D FOR W PHYSICS & DARK MATTER HUEY-WENI Founded 85

A Tale of Two Scales

- § LHC strikes out onto the high-energy frontier (13 TeV) Direct production of Higgs and BSM particles Parton distribution functions for SM background
- § Many experiments refine low-energy measurements Discern small discrepancies from the Standard Model Muon *g*−2, *Q*weak, CKM matrix…
- \approx Probe small signals that are suppressed in the SM dark matter, nEDM, 0*νββ*, neutron *β* decay…

New Physics in TeV Scale

Outline

- § Lattice Nucleon Structure 101
	- All about systematics
- § Precision nucleon inputs for applications in
	- New interactions in beta decay
	- Dark matter searches
	- Neutrino physics
	- **a**nEDM

 \blacksquare

Lattice 101

§ Lattice QCD is an ideal theoretical tool for investigating the strong-coupling regime of quantum field theories § Physical observables are calculated from the path integral $0 | O(\bar{\psi}, \psi, A)|0\rangle =$ 1 Z $\int DA \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi e^{iS(\bar{\psi},\psi,A)}O(\bar{\psi},\psi,A)$ gluon field quark field *a L t x*, *y*, *z* in **Euclidean** space Quark mass parameter (described by m_{π}) Impose a UV cutoff discretize spacetime Impose an infrared cutoff finite volume § Recover physical limit $m_\pi^{}\to m_\pi^{\rm p}$ $_{\pi}^{\rm phys}$, $\boldsymbol{a\to 0}$, $\boldsymbol{L\to \infty}$ Also see talk by Andreas Kronfeld

Are We There Yet?

- § Lattice gauge theory was proposed in the 1970s by Wilson
- Why haven't we solved QCD yet?
- § Progress is limited by computational resources 1980s Today

§ Greatly assisted by advances in algorithms Physical pion-mass ensembles are not uncommon!

Successful Examples

§ Lattice flavor physics provides precise inputs from the SM

A. El-Khadra, Sep. 2015, INT workshop "QCD for New Physics at the Precision Frontier"

 \approx Very precise results in many meson systems

Also see talk by Andreas Kronfeld

errors (in %) (preliminary) FLAG-3 averages

§ We are beginning to do precision calculations in nucleons

The Trouble with Nucleons

Nucleons are more complicated than mesons because…

§ Noise issue

- $\bm{\approx}$ Signal diminishes at large $t_{\rm E}$ relative to noise
- Get worse when quark mass decreases

§ Excited-state contamination

- \approx Nearby excited state: Roper(1440)
- § Hard to extrapolate in pion mass
- Δ resonance nearby; multiple expansions, poor convergence… Less an issue in the physical pion-mass era
- § Requires larger volume and higher statistics
- Ensembles are not always generated with nucleons in mind **High-statistics**: large measurement and long trajectory

The Trouble with Nucleons

Nucleons are more complicated than mesons because…

Nucleon Matrix Elements

§ Pick a QCD vacuum

 ω Gauge/fermion actions, flavour (2, 2+1, 2+1+1), m_{π} , *a*, *L*, ...

§ Construct correlators (hadronic observables)

 Requires "quark propagator" Invert Dirac-operator matrix (rank *O*(10¹²))

§ Analysis (extract couplings) $C^{\rm 3pt}\big(t_f,t,t_i\big)=|\mathcal{A}_0|^2\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_\Gamma|0\rangle e^{-M_0\left(t_f-t_i\right)}$ $+\mathcal{A}_0 \mathcal{A}_1^* \langle 0|O_{\Gamma} | 1 \rangle e^{-M_0(t-t_i)} e^{-M_1(t_f-t)} + \mathcal{A}_0^* \mathcal{A}_1 \langle 1|O_{\Gamma} | 0 \rangle e^{-M_1(t-t_i)} e^{-M_0(t_f-t_i)}$ $+ |\mathcal{A}_1|^2 \langle 1 | \mathcal{O}_\Gamma | 1 \rangle e^{-M_1(t_f - t_i)}$ $C^{2pt}(t_f, t_i) = |\mathcal{A}_0|^2 e^{-M_0(t_f - t_i)} + |\mathcal{A}_1|^2 e^{-M_1(t_f - t_i)} + ...$

