Hydrodynamic fluctuations and fluctuating bounds on transport coefficients

Mauricio Martinez Guerrero

Multi-Scale Problems Using Effective Field Theories May 7-June 1, 2018, INT, Seattle WA, USA

Work in collaboration with T. Schäfer PRA 96 (2017) 063607

NC STATE UNIVERSITY

$$
\pi_{yx} = -\,\eta\,\partial_y v_x
$$

Navier Stokes

Random motion in each fluid cell

Microscopic random motion can effectively transfer momentum between fluid cells

$$
\pi_{yx} \sim (\eta + \delta \eta) \, \partial_y v_x
$$

Shear viscosity receives contributions from these microscopic collective motion of the constituents

Transport Properties of Helium near the Liquid–Vapor Critical Point. IV. The Shear Viscosity of ³He and ⁴He

Critical behaviour of the shear viscosity is small but these effects are larger for the bulk viscosity and heat conductivity

 $\pi_{yx} \sim (\eta + \delta \eta) \partial_y v_x$

For dilute Fermi gas at unitarity δ η was calculated and the bounds on the shear viscosity were determined **Chafin and Schäfer PRA 87 (2013) 023629 In this talk:**

How to calculate $\delta \zeta$? What are the bounds on the values of the bulk viscosity due to hydrodynamic fluctuations? **Martinez and Schäfer PRA 96 (2017) 063607**

The leading non-analytic contribution to the hydrodynamic correlators are due to hydrodynamic fluctuations

Outline

Short review of hydrodynamics Kubo formula for bulk viscosity Hydrodynamic tails: formalism Fluctuation bound of the bulk viscosity Conclusions and Outlook

Short review of hydrodynamics

$$
\partial_t \rho + \partial_i(\rho v_i) = 0, \qquad \text{Continuity equation}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_t (\rho v_i) + \partial_j \Pi_{ij} = 0, \qquad \text{Euler equation}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_t (\rho v_i) + \partial_j \Pi_{ij} = 0, \qquad \text{Momentum conservation}
$$

$$
\partial_t \Big(\epsilon + \frac{\rho \epsilon}{2} \Big) + \partial_i j_i^\epsilon = 0 \,, \implies \text{Energy conservation}
$$

$$
\partial_t \rho + \partial_i(\rho v_i) = 0, \qquad \text{Continuity equation}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_t (\rho v_i) + \partial_j \Pi_{ij} = 0, \qquad \text{Euler equation}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_t \left(\epsilon + \frac{\rho v^2}{\delta} \right) + \partial_i j_i^{\epsilon} = 0, \qquad \text{Energy conservation}
$$

$$
\partial_t \Big(\epsilon + \frac{\rho v^2}{2} \Big) + \partial_i j_i^\epsilon = 0 \,, \implies \text{Energy conservation}
$$

$$
\Pi_{ij} = p \delta_{ij} + \rho v_i v_j
$$

$$
j_i^{\epsilon} = \left(w + \frac{\rho v^2}{2}\right)v_i
$$

$$
\partial_t \rho + \partial_i(\rho v_i) = 0, \qquad \text{Continuity equation} \n\partial_t (\rho v_i) + \partial_j \Pi_{ij} = 0, \qquad \text{Euler equation} \n\partial_t \left(\epsilon + \frac{\rho v^2}{2} \right) + \partial_i j_i^{\epsilon} = 0, \qquad \text{Energy conservation} \n\text{Ideal fluid} \n\boxed{\Pi_{ij} = p \delta_{ij} + \rho v_i v_j} \n\beta_i^{\epsilon} = \left(w + \frac{\rho v^2}{2} \right) v_i
$$

$$
\partial_t \rho + \partial_i(\rho v_i) = 0, \qquad \text{Continuity equation} \\ \partial_t (\rho v_i) + \partial_j \Pi_{ij} = 0, \qquad \text{Euler equation} \\ \partial_t \left(\epsilon + \frac{\rho v^2}{2} \right) + \partial_i j_i^{\epsilon} = 0, \qquad \text{Energy conservation} \\ \text{Ideal fluid} \\ \boxed{\Pi_{ij} \equiv p \delta_{ij} + \rho v_i v_j - \sum_{ij} \sum_{ij}} \\ \Sigma_{ij} = \eta (\partial_i v_j + \partial_j v_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \partial_k v_k) + \zeta \delta_{ij} \partial_k v_k}
$$

