





In collaboration with: V.Carassiti, G.Ciullo, P.Lenisa, L.Pappalardo, E.Steffens

22/10/18 **INT - Seattle**

Merging 3 worlds



Merging 3 worlds



Kinematics



pp or pA collisions: 0.9 - 7 TeV beam on fix target $\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{2m_N E_p} \simeq 41 - 115 \ GeV$ $y_{CMS} = 0 \rightarrow y_{lab} = 4.8$



AA collisions: 2.76 TeV beam on fix target $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \simeq 72 \ GeV$ $y_{CMS} = 0 \rightarrow y_{lab} = 4.3$

Kinematics



pp or pA collisions: 0.9 - 7 TeV beam on fix target $\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{2m_N E_p} \simeq 41 - 115 \ GeV$ $y_{CMS} = 0 \rightarrow y_{lab} = 4.8$



AA collisions: 2.76 TeV beam on fix target $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \simeq 72 \ GeV$ $y_{CMS} = 0 \rightarrow y_{lab} = 4.3$

Boost effect \rightarrow access to large x₂ physics (-1<x_F<0)



Why

-Advance our understanding of the large-x gluon, antiquark and heavy quark content in nucleons and nuclei
-Advance our understanding of the dynamics and spin distributions of gluons inside (un)polarised nucleons
-Study heavy-ion collisions between SPS and RHIC energies at large rapidities

Why

-Advance our understanding of the large-x gluon, antiquark and heavy quark content in nucleons and nuclei
-Advance our understanding of the dynamics and spin distributions of gluons inside (un)polarised nucleons
-Study heavy-ion collisions between SPS and RHIC energies at large rapidities

- Unique and large kinematic coverage
- High luminosity and high resolution detectors rare probes
- Proton or Heavy-Ion Beam
- Large variety of atomic gas targets: $H_2, D_2, He, N_2, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe$
- Polarised targets: $H^{\uparrow}, D^{\uparrow}$

Physics Motivations (non exhaustive list)

Very large uncertainties on the partonic structure of nucleons and nuclei at large-x

 Δ >50% for x>0.6 on d(x)

 Δ >50% for x>0.2 on g(x)

very large on sea quarks



Ratio of PDFs to the CJ15 central values for various PDF sets:

Smaller uncertainty could better constraint models on hadron structure, e.g. for x—>1

- d/u -> 1/2 : SU(6) spin-flavour symmetry
- d/u -> 0 : scalar diquark dominance
- $d/u \rightarrow 1/5$: pQCD power counting
- $d/u \rightarrow 0.42$: local quark-hadron duality



Smaller uncertainty could better constraint models on hadron

structure, e.g. for x->1

- d/u —> 1/2 : SU(6) spin-flavour symmetry
- d/u -> 0 : scalar diquark dominance
- d/u —> 1/5 : pQCD power counting
- d/u -> 0.42 : local quark-hadron duality

At the LHC fixed target pp, pA, Pb-p and Pb-A collisions one has unique kinematic conditions at the poorly explored energy of √s ~ 100 GeV

Fermi motion in the nucleus can allow to access the exotic x > 1 region, where parton dynamics depends on the interaction between the nucleons within the nucleus.

A bridge between QCD and nuclear physics



x PRD 93, 114017 (2016)



arXiv:1807.00603



Substantial improvement of the uncertainties

estimation with 10 fb⁻¹

Intrinsic heavy-quark:

-recent global QCD analyses support the existence of non-perturbative intrinsic charm (not generated perturbatively by g-splitting)

-5-quark Fock state (uudQQ) of the proton may appear at high x

-charm PDFs at large x could be larger (EMC, SELEX) than the one obtained from conventional fits

• W[±] boson production near threshold ... never measured before -strongly dependent on quark PDFs at large x -search for heavy partners of the gauge bosons (predicted by many extensions to SM) -access to the barely known ratio \bar{d}/\bar{u} at high-x



Transverse Momentum Distributions (TMDs)



Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 032002 (2013)

TMDs effects can have a significant impact on LHC physics: from the Higgs sector to the BSM physics, from the understanding of the J/ Ψ polarisation to the quarkonia world, ...

Transverse Momentum Distributions (TMDs)



Z-boson transverse momentum q_T spectrum in pp by CMS

Effective field theories

Soft Collinear Effective Theory pT distribution for gg-Higgs



TRANSVERSE MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTIONS FROM EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY

Sonny Mantry* University of Wisconsin at Madison Madison, WI 53706, USA mantry147@qmail.com

Frank Petriello High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory Argonne, IL 60439, USA

Department of Physics & Astronomy, Northwestern University Evanston, IL 60208, USA f-petriello@northwestern.edu

... still unsolved

...then the SPIN

-...