Nucleon Matrix Elements

Lattice-QCD calculation of $\langle N|\overline{q}\Gamma q|N\rangle$

§ Systematic Uncertainty (nonzero *a*, finite *L*, etc.) Contamination from excited states Nonperturbative renormalization e.g. RI/SMOM scheme in \overline{MS} at 2 GeV Extrapolation to the continuum limit $(m_{\pi} \rightarrow m_{\pi}^{\text{phys}}, L \rightarrow \infty, a \rightarrow 0)$

PNDME

Precision Neutron-Decay Matrix Elements (2010-)

https://sites.google.com/site/pndmelqcd/

Tanmoy Bhattacharya Rajan Gupta HWL

Vincenzo Cirigliano

Saul Cohen Anosh Joseph Mana Chull Lang Boram Yoon

Yong-Chull Jang

New Interactions

§ Neutron beta decay could be related to new interactions:

$$
H_{\text{eff}} = G_F \left(J_{V-A}^{\text{lept}} \times J_{V-A}^{\text{quark}} + \sum_i \varepsilon_i^{\text{BSM}} \hat{O}_i^{\text{lept}} \times \hat{O}_i^{\text{quark}} \right)
$$

 ε^S and *ε^T* are related to the masses of the new TeV-scale particles \approx Parameters sensitive to new physics *ν σn*

$$
d\Gamma \propto F(E_e) \left[1 + A \frac{\overrightarrow{\sigma_n} \cdot \overrightarrow{p_e}}{E_e} + b \frac{m_e}{E_e} + \left(B_0 + B_1 \frac{m_e}{E_e} \right) \frac{\overrightarrow{\sigma_n} \cdot \overrightarrow{p_v}}{E_v} + \cdots \right]
$$
\nFigure 10.12.1

Fierz interference term: Deviations from the leading-order *e [−]* spectrum

Energy-dependent part of the neutrino asymmetry parameter with neutron spin

$$
\underbrace{\{b,B\}_{\text{BSM}} = f_O(\varepsilon_{S,T} g_{S,T})}_{\mathcal{E}_{S,T} \propto \Lambda_{S,T}^{-2}} \leftarrow \text{Precision LQCD input} (m_{\pi} \approx 140 \text{ MeV}, a \rightarrow 0)
$$

Also see talks by Alejandro Garcia, Emanuele Mereghett

New Interactions

§ Neutron beta decay could be related to new interactions:

$$
H_{\text{eff}} = G_F \left(J_{V-A}^{\text{lept}} \times J_{V-A}^{\text{quark}} + \sum_i \varepsilon_i^{\text{BSM}} \hat{O}_i^{\text{lept}} \times \hat{O}_i^{\text{quark}} \right)
$$

ε^S and *ε^T* are related to the masses of the new TeV-scale particles

- § Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics
- § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME): **2016**

We thank MILC collaboration for sharing their 2+1+1 HISQ lattices

§ **2018**: 4 lattice spacings, 2 physical pion mass, *Mπ* ≤ 320 MeV

§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME) $a = 0.12$ fm, 310-MeV pion

 Move the **excited-state systematic** into the statistical error

$$
C^{3pt}(t_f, t, t_i) = |\mathcal{A}_0|^2 \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_\Gamma | 0 \rangle e^{-M_0(t_f - t_i)} + \mathcal{A}_0 \mathcal{A}_1^* \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_\Gamma | 0 \rangle e^{-M_0(t - t_i)} e^{-M_1(t_f - t)} + |\mathcal{A}_1|^2 \langle 1 | \mathcal{O}_\Gamma | 1 \rangle e^{-M_0(t_f - t)} + t_i
$$

 No obvious contamination between 0.96 and 1.44 fm separation

§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME) $a = 0.09$ fm, 310-MeV pion

 Move the **excited-state systematic** into the statistical error

$$
C^{3pt}(t_f, t, t_i) = |\mathcal{A}_0|^2 \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_\Gamma | 0 \rangle e^{-M_0(t_f - t_i)} + \mathcal{A}_0 \mathcal{A}_1^* \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_\Gamma | 0 \rangle e^{-M_0(t - t_i)} e^{-M_1(t_f - t)} + |\mathcal{A}_1|^2 \langle 1 | \mathcal{O}_\Gamma | 1 \rangle e^{-M_0(t_f - t)} \qquad t_i)
$$

finer lattice spacing! \approx Needs to be studied case by case

§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME) Statistical effect $a = 0.06$ fm, 220-MeV pion