Hydrodynamics: Effective field theory of long wavelength, low frequency dynamics of **ANY** many body system

$$
\partial_t \rho + \partial_i(\rho v_i) = 0, \qquad \text{Continuity equation}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_t (\rho v_i) + \partial_j \Pi_{ij} = 0, \qquad \text{Euler equation}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_t (\rho v_i) + \partial_j \Pi_{ij} = 0, \qquad \text{Momentum conservation}
$$

$$
\partial_t \Big(\epsilon + \frac{\rho \hspace{0.125mm} \sigma}{2} \Big) + \partial_i j^{\epsilon}_i = 0 \, , \quad \blacktriangleright \text{ Energy conservation}
$$

Dissipation and fluctuation are intrinsically related (Fluctuation-dissipation theorem) Where are the fluctuations in the hydrodynamical equations? \Rightarrow **Stochastic fluid dynamics (Landau & Lifshitz)**

Kubo formula for the bulk viscosity

The retarded correlator of the stress tensor Π_{ii} is

$$
G_R^{ijkl}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = -i \int dt \int d\mathbf{x} e^{i\omega t - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \Theta(t) \langle [\Pi^{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}), \Pi^{kl}(0, 0)] \rangle.
$$

Kubo formula for bulk viscosity is obtained by considering the trace of the stress tensor

$$
\zeta = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{9\omega} \operatorname{Im} G_R^{iijj}(\omega, \mathbf{0}).
$$

However, bulk viscosity is usually related with breaking of scale invariance and thus, it would be nice to see this explicitly in the Kubo formula

The retarded correlator of the stress tensor Π_{ii} is

$$
G_R^{ijkl}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = -i \int dt \int d\mathbf{x} e^{i\omega t - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \Theta(t) \langle [\Pi^{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}), \Pi^{kl}(0, 0)] \rangle.
$$

Kubo formula for bulk viscosity is obtained by considering the trace of the stress tensor

$$
\zeta = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{9\omega} \operatorname{Im} G_R^{iijj}(\omega, \mathbf{0}).
$$

We can use any combination of the form

$$
\mathcal{O} = \frac{1}{3}\Pi^{ii} + c\mathcal{E}
$$

For simplicity c= -2/3

The retarded correlator of the stress tensor Π_{ii} is

$$
G_R^{ijkl}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = -i \int dt \int d\mathbf{x} e^{i\omega t - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \Theta(t) \langle [\Pi^{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}), \Pi^{kl}(0, 0)] \rangle.
$$

Kubo formula for bulk viscosity is obtained by considering the trace of the stress tensor

$$
\Rightarrow {\cal O} = \Delta_{\it Tr} P = P - \frac{2}{3} {\cal E}^0
$$

 $\zeta = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{9\omega} \operatorname{Im} G_R^{iijj}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) \Rightarrow \zeta = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{9\omega} \operatorname{Im} G_R^{\mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}}(\omega, \mathbf{0})$

Hydrodynamic tails: formalism

It is convenient to calculate the symmetric correlation function

$$
G_S^{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}}(\omega,\mathbf{k}) = \int dt \int d\mathbf{x} e^{i\omega t - i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \{ \mathcal{O}(t,\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{O}(0,\mathbf{0}) \} \right\rangle.
$$

Fluctuation-dissipation theorem gives us the retarded correlation function

$$
G_S(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \simeq -\frac{2T}{\omega} \text{Im} \, G_R(\omega, \mathbf{k}).
$$

$$
G_S^{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}}(\omega,\mathbf{k}) = \int dt \int d\mathbf{x} e^{i\omega t - i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \{ \mathcal{O}(t,\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{O}(0,\mathbf{0}) \} \right\rangle.
$$

Expand operator $\mathcal O$ to second order in $(\Delta T, \Delta \rho)$

$$
\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_0 + a_{\rho} \Delta \rho + a_T \Delta T + a_{\rho \rho} (\Delta \rho)^2 + a_{\rho T} \Delta \rho \Delta T + a_{TT} (\Delta T)^2 + \dots
$$

 $a_{_{\sf pp}}$, $a_{_{\sf PT}}$, $a_{_{\sf TT}}$ are thermodynamical quantities Linear terms in the perturbations do not matter Second-order terms contribute to the nonsingular terms (see NN-slides)

In the Gaussian approximation (white random noise) $G_{S}^{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}}(\omega,0) \;=\; \int \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \Big[2a_{\rho\rho}^{2}\Delta_{S}^{\rho\rho}(\omega',\mathbf{k})\Delta_{S}^{\rho\rho}(\omega-\omega',\mathbf{k})$ $+ a_{\rho T}^2 \Delta_S^{\rho \rho}(\omega', \mathbf{k}) \Delta_S^{TT}(\omega - \omega', \mathbf{k}) + 2 a_{TT}^2 \Delta_S^{TT}(\omega', \mathbf{k}) \Delta_S^{TT}(\omega - \omega', \mathbf{k}) \right].$

Symmetrized correlation functions These correlators are obtained from the solutions to the linearized hydro equations!!! (see Kovtun & Yaffe, Kadanoff-Martin, etc)