3D mapping of the parton momentum: access to ...

-quark and gluon orbital angular momentum L_q and L_g -gluon transverse-momentum dependent PDF (TMDs) -linearly polarised gluons in unpolarised protons







		Gluon TMDs					
		Unpol	Linearly pol.				
н	U	f_1^{g}		$h_1^{\perp g}$			
a d	L		g_1^g	$h_{1L}^{\perp g}$			
r o n	т	$f_{1T}^{\perp g}$	$g_{1T}^{\perp g}$	$\begin{array}{c c} h_{1T}^{g} \\ h_{1T}^{\perp g} \\ h_{1T}^{\perp g} \end{array}$			

- Very significant progress in the last 15 years!
- Many experiments involved: HERMES, COMPASS, JLAB, RHIC, BELLE, BABAR, ...
- First extractions from global analyses
- Now entering into the precision era!
- Theory framework consolidated
- ... but experimental access still extremely limited
- LHCSpin can provide a significant contribution to the field, already with an unpolarised target
 - Note: gluons with non-zero p_T inside an unpolarised hadron can be linearly polarized!



- Being heavy quarks dominantly produced through gluon-gluon interactions, one can probe the gluon dynamics within the proton by measuring heavyflavor observables
- * At LHC **quarkonia** production is dominated by gluon fusion
- * Heavy quarks and quarkonium production turns out to be an ideal gluon-sensitive observable

TMD factorisation requires $p_T(Q) << M_Q$. At the LHC one can look at the back-toback production of quarkonia and isolated photon or associated production, where only relative p_T is small:

 $pp \rightarrow J/\psi + \gamma + X$ $pp \rightarrow \Upsilon + \gamma + X$ $pp \rightarrow J/\psi + J/\psi + X$







Being heavy quarks dominantly produced through gluon-gluon interactions, one can probe the gluon dynamics within the proton by measuring heavyflavor observables



* Heavy quarks and quarkonium production turns out to be an ideal gluon-sensitive observable



The expected yields are much larger than previous fixed-target experiments

Mesons are unique observables, poorly accessible from other hadron-hadron experiments [unique channels: pseudoscalar quarkonia (η , η_c , $\eta_c(2S)$, $\chi_{c,b}$), Y, J/ Ψ , Ψ ', di–J/ Ψ , Y(1,2,3S), D, B-mesons, DY ($\mu^+\mu^-$)]



As for quark TMDs, also the gluon TMD phenomenology is enriched by the **process dependence** originating from ISI/FSI and encoded in the **gauge links**.

The gluon correlator depends on two path-dependent gauge links [D. Boer: arXiv:1611.06089]

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu\,[\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}']}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_T) \equiv \int \frac{d(\xi\cdot P)\,d^2\xi_T}{(P\cdot n)^2(2\pi)^3} e^{i(xP+k_T)\cdot\xi} \langle P|\mathrm{Tr}_c\left[F^{n\nu}(0)\mathcal{U}_{[0,\xi]}F^{n\mu}(\xi)\mathcal{U}_{[\xi,0]}\right]|P\rangle$$



Both f_1^g and $h_1^{\perp g}$ are process dependent! Each of them can be of two types:

[++] = [--] Weizsacker-Williams (WW) [+-] = [-+] DiPole (DP)

- can differ in magnitude and width (!)
- can be probed by different processes

[D. Boer: <u>arXiv:1611.06089</u>]

		DIG	DV	arDia	A			. T / 1 T
		DIS	DY	SIDIS	$pA \to \gamma \operatorname{jet} X$	$e p \to e' Q \overline{Q} X$	$pp \to \eta_{c,b} X$	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma X$
						$e p \to e' j_1 j_2 X$	$pp \to H X$	$pp \to \Upsilon \gamma X$
-	$f_1^{g[+,+]}$ (WW)	×	×	×	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
•	$f_1^{g[+,-]}$ (DP)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	×	×

		$pp \rightarrow \gamma \gamma X$	$pA \to \gamma^* \operatorname{jet} X$	$e p \to e' Q \overline{Q} X$	$pp \to \eta_{c,b} X$	$pp \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma X$
<u> </u>				$e p \to e' j_1 j_2 X$	$pp \to HX$	$pp \to \Upsilon \gamma X$
	$h_1^{\perp g [+,+]} (WW)$	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
	$h_1^{\perp g [+,-]} (\mathrm{DP})$	×	\checkmark	×	×	×