§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME) Statistical effect $a = 0.06$ fm, 220-MeV pion

§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME) \odot Statistical effect (worst case) $a = 0.06$ fm, 220-MeV pion PNDME, 1606.07049

§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME) Robustness of the 2-state fit $a = 0.06$ fm, 220-MeV pion PNDME, 1606.07049

§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)

Extrapolate to the physical limit

PNDME, 1606.07049

§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)

nacktrapolate to the physical limit FRIDME, 1806. 09006

§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)

nacktrapolate to the physical limit FRIDME, 1806. 09006

 $g_S(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_1 + c_2 m_{\pi}^2 + c_3 a + c_4 e^{-m_{\pi}L}$

Huey-Wen Lin — INT-18-2a: From nucleons to nuclei

RQCD '14

Adler '75

1.5

Gonzalez-Alonso '14

2.0

2.5

Beta Decays & BSM

 $O_{\text{BSM}} = f_O(\varepsilon_{S,T} g_{S,T})$ S Given precision $g_{S,T}$ and O_{BSM} , predict new-physics scales

Low-Energy

Expt $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline O_{BSM} = f_O(\varepsilon_{S,T} g_{S,T})\hline \end{array}$ Precision LQCD in
 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline (m_{\pi} \rightarrow 140 \; \text{MeV,} \; a-\pi_{S} \, \text{MeV})\hline \end{array}$ Precision LQCD input (*m^π* →140 MeV, *a*→0) Low-Energy Expt

Plots by Vincenzo Cirigliano

Upcoming precision low-energy experiments LANL/ ORNL UCN neutron decay exp't $|B_1 - b|_{\text{BSM}} < 10^{-3}$ $|b|_{\rm BSM}$ < 10⁻³ CENPA: ⁶He(b_{GT}) at 10⁻³ $\varepsilon_{S,T} \propto \Lambda_{S,T}^{-2}$ Also see talk by A. Garcia, E. Mereghetti

PNDME, PRD85 054512 (2012); 1306.5435; 1606.07049; 1806.09006

WIMPs as Dark Matter

WIMPs for Dark Matter

§ WIMPs dark-matter (DM) searches

 Certain candidates (e.g. SuSy neutralinos) exchange Higgs Spin-Independent (SI) or Spin-Dependent (SD) cross-section \gg Interactions with nucleon mediated by Higgs exchange

 \blacksquare had

 \blacksquare pert

130

135

Input to SI Cross Section

§ Tensions in nucleon sigma *σπ^N* determination

Input to SI Cross Section

§ We first calculate the matrix element of $\langle N | u \bar{u} + dd | N \rangle$ Require the disconnected contribution

Input to SI Cross Section

$\S \langle N | s\bar{s} | N \rangle$

Purely disconnected contribution

Input to SD Process

S Strange is the most poorly known quark spin

Neutrino Physics Application Nucleon axial form factors

For more complete review on LQCD contributions to neutrino physics, please see talk by Phiala Shanahan

 \blacksquare

Axial Form Factors

§ Controversial axial form factor determinations from ν data

\gg Inconsistent determination of M_A (difficult or uncontrollable experimental systematics)

§ Lattice can provide SM inputs for event Monte Carlo

Hills, et al

Axial Form Factors

§ Nucleon isovector axial form factor $\hat{\phi}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(N(\vec{p}_f)\left|A_{\mu}\left(\vec{Q}\right)\right|N(\vec{p}_i)\right)=\bar{u}(\vec{p}_f)\left[G_{A}(Q^2)\gamma_{\mu}+q_{\mu}\frac{\tilde{G}_{P}(Q^2)}{2M_{N_{\tau}}} \right]$ $2M_N$ γ_5 u $(\vec {\cal p}_i$ PNDME, 1705.06834

Plot by Yong-Chull Jang

 \mathcal{M}_A

Axial Form Factors

Electric Dipole Moment

§ Why do we care?

