d^2k} \sim g^2 \rho_p^2 f_{(1)}(\rho_T) + g^4 \rho_p^4 f_{(2)}(\rho_T) + \cdots$ 



CGC EFT: solve QCD CYM with static color sources

 $[D_{\mu}, F^{\mu\nu}] = J^{\nu}$  $J^{\nu} = g\delta^{\nu+}\delta(x^{-})\rho_{p}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) + g\delta^{\nu-}\delta(x^{+})\rho_{T}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$ 

#### Dilute-dense regime: $\rho_T/k_T^2 \gg \rho_p/k_T^2$

Kovchegov, Mueller NPB 529 (1998), Kovner, Wiedemann PRD 64 (2001), Dumitru, McLerran NPA 700 (2002), Blaizot, Gelis, Venugopalan NPA 743 (2004),...

 $\frac{dN}{d^2k} \sim g^2 \rho_p^2 f_{(1)}(\rho_T) + g^4 \rho_p^4 f_{(2)}(\rho_T) + \cdots$ 



CGC EFT: solve QCD CYM with static color sources

 $[D_{\mu}, F^{\mu\nu}] = J^{\nu}$  $J^{\nu} = g\delta^{\nu+}\delta(x^{-})\rho_{p}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) + g\delta^{\nu-}\delta(x^{+})\rho_{T}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$ 



Dilute-dense regime:  $\rho_T/k_T^2 \gg \rho_p/k_T^2$ Kovchegov, Mueller NPB 529 (1998), Kovner, Wiedemann PRD 64 (2001), Dumitru, McLerran NPA 700 (2002), Blaizot, Gelis, Venugopalan NPA 743 (2004),...

$$\frac{dN}{d^2k} \sim g^2 \rho_p^2 f_{(1)}(\rho_T) + g^4 \rho_p^4 f_{(2)}(\rho_T) + \cdots$$

Framework has been applied to study numerous final states at RHIC and LHC (quarkonia, photons,...) Talks by S. Benic Wednesday, K. Watanabe Thursday

#### Dense-dense (all O(pp<sup>#</sup>)) leads to IP-Glasma model Schenke, Tribedy, Venugopalan PRL 108 (2012), PRC 86 (2012)

#### Includes quantum correlations (BE, HBT)

Gelis, Lappi, McLerran NPA 828 (2009), Kovner, Rezaeian, PRD 95, 96 (2017), Altinoluk, Armesto, Beuf, Kovner, Lublinsky, PLB 751 (2015), PRD 95 (2017), Kovner, Skokov PRD 98 (2018), PLB 785 (2018), ...

# The v<sub>3</sub> problem

#### Well known analytical solutions at leading order $- O(\rho_{p^4})$

McLerran NPA 700 (2002), Blaizot, Gelis, Venugopalan NPA 743 (2004), Lappi EPJC 55 (2008)

# The v<sub>3</sub> problem

#### Well known analytical solutions at leading order $- O(\rho_p^4)$

McLerran NPA 700 (2002), Blaizot, Gelis, Venugopalan NPA 743 (2004), Lappi EPJC 55 (2008)

#### For double inclusive, $\frac{d^2N}{d^3k_1d^3k_2}$ , leading order is also known

Kovner. Lublinsky, IJMPE 22 (2013), Kovchegov, Wertepny, NPA 906, (2013)

 $\frac{d^2 N}{\frac{d^2 k_1 dy_1 d^2 k_2 dy_2}{k_1 dy_1 d^2 k_2 dy_2}} = \frac{d^2 N}{\frac{k_1 dk_1 dy_1 k_2 dk_2 dy_2}{k_1 dk_1 dy_1 k_2 dk_2 dy_2}}$  $\times \left(1 + 2v_2^2 \{2\} \cos 2(\phi_1 - \phi_2) + 2v_3^2 \{2\} \cos 3(\phi_1 - \phi_2) + \cdots\right)$ 

# The v<sub>3</sub> problem

#### Well known analytical solutions at leading order $- O(\rho_{p^4})$

McLerran NPA 700 (2002), Blaizot, Gelis, Venugopalan NPA 743 (2004), Lappi EPJC 55 (2008)

#### For double inclusive, $\frac{d^2N}{d^3k_1d^3k_2}$ , leading order is also known

Kovner. Lublinsky, IJMPE 22 (2013), Kovchegov, Wertepny, NPA 906, (2013)