Can be measured at EIC

Can be measured at the LHC, in particular at LHCb

• ... then the quark PDFs



- Clean process
- LHCb has excellent reconstruction capabilities for $\mu\mu$ channel!
- Dominant process: $\overline{q}(x_{beam}) + q(x_{target}) \rightarrow \mu\mu$
- But also possible: $q(x_{beam}) + \overline{q}(x_{target}) \rightarrow \mu\mu$
- Allows to study the antiquark content of the nucleon!
- Provides sensitivity to unpolarized and BM TMDs up to high x₂

 $\sigma_{UU} \propto f_1 f_1 + \cos 2\phi \, h_1^\perp h_1^\perp$



- sea is not flavour symmetric!
- hints that: $\overline{s}(x) \neq s(x)$
- Brodsky et al. arXiv:1809.04975
- intrinsic sea quarks?

Single Spin Asymmetries: non-collinear (leading twist) approach

-involves TMD PDFs and FFs
-requires 2 scales (p_T<<Q), but is not supported by TMD factorization
-can be considered as an effective model description (Generalized Parton Model)
-SSAs arise mainly from Sivers effects



-Asymmetries above 10%! (for pions)

-The effect increases with more negative CM rapidity

Anselmino et al. arXiv:1504.03791v2

Probing the polarised gluon PDFs

Inclusive pion production provides sensitivity to the quark PDFs, but a fixed polarized target at LHC can also open the way to the **extraction of polarized gluon PDFs through heavy-flavour observables:**



		Gluon TMDs					
		Unpol	Linearly pol.				
H a d r o n	U	f_1^g		$h_1^{\perp g}$			
	L		g_1^g	$h_{1L}^{\perp g}$			
	т	$f_{1T}^{\perp g}$	$g_{1T}^{\perp g}$	$h^g_{1T} \ h^{\perp g}_{1T}$			

One main achievement would be accessing the **gluon Sivers function through STSAs:**

- first hints by RHIC and COMPASS, but still basically unknown!
- shed light on spin-orbit correlations of gluons inside the proton
- sensitive to gluon orbital angular momentum!

The measured STSAs can be related (GPM) to the convolution of the gluon Sivers function for the target proton and the unpolarized gluon pdf for the beam proton:

$$A_{N} = \frac{1}{P} \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow}}{\sigma^{\uparrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow}} \sim \frac{1}{P} \frac{N_{h}^{\uparrow} - N_{h}^{\downarrow}}{N_{h}^{\uparrow} + N_{h}^{\downarrow}} \propto \left[f_{1T}^{\perp g}(x_{a}, k_{\perp a}) \otimes f_{g}(x_{b}, k_{\perp b}) \otimes d\sigma_{gg \to QQg} \right] \sin \phi_{S} + \cdots$$

Process dependence of the GSF

Two independent gluon Sivers functions can be defined from the different combinations of Wilson lines in the gluon correlator:

 $f_{1T}^{\perp g[+,+]}$ "f-type" \rightarrow antisymmetric colour structures

 $f_{1T}^{\perp g[+,-]}$ "d-type" \rightarrow symmetric colour structures

Can differ in magnitude and width (!)

Can be probed by different processes:



Same sign-change relation expected for the other T-odd gTMDs h_1^g and $h_{1T}^{\perp g}$!