In the Gaussian approximation (white random noise) $G_S^{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}}(\omega,0) \;=\; \int \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \Big[2 a_{\rho\rho}^2 \Delta_S^{\rho\rho}(\omega',\mathbf{k}) \Delta_S^{\rho\rho}(\omega-\omega',\mathbf{k})$ $+ a_{\rho T}^2 \Delta_S^{\rho \rho}(\omega', \mathbf{k}) \Delta_S^{TT}(\omega - \omega', \mathbf{k}) + 2 a_{TT}^2 \Delta_S^{TT}(\omega', \mathbf{k}) \Delta_S^{TT}(\omega - \omega', \mathbf{k}) \right].$ Dominated by the diffusive heat wave $\Delta_S^{\rho \rho}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = 2\rho T \bigg\{ \frac{\Gamma k^4}{(\omega^2 - c_s^2 k^2)^2 + (\Gamma \omega k^2)^2} + \frac{\Delta c_P}{c_s^2} \frac{D_T \mathbf{k}^2}{\omega^2 + (D_T k^2)^2}$ $-\frac{\Delta c_P}{c_s^2} \frac{(\omega^2 - c_s^2 k^2) D_T k^2}{(\omega^2 - c^2 k^2)^2 + (\Gamma \omega k^2)^2}$ diffusive modes

After a long algebra plus pole analysis of propagators

$$
G_R^{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = -A_T L(\omega, \Lambda, 2D_T) - A_\Gamma L(\omega, \Lambda, \Gamma)
$$

$$
L(\omega, \Lambda, D_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda^3}{3} + \frac{i\omega\Lambda}{D_i} - \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} (1+i) \left(\frac{\omega}{D_i} \right)^{3/2} + \dots \right\}
$$

Contributes to the compressibility.
Renormalizes the pressure

After a long algebra plus pole analysis of propagators

$$
G_R^{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = -A_T L(\omega, \Lambda, 2D_T) - A_\Gamma L(\omega, \Lambda, \Gamma)
$$

$$
L(\omega, \Lambda, D_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda^3}{3} + \frac{i\omega\Lambda}{D_i} - \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} (1+i) \left(\frac{\omega}{D_i} \right)^{3/2} + \dots \right\}
$$

Contributes to the bulk viscosity
(cut-off dependence)

After a long algebra plus pole analysis of propagators

$$
G_R^{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = -A_T L(\omega, \Lambda, 2D_T) - A_\Gamma L(\omega, \Lambda, \Gamma)
$$

$$
L(\omega, \Lambda, D_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda^3}{3} + \frac{i\omega\Lambda}{D_i} - \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} (1+i) \left(\frac{\omega}{D_i} \right)^{3/2} + \dots \right\}
$$

Hydrodynamic tail:

- **Imaginary part:** contribution to the frequency dependent $ζ(w)$
- **Real part:** non-analytic contribution to the bulk relaxation time which signals breaking of hydrodynamics

Fluctuation bound of the bulk viscosity

RG argument

 $\bullet w < \Lambda$: Stochastic hydrodynamics

- $\bullet w \geq A$: Matched to some microscopic theory
- \bullet ζ _{phys} is cut off independent

$$
\Lambda \frac{d\zeta_{phys}}{d\Lambda} = 0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \zeta_{\text{bar}} = \zeta_{\text{bar}}(A)
$$

RG argument

The largest Λ is determined by the breaking scale of hydrodynamics \Rightarrow determined by the dispersion relation of the sound and diffusive modes

Estimation of the bound

1. Diffusive mode $\omega \sim D_T k^2 \ll (\tau_{\kappa})^{-1}$

Using kinetic theory $\tau_{\kappa} = (m\kappa)/(c_{P}T)$

$$
\Lambda_T \simeq \frac{1}{D_T} \left(\frac{T}{m}\right)^{1/2}
$$

Estimation of the bound

1. Diffusive mode $\omega \sim D_T k^2 \ll (\tau_{\kappa})^{-1}$

Using kinetic theory $\tau_{\kappa} = (m\kappa)/(c_{P}T)$

$$
\Lambda_T \simeq \frac{1}{D_T} \left(\frac{T}{m}\right)^{1/2}
$$

2. Sound mode

For a weakly interacting gas $c_s^2 = (\partial P)/(\partial \rho)_{s/n} \simeq (5T)/(3m)$ $\Lambda_{\Gamma} \simeq \frac{1}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right)_{\rho/\rho}^{1/2}.$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