CP-violating effect ⇒ Key ingredient for baryogenesis

 \Rightarrow Why matter exists

Extremely small in SM: ≈ 10−31 *e*-cm (expect to probe 10−28 soon)

A Good candidate to constrain BSM models

nEDM

§ Quark EDM (*d^q*) in nucleon comes from $d_N = d_u g_T^{(n,u)} + d_d g_T^{(n,d)} + d_s g_T^{(n,s)}$ \rightsquigarrow Hadronic contribution: $\langle N|\overline{q}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q|N\rangle$, $q \in \{u, d, s\}$

- § Need "disconnected" diagram contributions PNDME, 1506.04196; 1506.06411
- Multiple ways to calculate this notorious contribution \gg Truncated solver, hopping-parameter expansion, hierarchical probing, …

Electric Dipole Moment

§ Quark EDM (*d^q*) in nucleon comes from

 $d_N = d_u g_T^{(n,u)} + d_d g_T^{(n,d)} + d_s g_T^{(n,s)}$

 \rightsquigarrow Hadronic contribution: $\langle N|\bar{q}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q|N\rangle$, $q \in \{u, d, s\}$

§ Need "disconnected" diagram contributions

§ Extrapolate to the continuum limit PNDME, 1506.04196; 1506.06411 $g_T^u = 0.774(66)$, $g_T^d = -0.233(28)$, $g_T^s = 0.008(9)$

Electric Dipole Moment

§ Quark EDM (*d^q*) in nucleon comes from

 $d_N = d_u g_T^{(n,u)} + d_d g_T^{(n,d)} + d_s g_T^{(n,s)}$

 \rightsquigarrow Hadronic contribution: $\langle N|\overline{q}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q|N\rangle$, $q\in\{u,d,s\}$

§ Need "disconnected" diagram contributions

§ Extrapolate to the continuum limit PNDME, 1506.04196; 1506.06411 $g_T^u = 0.774(66)$, $g_T^d = -0.233(28)$, $g_T^s = 0.008(9)$

- § Implications for new physics?
- § Take split SUSY for example

Wells, 2003;

Arkani-Hamed and Dimopoulos, 2004; Giudice and Romanino, 2004

 Using our lattice inputs, we can derive an upper limit for the neutron EDM in split SUSY

$$
|d_n| < 4 \times 10^{-28} e \cdot \text{cm}
$$

using $|d_e| < 8.7 \times 10^{-29}e \cdot$ cm with 90% confidence ACME Coll., Science Vol. 343 no. 6168 pp. 269-272 (2014)

Summary

§ Exciting era using LQCD to study SM nucleon inputs \gg Well-studied systematics \rightarrow precision inputs More nucleon matrix elements with physical pion masses § Precision low-E experiments to probe BSM physics Combined effort from experiment and theory sides More work devoted to the intensity frontier, e.g. nEDM § Overcoming longstanding obstacles $\bm{\approx}$ Address neglected disconnected contributions (e.g. g_T^s) Bjorken-x dependence of parton distribution functions

§ Stay tuned for many more exciting results from LQCD **NE RSC HPCC@MSU**

Thanks to MILC collaboration for sharing their 2+1+1 HISQ lattices

The work of HL is sponsored by NSF CAREER Award under grant PHY 1653405

Huey-Wen Lin — INT-18-2a: From nucleons to nuclei

Titan

GeV

TeV

@ORNL

IC@LANL

INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON LATTICE FIELD THEORY

http://www.pa.msu.edu/conf/Lattice2018/

Backup Slides

Nucleon Axial Charge

 \approx 2 σ might go away with greater statistics

Lattice 2016 Prelim. \approx RBC* 2+1f 1.15(4) \approx PACS* 2+1f 1.8(4)

Others Results

§ Flavor-dependent couplings, 1ST moments on PDFs, ... qEDM by Cirigliano (this afternoon)

Quark EDM

§ Extrapolate to the physical limit PNDME, 1506.04196; 1506.06411 $g_T^d = -0.233(28)$, $g_T^u = 0.774(66)$, $g_T^s = 0.008(9)$

would falsify the split-SUSY scenario with gaugino mass unification

Future Prospects

§ A first joint workshop with global-fitting community to address key LQCD inputs

<http://www.physics.ox.ac.uk/confs/PDFlattice2017>

Parton Distributions and Lattice Calculations in the LHC era (PDFLattice 2017) 22-24 March 2017, Oxford, UK

"*The goal of this workshop is to bring together the global PDF analysis and lattice-QCD communities to explore ways to improve current PDF determinations. In particular, we plan to set precision goals for lattice-QCD calculations so that these calculations, together with experimental input, can achieve more reliable determinations of PDFs. In addition we will discuss what impact such improved determinations of PDFs will have on future new-physics searches*."