Quark TMDs: a golden channel like DY

and J.P.Lansberg, PBC CERN 2018 x_2 x_2 x_2 $0.14 \ 0.16 \ 0.18 \ 0.2 \ 0.22 \ 0.24 \ 0.26 \ 0.28$ 0.08 0.06 0.070.09 0.35 0.4 0.45 0.5 0.55 0.6 0.65 0.7 0.750.050.10.06 0.06 0.06 $A_{UU}^{\cos 2q}$ $A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi}$ $A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi}$ $A_{UT}^{\sin\phi_S}$ 0.05 0.05 $A_{UT}^{\sin\phi_S}$ $A_{UT}^{\sin\phi_S}$ 0.05 $A_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi\pm\phi_S)}$ $A_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi\pm\phi_S)}$ $\sin(2\phi \pm \phi_S)$ Projected precision **Projected precision** Projected precision 0.04 0.040.04 0.030.03 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.01 $2 < y^{lab} < 3$ $3 < y^{lab} < 4$ $4 < y^{lab} < 5$ 0 0 0 8 56 9 8 Δ 56 7 9 4 56 8 9 4 $M_{\ell\ell}$ [GeV] $M_{\ell\ell}$ [GeV] $M_{\ell\ell}$ [GeV] Lpp~10 fb⁻¹ Expected statistical uncertainty on asymmetries in DY production at LHCb-like experiment $A_{UT}^{sin(2\phi+\phi_S)} \sim \frac{h_1^{\perp q} \otimes h_{1T}^{\perp q}}{f_1^q \otimes f_1^q}$ $A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi} \sim \frac{h_1^{\perp q} \otimes h_1^{\perp q}}{f_1^{\cdot q} \otimes f_1^{\cdot q}}$ $A_{UT}^{\sin\phi_S} \sim \frac{f_1^q \otimes f_{1T}^{\perp q}}{f_1^q \otimes f_1^q}$ $A_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi-\phi_S)} \sim \frac{h_1^{\perp q} \otimes h_1^q}{f_1^q \otimes f_1^q}$

arXiv:1807.00603

Excellent precision achievable for observables connected to (i.e.) the transversity, the Boer-Mulders function, the pretzelosity and the Sivers TMDs

HI collisions

pA collisions:

-nuclear matter effects on PDFs (special sensitivity to high-x, e.g. poorly understood gluon anti-shadowing)

-studies of parton energy-loss in cold nuclear matter

• PbA collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 72 \text{ GeV}$

-study of QGP formation (quarkonium suppression, jet-quenching in hot nuclear matter)

-fixed target kinematics allows to study the nucleus remnants in its rest frame (after QGP formation)



cc bound states: J/ψ, χ_c , ψ', ... different binding energy, different dissociation temperature



Elliptic flow in ultra-relativistic collisions with polarised deuterons

arXiv:1808.09840

Ridge and flow measurements, connected to collectivity phenomena, are among the most interesting results achieved in the last years in the QGP physics.

We can put this in connection with spin clarifying the nature of dynamics in small systems

its experimental confirmation would prove the presence of the shape-flow transmutation mechanism, typical of hydrodynamic expansion, or rescattering in the later stages of the fireball evolution



We are already on the road ...

SMOG2 and L+C

We are already on the road ...





SMOG, a successful idea and a pseudo-target

System for Measuring Overlap with Gas (SMOG) has been thought for precise luminosity measurements by beam gas imaging, but then it served as a "pseudo-target" producing interesting results





2 papers are going to be published on PRL: -antiproton production in p-He collisions @ 110 GeV -charm (D⁰ and J/ ψ) production in p-Ar collisions @ 110 GeV

In print on PRL (arXiv:1808.06127) Submitted to PRL (arXiv:1810.07907)

<u>New perspectives in QCD and soft QCD for Cosmic Ray Physics</u>

Kinetic energy T [GeV]



 $E_{v_{\mu}}$ [GeV]

CERNCOURIER | International journal of high-energy physics



Home | About | News | Features | Community | Viewpoint | Reviews | Archive | Past Issues

LHCb brings cosmic collisions down to Earth



Collision and scattering events (expand for full image)

In an effort to improve our understanding of cosmic rays, the LHCb collaboration has generated high-energy collisions between protons and helium nuclei similar to those that take place when cosmic rays strike the interstellar medium. Such collisions are expected to produce a certain number of antiprotons, and are currently one of the possible explanations for the small fraction of antiprotons (about one per 10,000 protons) observed in cosmic rays outside of the Earth's atmosphere. By

CERN

measuring the antimatter compon can potentially unveil new high-en notably a possible contribution fro decay of dark-matter particles. In the last few years, space-borne

study of cosmic rays have dramati knowledge of the antimatter comp Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer (AM



	About CERN	Students	& Educat	ors	Scientists	CERN comm	unity	:	
•	Accelerators	Experiments	Physics	Computing	Engineering	Updates	Opinion	•	

Cosmic collisions at the LHCb experiment

by Stefania Pandolfi

Posted by Stefania Pandolfi on 27 Mar 2017. Last updated 27 Mar 2017, 16.00. *Voir en français* This content is archived on the

CERN Document Server



34

SMOG2 aims to built a real gas target (storage cell) in order to improve the SMOG performances and open the ground to the physics cases shown before