 $\frac{d^2 N}{d^2 k_1 dy_1 d^2 k_2 dy_2} = \frac{d^2 N}{k_1 dk_1 dy_1 k_2 dk_2 dy_2}$  $\times \left(1 + 2v_2^2 \{2\} \cos 2(\phi_1 - \phi_2) + 2v_3^2 \{2\} \cos 3(\phi_1 - \phi_2) + \cdots\right)$ 

#### For a non-zero v<sub>3</sub>

McLerran, Skokov NPA 959 (2017), Kovchegov, Skokov PRD 97 (2018)

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\Delta\phi \cos 3\Delta\phi \frac{d^2N}{d^2k_1 d^2k_2} \left(\delta\phi\right) = \int_{0}^{\pi} d\Delta\phi \cos 3\Delta\phi \frac{d^2N}{d^2k_1 d^2k_2} \left(\delta\phi\right) - \int_{0}^{\pi} d\Delta\phi \cos 3\Delta\phi \frac{d^2N}{d^2k_1 d^2k_2} \left(\delta\phi + \pi\right)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} d\Delta\phi \cos 3\Delta\phi \left[\frac{d^2N}{d^2k_1 d^2k_2} \left(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2\right) - \frac{d^2N}{d^2k_1 d^2k_2} \left(\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_2\right)\right]$$
Must be non-vanishing

#### However, at LO, exactly zero — but non-zero at all orders

McLerran, Skokov NPA 959 (2017), Kovchegov, Skokov PRD 97 (2018) Lappi, Srednyak, Venugopalan JHEP 1001 (2010), Schenke, Schlichting, Venugopalan PLB 747 (2015)

Issue resolved at next order in  $\rho_p$ Symmetry broken in  $\frac{d^2N}{d^3k_1d^3k_2}$  by first saturation correction  $O(\rho_p^6)$ 

McLerran, Skokov NPA 959 (2017)

McLerran, Skokov NPA 959 (2017)

Issue resolved at next order in  $\rho_p$ Symmetry broken in  $\frac{d^2N}{d^3k_1d^3k_2}$  by first saturation correction  $O(\rho_p^6)$ 

McLerran, Skokov NPA 959 (2017)



McLerran, Skokov NPA 959 (2017)

 $\begin{aligned} & \frac{dN^{\text{even}}(\mathbf{k})}{d^{2}kdy} \Big[ \rho_{p}, \rho_{t} \Big] = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\delta_{ij} \delta_{lm} + \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{lm}}{k^{2}} \Omega_{ij}^{a}(\mathbf{k}) \left[ \Omega_{lm}^{a}(\mathbf{k}) \right]^{*} \sim \rho_{p}^{2} \\ & \frac{dN^{\text{odd}}(\mathbf{k})}{d^{2}kdy} \Big[ \rho_{p}, \rho_{T} \Big] = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{3}} \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{g}{\mathbf{k}^{2}} \int \frac{d^{2}l}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{\text{Sign}(\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{l})}{l^{2}|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}|^{2}} f^{abc} \Omega_{ij}^{a}(\mathbf{l}) \Omega_{mn}^{b}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}) \left[ \Omega_{rp}^{c}(\mathbf{k}) \right]^{*} \times \\ & \left[ \left( \mathbf{k}^{2} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{mn} - \mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}) (\epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{mn} + \delta^{ij} \delta^{mn}) \right) \epsilon^{rp} + 2\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}) \epsilon^{ij} \delta^{mn} \delta^{rp} \right] \right\} \sim \rho_{p}^{3} \end{aligned}$ 

Projectile Target  
In terms of: 
$$\Omega_{ij}^{a}(\mathbf{x}) = g \left[ \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \rho_p^b(\mathbf{x}) \right] \partial_j U^{ab}(\mathbf{x})$$

Valence sources rotated by target

Issue resolved at next order in  $\rho_p$ Symmetry broken in  $\frac{d^2N}{d^3k_1d^3k_2}$  by first saturation correction O( $\rho_p^6$ )

McLerran, Skokov NPA 959 (2017)



McLerran, Skokov NPA 959 (2017)