Estimation of the bound

1. Diffusive mode $\omega \sim D_T k^2 \ll (\tau_{\kappa})^{-1}$

Using kinetic theory $\tau_{\kappa} = (m\kappa)/(c_{P}T)$

$$
\Lambda_T \simeq \frac{1}{D_T}\left(\frac{T}{m}\right)^{1/2}
$$

2. Sound mode

For a weakly interacting gas $c_s^2 = (\partial P)/(\partial \rho)_{s/n} \simeq (5T)/(3m)$ $\Lambda_{\Gamma} \simeq \frac{1}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right)_{\rho/\rho}^{1/2}.$

The combination of these two estimates gives us

$$
\zeta_{min} = \left(\frac{A_T}{2D_T^2} + \frac{\sqrt{5}A_{\Gamma}}{\sqrt{3}\Gamma^2}\right)\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}.
$$

Estimation of the bound: cold Fermi gas near unitarity

Conclusions

- **We studied the role of hydrodynamic fluctuations in the bulk stress correlation function**
- **Hydro fluctuations provide a lower bound on the bulk viscosity. The bound depends on shear viscosity and thermal conductivity and scale breaking of the EOS**

$$
\zeta_{min} = \left(\mathcal{P} - \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{E}\right)^2 \sum_i D_i^{-2}
$$

For cold Fermi gas near unitarity

$$
\frac{\zeta}{s} \gtrsim 0.1
$$

Outlook

Relativistic expanding fluids

Role of critical fluctuations in the vecinity of a second order phase transition: enhancement of bulk viscosity near critical point

Backup slides

The retarded correlator determines the stress induced by a small strain $g_{ii} = \delta_{ii} + h_{ii}(t, \mathbf{x})$

$$
\delta\Pi_{ij}(\omega,\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{2}G_R^{ijkl}(\omega,\mathbf{k})h_{kl}(\omega,\mathbf{k})
$$

Stress tensor is expanded in gradients in the presence of a background metric

$$
\Pi_{ij} = \Pi_{ij}^{0} + \Pi_{ij}^{1} + \dots
$$
\n
$$
\Pi_{ij}^{0} = \rho v_i v_j + P g_{ij} \quad \text{Ideal}
$$
\n
$$
\Pi_{ij}^{1} = -\eta \sigma_{ij} - \zeta g_{ij} \langle \sigma \rangle \text{ Navier-Stokes}
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{ij} = \nabla_i v_j + \nabla_j v_i + \dot{g}_{ij} - \frac{2}{3} g_{ij} \langle \sigma \rangle,
$$
\n
$$
\langle \sigma \rangle = \nabla \cdot v + \frac{\dot{g}}{2g},
$$

The retarded correlator determines the stress induced by a small strain $g_{ii} = \delta_{ii} + h_{ii}(t, \mathbf{x})$

$$
\delta \Pi_{ij}(\omega,\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{2} G_R^{ijkl}(\omega,\mathbf{k}) h_{kl}(\omega,\mathbf{k})
$$

The response to a bulk strain h_{ij}(t,**x**)~h e^{-iwt} δ _{ii} gives us to $\mathcal{O}(w)$

$$
\frac{1}{9}G_R^{iijj}(\omega,\mathbf{0})=-\left(\frac{2}{3}P-\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho}\right)_s\rho\right)-i\omega\zeta\,,
$$

Thus $\zeta = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\Omega \omega} \text{Im } G_R^{iijj}(\omega, \mathbf{0}).$ Kubo formula

 Usually bulk viscosity is related with breaking of scale invariance 5
H and thus, it would be nice to see this explicitly in the Kubo formula

Some thermodynamical quantities

$$
a_{\rho\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[c_T^2 - \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{h}{m} - \frac{T \alpha \kappa_T}{\rho} \right) \right]_T,
$$

\n
$$
a_{\rho T} = \frac{\partial c_T^2}{\partial T} \bigg|_{\rho} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial c_V}{\partial \rho} \bigg|_{T},
$$

\n
$$
a_{TT} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{T} \left(1 - \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right)_T - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \bigg|_{\rho} \right] c_V.
$$

$$
\Gamma = \frac{4\eta}{3\rho} + \frac{\zeta}{\rho} + \kappa \left(\frac{1}{c_V} - \frac{1}{c_P}\right) = \frac{4\eta}{3\rho} \left[1 + \frac{3\zeta}{4\eta} + \frac{3\Delta c_P}{4\eta r}\right]
$$

$$
D_T = \kappa/c_P
$$

$$
A_T = \frac{2a_{TT}^2 T^3}{c_P^2} + \frac{2a_{\rho\rho}^2 \rho^2 T (\Delta c_P)^2}{c_s^4} + \frac{a_{\rho T}^2 \rho T^2 \Delta c_P}{c_P c_s^2}, \qquad A_\Gamma = \frac{a_{\rho\rho}^2 \rho^2 T}{c_s^4}
$$