Resummation at small x

Anna Stasto Penn State University

INT Seattle, February 14, 2017

Question: *what is the range of applicability of standard collinear formalism with DGLAP evolution and the calculations with low x effects (including saturation)?*

Question: *what is the range of applicability of standard collinear formalism with DGLAP evolution and the calculations with low x effects (including saturation)?*

One possible answer: *it depends on the process*

Question: *what is the range of applicability of standard collinear formalism with DGLAP evolution and the calculations with low x effects (including saturation)?*

One possible answer: *it depends on the process*

Another possible answer: *it depends on the accuracy of calculation in both cases. More specifically, it is possible to extend the region of validity of any of these approaches through the resummation.*

Are F₂ data compatible with DGLAP evolution? patible with **[**

min of the LO, NLO and NNLO fits to the HERA and NNLO fits to the HERA and NNLO fits to the HERA inclusive data. Also shown are values for an India HERA I+II combined HERA I+II combined HERA I+II combined HE

Both HERAPDF and NNPDF find some dependence on the cutoff. Small x resummation? Or some other effects?

Are F₂ data compatible with DGLAP evolution?

CTEQ-TEA

iti
T 1.20 different cuts. DGLAP seems to work fine in the entire region down to very low x and Q. CTEQ finds no dependence of χ^2 on the

Excluding data below the value set by the geometric scaling variable

$$
A_{gs} = x^{\lambda} Q^2
$$

Cannot conclude definitely about the tension between DGLAP and data in the low Q region.

$$
\left(\sqrt{s} \rightarrow \infty, x \rightarrow 0\right)
$$
 Energy much larger than any other scale in the process

 $h_1\colon\thinspace \sqrt{s}\to\infty, x\to 0$. Energy much larger than any other scale in the process

 Δt small \vee there are large legs: At small x there are large logs:

 $xP_{gg}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-1}(1/x), \quad xP_{qg}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-2}(1/x) \quad \text{and} \quad xC_{L,g}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-2}(1/x).$

$$
\left(\sqrt{s} \to \infty, x \to 0\right)
$$
 Energy much larger than any other scale in the process

 $h_1\colon\thinspace \sqrt{s}\to\infty, x\to 0$. Energy much larger than any other scale in the process

 Δt small \vee there are large legs: At small x there are large logs:

 $xP_{gg}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-1}(1/x), \quad xP_{qg}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-2}(1/x) \quad \text{and} \quad xC_{L,g}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-2}(1/x).$

At high energy, or small x we can have:

fit [144]. The gluon distribution from this resummed fit, defined in a more physical scheme, is $\alpha_S \ln 1/x \sim 1$ This is reflected also in the prediction for $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. Similar approaches $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\alpha_S \ln 1/x \sim 1$

$$
\left(\sqrt{s} \rightarrow \infty, x \rightarrow 0\right)
$$
 Energy much larger than any other scale in the process

 $h_1\colon\thinspace \sqrt{s}\to\infty, x\to 0$. Energy much larger than any other scale in the process

 Δt small \vee there are large legs: At small x there are large logs:

 $xP_{gg}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-1}(1/x), \quad xP_{qg}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-2}(1/x) \quad \text{and} \quad xC_{L,g}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-2}(1/x).$

At high energy, or small x we can have:

fit [144]. The gluon distribution from this resummed fit, defined in a more physical scheme, is $\alpha_S \ln 1/x \sim 1$ This is reflected also in the prediction for $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. Similar approaches $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\alpha_S \ln 1/x \sim 1$

Need to resum them as well to all orders:

$$
\qquad \qquad \left(\alpha_S\ln 1/x\right)^n \qquad \qquad
$$

$$
\left(\sqrt{s} \rightarrow \infty, x \rightarrow 0\right)
$$
 Energy much larger than any other scale in the process

 $h_1\colon\thinspace \sqrt{s}\to\infty, x\to 0$. Energy much larger than any other scale in the process

 Δt small \vee there are large legs: At small x there are large logs:

 $xP_{gg}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-1}(1/x), \quad xP_{qg}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-2}(1/x) \quad \text{and} \quad xC_{L,g}(x) \sim \alpha_S^n \ln^{n-2}(1/x).$

At high energy, or small x we can have:

fit [144]. The gluon distribution from this resummed fit, defined in a more physical scheme, is $\alpha_S \ln 1/x \sim 1$ This is reflected also in the prediction for $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. Similar approaches $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

detailed phenomenological studies taken place. A particular studies taken place. A particular set of additional corrections of additional corrections of additional corrections of additional corrections of additional correc

 $\int_{\Omega} |n| \ln 1/n$

 $(\alpha_S \ln 1/x)^n$

cast in the language of $(\alpha_S, \text{m.t.})$ which is directed gluon distribution, which is distributed g

to the dipole–proton cross section. The structure function $\mathcal{L}_\mathbf{t}$ are obtained by convoluting this section. The structure functions are obtained by convoluting the structure functions are obtained by convoluting th

Need to resum them as well to all orders:

Any fixed order here would not be sufficient, potentially very large corrections.

Many soft gluon emissions in small x limit

I.Balitsky, V.Fadin, E.Kuraev,L.Lipatov

integral over transverse momenta

kernel describing branching of gluons gluon density

Evolution equation in longitudinal momenta

Why NLLx is so large in BFKL?