Then in Fock-Schwinger gauge (A<sub>T</sub>=0)  $\frac{dN^{\text{even}}(\mathbf{k})}{d^{2}kdy} \left[\rho_{p}, \rho_{t}\right] = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\delta_{ij}\delta_{lm} + \epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{lm}}{k^{2}} \Omega_{ij}^{a}(\mathbf{k}) \left[\Omega_{lm}^{a}(\mathbf{k})\right]^{*} \sim \rho_{p}^{2}$   $\frac{dN^{\text{odd}}(\mathbf{k})}{d^{2}kdy} \left[\rho_{p}, \rho_{T}\right] = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{3}} \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{g}{\mathbf{k}^{2}} \int \frac{d^{2}l}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{\text{Sign}(\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{l})}{l^{2}|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}|^{2}} f^{abc} \Omega_{ij}^{a}(\mathbf{l}) \Omega_{mn}^{b}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}) \left[\Omega_{rp}^{c}(\mathbf{k})\right]^{*} \times \left[ \left(\mathbf{k}^{2}\epsilon^{ij}\epsilon^{mn} - \mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l})(\epsilon^{ij}\epsilon^{mn} + \delta^{ij}\delta^{mn})\right) \epsilon^{rp} + 2\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l})\epsilon^{ij}\delta^{mn}\delta^{rp} \right] \right\} \sim \rho_{p}^{3}$ 

In terms of: 
$$\Omega_{ij}^{a}(\mathbf{x}) = g \left[ \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \rho_p^b(\mathbf{x}) \right] \partial_j U^{ab}(\mathbf{x})$$

Valence sources rotated by target

Same results in LC gauge (A+=0)

Kovchegov, Skokov PRD 97 (2018)

For more details: see talk by V. Skokov week 6

Issue resolved at next order in  $\rho_p$ Symmetry broken in  $\frac{d^2N}{d^3k_1d^3k_2}$  by first saturation correction  $O(\rho_p^6)$ 

McLerran, Skokov NPA 959 (2017)

$$\begin{split} & \frac{dN^{\text{even}}(\mathbf{k})}{d^{2}kdy} \Big[ \rho_{p}, \rho_{t} \Big] = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\delta_{ij} \delta_{lm} + \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{lm}}{k^{2}} \Omega_{ij}^{a}(\mathbf{k}) \left[ \Omega_{lm}^{a}(\mathbf{k}) \right]^{\star} \sim \rho_{p}^{2} \\ & \frac{dN^{\text{odd}}(\mathbf{k})}{d^{2}kdy} \Big[ \rho_{p}, \rho_{T} \Big] = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{3}} \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{g}{\mathbf{k}^{2}} \int \frac{d^{2}l}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{\text{Sign}(\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{l})}{l^{2}|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}|^{2}} f^{abc} \Omega_{ij}^{a}(\mathbf{l}) \Omega_{mn}^{b}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}) \left[ \Omega_{rp}^{c}(\mathbf{k}) \right]^{\star} \times \\ & \left[ \left( \mathbf{k}^{2} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{mn} - \mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}) (\epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{mn} + \delta^{ij} \delta^{mn}) \right) \epsilon^{rp} + 2\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l}) \epsilon^{ij} \delta^{mn} \delta^{rp} \right] \right\} \sim \rho_{p}^{3} \end{split}$$

Multi-particle distributions then defined as

$$\frac{d^2N}{d^2k_1dy_1\dots d^2k_ndy_n} = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{dN}{d^2k_1dy_1} \Big|_{\rho_p,\rho_T} \dots \frac{dN}{d^2k_ndy_n} \Big|_{\rho_p,\rho_T} \right\rangle_p \right\rangle_T$$

Armesto, McLerran, Para NPA 781 (2006), Gelis, Lappi, Venugopalan PRD 78 (2008)

#### Only well defined for ensemble $W[\rho_T, \rho_p]$

Even harmonics appear at LO dilute-dense

Odd harmonics only non-zero at next to leading order in  $\rho_p$ —first saturation correction (*full result still elusive*)

McLerran, Skokov NPA 959 (2017), Kovchegov, Skokov PRD 97 (2018)



Consider rescaling by a constant c

$$\rho_p \to c \rho_p \qquad \longrightarrow \quad \Omega \to c \Omega$$

MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PLB (in press) arXiv:1807.00825

Singe-event multiplicity rescales as:

$$\frac{dN}{d^2kdy}[\rho_p,\rho_t] \to c^2 \frac{dN}{d^2kdy}[\rho_p,\rho_t] + \mathcal{O}(c^3)$$

**Rescaling Fourier harmonics** 

$$v_n^2\{2\}(N_{ch}) = \int W[\rho_p] W[\rho_t] |Q_n[\rho_p, \rho_t]|^2 \,\delta(N_{ch} - \frac{dN}{dy}[\rho_p, \rho_t])$$