SMOG2 vs SMOG

- Increase of the luminosity by up to 2 orders of magnitude using the same gas load of SMOG
- Injection of $H_2, D_2, He, N_2, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe$
- Multiple gas lines
- New Gas Feed System. Gas density measured with high precision
- Well defined interaction region upstream the nominal IP: strong background reduction, no mirror charges effect, possibility to use all the bunches

SMOG2 can run in synergy with the high-energy pp physics



Statistics in full synergy mode (1 yr data taking)

Storage cell	gas	gas flow	peak density	areal density	time per year	int. lum.
assumptions	type	(s^{-1})	(cm^{-3})	(cm^{-2})	(s)	(pb^{-1})
	He	1.1×10^{16}	10^{12}	10^{13}	3×10^3	0.1
	Ne	3.4×10^{15}	10^{12}	10^{13}	3×10^3	0.1
	Ar	2.4×10^{15}	10^{12}	10^{13}	$2.5 imes 10^6$	80
	Kr	8.5×10^{14}	5×10^{11}	5×10^{12}	1.7×10^6	25
SMOG2 SC	Xe	6.8×10^{14}	5×10^{11}	5×10^{12}	$1.7 imes 10^6$	25
	H_2	1.1×10^{16}	10^{12}	10^{13}	5×10^6	150
	D_2	$7.8 imes 10^{15}$	10^{12}	10^{13}	3×10^5	10
	O_2	2.7×10^{15}	10^{12}	10^{13}	3×10^3	0.1
	N ₂	$3.4 imes 10^{15}$	10^{12}	10^{13}	3×10^3	0.1

SMOG2 example pAr @115 GeV

	80/pb
J/Ψ xsection	~3%
ield	28 M
rield	280 M
rield	2.8 M
rield	280 k
rield	24 k
ield	24 k
	J/Ψ xsection rield rield rield rield rield

R&D basically completed

* reconstruction efficiencies of major physics channels
* interaction with LHC:

- -vacuum
- -impedence
- -aperture
- -coating
- -beam stability (SEY)
- * target prototypes and tests
- * induced heating and bake-out stress
- * WFS prototypes and stress test (15.000 cycles)
- * Material budget and Background Induced on LHCb

Informal green lights from both LHC and LHCb Formal approval in Fall after the EDR (15/11/2018) and the LMC meetings

Installation foreseen during the LHC LS II (2019-2020)







Well consolidated technique

Design follows the successful HERMES Polarised Gas Target which ran at HERA 1996 – 2005, and the follow-up PAX target operational at COSY (FZ Jülich)

Important differences (i) HERA: multi-user facility (together with ZEUS, H1, HERA-B), but in case of problems usually access was granted quite timely; (ii) COSY: single-user, so access by decision of experimental group.

Requirements for LHC: (i) extreme reliability of all safety systems, in particular the vacuum interlock ABS-TC; (ii) very long running times without possibility of interventions

Completely different requirements for coating of surfaces



Atomic Beam Source (ABS

produces polarized atomic

H₂

Sextupole system

SFI

MFI

Dissociator

beams

- Injected intensity of H-atoms = $6.5 \ 10^{16}$ /s
- Standard Feed Tube 1.0 cm i.d., 10 cm long
- Beam tube 30x1 cm
- Cell temperature T ~ 100K
- Areal Density $\approx 1.2 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ cm}^2$
- Beam Induced Depolarisation better in LHC than at HERA
- Cell coating: the proven Drifilm surface as a polymere is forbidden at the LHC. Carbon-type surfaces + ice layer seems the best solution for the target coating in order to prevent the atomic recombination



Statistics error projection for some of the possible channels (within the LHCb reconstruction framework)



LHC beam life time and synergic data taking

The PGT, at maximum intensity, will give a relative proton loss rate of N/N_p=1.6 x 10⁻⁷ /s, corresponding to a reduction of 1/3 of the <u>beam lifetime</u> of <u>74 days</u> —> completely negligible for synergic data taking pp/p-target



The R&D is going on and it will speed up after the SMOG2 approval

We aim for the installation during the LHC LSIII (2024-...)

Conclusions

Fixed target collisions at the LHC represent a unique possibility for a laboratory for QCD in unexplored kinematic regions ... in a realistic time schedule



Conclusions

Fixed target collisions at the LHC represent a unique possibility for a laboratory for QCD in unexplored kinematic regions ... in a realistic time schedule

LHCP is very focussed on the project:

- SMOG2 is a reality and is foreseen to take data from 2021
- The R&D for L⁺⁺C represents a fantastic challenge and is on its road