#### In terms of moments

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{2n}\left[\rho_{p},\rho_{t}\right] &= \frac{\int_{p_{1}}^{p_{2}} \int_{k_{\perp},\phi} e^{i2n\phi} \frac{dN^{\text{even}}(\mathbf{k}_{\perp})}{d^{2}k_{\perp}dy} \left[\rho_{p},\rho_{t}\right]}{\int_{p_{1}}^{p_{2}} \int_{k_{\perp},\phi} \frac{dN^{\text{even}}(\mathbf{k}_{\perp})}{d^{2}k_{\perp}dy} \left[\rho_{p},\rho_{t}\right]} \to c^{0} \ Q_{2n}\left[\rho_{p},\rho_{t}\right] \quad , \quad |Q_{2n}|^{2} \sim \left(\frac{dN}{dy}[\rho_{p},\rho_{t}]\right)^{0} \\ Q_{2n+1}\left[\rho_{p},\rho_{t}\right] &= \frac{\int_{p_{1}}^{p_{2}} \int_{k_{\perp},\phi} e^{i(2n+1)\phi} \frac{dN^{\text{odd}}(\mathbf{k}_{\perp})}{d^{2}k_{\perp}dy} \left[\rho_{p},\rho_{t}\right]}{\int_{p_{1}}^{p_{2}} \int_{k_{\perp},\phi} \frac{dN^{\text{even}}(\mathbf{k}_{\perp})}{d^{2}k_{\perp}dy} \left[\rho_{p},\rho_{t}\right]} \to c \ Q_{2n+1}\left[\rho_{p},\rho_{t}\right], \quad |Q_{2n+1}|^{2} \sim \left(\frac{dN}{dy}[\rho_{p},\rho_{t}]\right)^{1} \end{aligned}$$

Dilute-dense CGC scaling is then

$$v_{2n}\{2\} \sim N_{ch}^0$$
,  $v_{2n+1}\{2\} \sim N_{ch}^{1/2}$ 

MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PLB (in press) arXiv:1807.00825

Fixing proportionality coefficient at a single multiplicity for each vn



High projectile density effects may explain large N<sub>ch</sub> deviation

MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PLB (in press) arXiv:1807.00825

Fixing proportionality coefficient at a single multiplicity for each vn



11

# Initial configurations

#### For initial nuclear configurations, use data-guided approach similar to IP-Glasma model

Schenke, Tribedy, Venugopalan PRL 108 (2012), PRC 86 (2012)

#### Sample nucleon positions as is done in Monte-Carlo Glauber

IP-Sat model (+fluctuations) provides Q<sub>s</sub><sup>2</sup>(x,b) for each nucleon Kowalski, Teaney, Phys.Rev. D68 (2003) 114005, McLerran, Tribedy NPA 945 (2016)

#### Example of three high multiplicity (0-5%) configurations



Color charge fluctuations sampled event-by-event with MV model:  $\langle \rho_{p/T}^{a}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \rho_{p/T}^{b}(\mathbf{y}_{\perp}) \rangle = g^{2} \mu^{2} (x, \mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{x}_{\perp} + \mathbf{y}_{\perp})/2) \delta^{ab} \delta^{2} (\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{y}_{\perp})$ 

MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PRL 121 (2018) arXiv:1805.09342, and in progress

#### Dilute-dense CGC EFT framework

13

From initial p's, calculate particle production — includes quantum effects (BE, HBT)

Essential to account for color charge fluctuations; in particular for p+p

Generates negative binomial distributions from first principles, not an input!

Gelis, Lappi, McLerran NPA 828 (2009) Schenke, Tribedy, Venugopalan PRC 86 (2012) McLerran, Tribedy NPA 945 (2016)

#### Reasonable agreement found with STAR d+Au multiplicity distribution







# Gluon correlations vs RHIC data for small systems



MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PRL 121 (2018) arXiv:1805.09342, and in preparation

#### Key features of system dependence captured by initial state gluon correlations

#### v<sub>3</sub> known to be fluctuation dominated — mismatch on high multiplicity tail needs to be better understood

Alver, Roland PRC 81 (2010)

# Quantifying systematic uncertainties

All parameters are fixed, even for p and <sup>3</sup>He, by fit to STAR d+Au multiplicity distribution. Would be useful to have p/ <sup>3</sup>He+Au multiplicity distributions

# Nuclear wave function: strong short-range correlations (measured at JLab). Exciting prospect; quantify influence on high multiplicity events

c.f. Hen, Miller, Piasetzky, Weinstein Rev.Mod.Phys. 89 (2017); Cruz-Torres, Schmidt, Miller, Weinstein, Barnea, Weiss, Piasetzky, Hen arXiv:1710.07966 Hen, MM, Schmidt, Venugopalan, in progress.

#### Talk by O. Hen during week 5

#### Fragmentation — uncertainty which enters in multiplicity spectrum and $v_n$ : CGC+Lund string model can be applied here

e.g. Schenke, Schlichting, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PRL 117 (2016) no.16, 162301

A naïve interpretation of results: Fixed multiplicity class  $\longmapsto$ 

larger average N<sub>ch</sub> for larger systems  $\mapsto$  larger average



Natural consequence: same multiplicity ~ similar correlations

However, we are considering non-linear QCD with quantum effects, classical intuition may be misleading



MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, in preparation



MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, in preparation

### Case study: MV

Consider simplified infinite MV target

Deuteron positions determined by Hulthén wavefunction

For small separation, P(d=d<sub>np</sub>)~d<sup>1/2</sup>, thus close configurations suppressed

But, in dilute-dense CGC, high-multiplicity events are exponentially biased to 'close' configurations



# Case study: MV

Since close configurations dominate high-multiplicity events, effect is seemingly different than geometry

Parametrically in dilute-dense CGC  $N_{ch} \sim Q_{s,proj}^2 S_{\perp}$ means that close configurations have greater *effective* Q<sub>s,proj</sub> – may drive v<sub>n</sub>'s



Similar results for full event-by-event model

Contribution from target fluctuations, etc, needs to be better understood

Determining origin of v<sub>2</sub> more complicated work in progress



# Same multiplicity p/d+Au

#### Dilute-dense CGC conjecture: same multiplicity ~ similar correlations

MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PRL 121 (2018) arXiv:1805.09342



STAR from QM18 presentation by S Huang: https://tinyurl.com/y95aeupu, PHENIX arXiv:1805.02973

#### Systematic uncertainties between experiments, methods

# Same multiplicity p/d+Au



STAR data from QM18 presentation by S Huang: https://tinyurl.com/y95aeupu

#### Intriguing result that p/d+Au at same multiplicity are compatible

### Conclusions

#### Dilute-dense CGC gives multiplicity dependence in line with vn at LHC

MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PLB (in press) arXiv:1807.00825

#### Full dilute-dense CGC framework able to describe system size hierarchy of $v_2$ and $v_3$ at RHIC

MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PRL 121 (2018) arXiv:1805.09342

# Color charge fluctuations and quantum correlations crucial features of framework, cannot be reproduced by classical intuitions

MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PRL 121 (2018) arXiv:1805.09342, and in progress

To quantify the roles of initial state and hydrodynamics, important to have p/<sup>3</sup>He+Au multiplicity distributions and anisotropies in different event classes, different observables



#### BACKUP

### Charge and fields



#### **Hierarchy of anisotropies** across systems

System size dependence at RHIC captured by CGC initial state gluon correlations



MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PRL 121 (2018) arXiv:1805.09342

#### Fluctuating initial shape

Constrain proton shape fluctuations from comparison to exclusive J/Ψ production (HERA)



Fig. 3. Example of the proton density profiles at  $x \approx 10^{-3}$ . The quantity shown is  $1 - \text{Re Tr}V(\mathbf{x})/N_c$ .

#### Incoherent cross section sensitive to fluctuations



Fig. 1. Diffractive vector meson production in dipole picture.



Mäntysaari, Schenke, PRL 117 (2016) 052301; PRD 94 (2016) 034042

# Fluctuating projectile

Important for spatial eccentricity driven models (hydro)



#### IP-Glasma+Fluct. proton+MUSIC+UrQMD



#### CGC has only momentumspace correlations



Schlichting, Schenke, Venugopalan PLB 742 (2015)

### No qualitative difference observed

#### Continuum limit v<sub>4</sub>



MM, Skokov, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PLB (in press) arXiv:1807.00825

#### A parton model

#### Working in dilute-dense limit: $Q_s(target) \gg Q_s(projectile)$ , consider eikonal quark scattering off dense nuclear target with color domains of size ~1/ $Q_{s,T}$

Lappi, PLB 744, 315 (2015); Lappi, Schenke, Schlichting, Venugopalan, JHEP 1601 (2016) 061; Dusling, MM, Venugopalan PRL 120 (2018), PRD 97 (2018)

#### Quark coherent multiple scattering off target represented by Wilson line phase

Bjorken, Kogut, Soper, PRD (1971), Dumitru, Jalilian-Marian, PRL 89 (2002)

$$U(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{P}\exp\left(-ig\int dz^+ A^{a-}(\mathbf{x}, z^+) t^a\right)$$



Single quark inclusive distribution

$$\left\langle \frac{dN_q}{d^2 \mathbf{p}} \right\rangle \simeq \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}} e^{-|\mathbf{b}|^2 / B_p} e^{-|\mathbf{k}|^2 B_p} e^{i(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} \left( U(\mathbf{b} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}) U^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b} - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}) \right) \right\rangle$$

$$\text{Projectile: Wigner function} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Target scattering:} \\ \text{Dipole operator D(x, y)} \end{array}$$

\*Single scale to defines projectile  $B_p = 4 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  from HERA DIS fits

#### A parton model

Generalizing for multiple particle correlations for *simple* model of multiple particle correlations  $\left\langle \frac{d^m N}{d^2 \mathbf{p}_1 \dots d^2 \mathbf{p}_m} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{dN}{d^2 \mathbf{p}_1} \dots \frac{dN}{d^2 \mathbf{p}_m} \right\rangle \sim \int \langle D \dots D \rangle$ 



Novel method to compute arbitrary Wilson line correlators in MV - arXiv:1706.06260

 $dN/d^2\mathbf{p}$  itself is not well defined. Average over classical configurations and over all events using MV model

McLerran, Venugopalan, PRD 49, 3352, 2233 (1994)

Generate cumulants, integrate to scale  $p_{\perp}^{max}$ 

$$\kappa_n\{m\} = \int_{\mathbf{p}_1...\mathbf{p}_m} \cos\left(n\left(\phi_1^p + ... - \phi_m^p\right)\right) \left\langle \frac{d^m N}{d^2 \mathbf{p}_1...d^2 \mathbf{p}_m} \right\rangle \\ c_2\{2\} = \frac{\kappa_2\{2\}}{\kappa_0\{2\}}, \ c_2\{4\} = \frac{\kappa_2\{4\}}{\kappa_0\{4\}} - 2\left(\frac{\kappa_2\{2\}}{\kappa_0\{2\}}\right)^2, \dots$$

# Multi-particle quark correlations

Ordering in two particle Fourier harmonics similar to data



#### Multi-particle quark correlations

 $c_{2}$ {4} becomes negative for increasing  $Q_{s}$ 



#### Mild dependence on maximum integrated $p_{\perp}$

# Multi-particle quark correlations



Dusling, MM, Venugopalan PRL 120 (2018)

CMS PLB 724 (2013) 213

#### No inverse scaling by number of domains in CGC and data

## Scale dependence

Two dimensionless scales:  $Q_s^2 B_p$ , the number of domains, and the ratio of resolution scales,  $Q_s^2/(p_{\perp}^{\max})^2$ .



 $(p_{\perp}^{\max})^2 \lesssim Q_s^2$ : probe coarse graining over multiple domains  $(p_{\perp}^{\max})^2 \gtrsim Q_s^2$ : probe resolves area less than domain size Scaling with inverse number of domains seen only for large  $p_{\perp}^{\max}$ 

# Collectivity from parton model

For computational reduction, consider Abelian version



Dusling, MM, Venugopalan PRL 120 (2018)

CMS PRL 115 (2015) 012301

Clear demonstration that  $v_2\{2\} \ge v_2\{4\} \approx v_2\{6\} \approx v_2\{8\}$ collectivity not unique to hydrodynamics

#### Symmetric Quark Cumulants

Symmetric cumulants: mixed harmonic cumulants



Dusling, MM, Venugopalan PRD 97 (2018)

CMS-PAS-HIN-16-022

### Multiparticle correlations

Integrating momentum of m-1 particles



Dusling, MM, Venugopalan PRD 97 (2018)

CMS PLB 724 (2013) 213

Similar characteristic shape

# Comparison to glasma graphs

# Glasma graph approximation, valid only for $p_{\perp} > Q_s$ , only considers single gluon exchange

Dumitru, Gelis, McLerran, Venugopalan, NPA 810 (2008), Dusling, Venugopalan PRL 108 (2012), PRD 87 (2013)

#### Glasma graphs have very strong correlations, close to a Bose distribution (as in a laser)

Gelis, Lappi, McLerran NPA 828 (2009)



#### Multiple scattering suppresses higher cumulants $\rightarrow$ c<sub>2</sub>{2}<0

Dusling, MM, Venugopalan PRD 97 (2018)