- Strong coupling constant is **not** a naturally small parameter in the $\text{Regge limit: } s \gg |t|, \Lambda_{QCD}^2 \quad \text{but} \quad \alpha_s(\mu^2), \ \mu^2 \neq s$
- Regge limit is inherently nonperturbative.
- Compare DGLAP (collinear approach): $Q^2 \gg \Lambda^2$ and $\alpha_s(Q^2) \ll 1$
- No momentum sum rule, since the evolution is local in x. In DGLAP: momentum sum rule satisfied at each order due to the initial assumption of the collinearity of the partons and the nonlocality of the evolution in x.
- Approximations in the phase space (multi-Regge kinematics, quasi multi-Regge kinematics, etc..) cannot be recovered by the (fixed number of) the higher orders of expansion in the coupling constant.

Resummation

Resummation

Resummation

linear case

Anderson,Gustafson,Kharraziha,Samuelson Z.Phys. C71(1996) 613 Kwiecinski, Martin, Sutton Z.Phys. C71(1996) 585; Kwiecinski,Martin,AS Phys.Rev. D56 (1997) 3991 Salam JHEP 9807 (1998) 19; Ciafaloni,Colferai,Salam,AS Phys.Rev. D68(2003) 114003 Altarelli,Ball,Forte Nucl.Phys. B575(2000) 313; Bonvini,Marzani,Perano Eur. Phys. J C76(2016) 597. Thorne Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 074005 Sabio-Vera Nucl. Phys. B722 (2005) 65. Brodsky,Fadin,Kim,Lipatov,Pivovarov JETP Lett. 70 (1999) 155.

nonlinear case

Motyka,AS Phys. Rev.D79(2009) 085016; Beuf Phys.Rev.D89(2014) 074039

Iancu,Madrigal,Mueller,Soyez; Phys.Lett. B744 (2015) 293;Lappi,Mantysaari Phys.Rev.D93(2016) 094004

General setup

- Kinematical constraint.
- DGLAP splitting function at LO and NLO.
- NLLx BFKL with suitable subtraction of terms included above.
- Momentum sum rule.
- Running coupling.
- Calculations done in momentum space, even though we use Mellin space as a guidance.

LLx + NLLx

Representation of the kernel $K = \sum$ $\bar{\alpha}_s^{n+1}$

$$
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{\alpha}_s^{n+1} \mathcal{K}_n \qquad \qquad \bar{\alpha}_s \equiv \frac{N_c \alpha_s}{\pi}
$$

Mellin variables: $\gamma \leftrightarrow \ln k_T^2$

$$
\frac{2}{T} \qquad \qquad \omega \leftrightarrow \ln 1/x
$$

LLx kernel in Mellin space

$$
\chi_0(\gamma)=2\psi(1)-\psi(\gamma)-\psi(1-\gamma)
$$

LLx + NLLx

LLx kernel in Mellin space

$$
\chi_0(\gamma)=2\psi(1)-\psi(\gamma)-\psi(1-\gamma)
$$

running coupling triple poles double poles

 $\mathbf{B} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}$ NLLx kernel in Mellin space

$$
\chi_1(\gamma) = \left[-\frac{b}{2} \left[\chi_0^2(\gamma) + \chi_0'(\gamma) \right] - \left[\frac{1}{4} \chi_0''(\gamma) \right] - \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \gamma} \right)^2 \right] \frac{\cos \pi \gamma}{8(1 - 2\gamma)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma (1 - \gamma)}{(1 + 2\gamma)(3 - 2\gamma)} \right) + \left(\frac{67}{36} - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \right) \chi_0(\gamma) + \frac{3}{2} \zeta(3) + \frac{\pi^3}{4 \sin \pi \gamma} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left[\frac{\psi(n + 1 + \gamma) - \psi(1)}{(n + \gamma)^2} + \frac{\psi(n + 2 - \gamma) - \psi(1)}{(n + 1 - \gamma)^2} \right]
$$

It the consideration of All the collinear approximation of the exact eigenvalue of the exact exact exact All is the exact eigenvalue of the exact eigenvalue of the exact exact eigenvalue of the exact eigenvalue (19) up to 25 actually when 19 is the collinear value of $\frac{1}{2}$ and the collision terms are the the the the theorem Strictly speaking at NLLx this is not an eigenvalue. Still, one can consider Mellin transform of the kernel.

$$
\chi_1^{\text{coll}}(\gamma) = \left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^3} - \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)^3}\right) + \left(\frac{A_1(0)}{\gamma^2} + \frac{A_1(0) - b}{(1-\gamma)^2}\right)
$$
 double and triple poles
of the NLL part
LO DGLAP anomalous dimension $\gamma_{gg}^{(0)}(\omega) = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{\omega} + \bar{\alpha}_s A_1(\omega)$ $A_1(\omega) = -\frac{11}{12} + \mathcal{O}(\omega)$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

end to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to $\mathsf{D}\mathsf{iff}$ Difference of about 7% at most

LO DG

ا: k2

$$
\chi_1^{\text{coll}}(\gamma) = \left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^3} - \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)^3}\right) + \left(\frac{A_1(0)}{\gamma^2} + \frac{A_1(0) - b}{(1-\gamma)^2}\right)
$$
 double and triple poles
of the NLL part
LO DGLAP anomalous dimension $\gamma_{gg}^{(0)}(\omega) = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{\omega} + \bar{\alpha}_s A_1(\omega)$ $A_1(\omega) = -\frac{11}{12} + \mathcal{O}(\omega)$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

end to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to $\mathsf{D}\mathsf{iff}$ Difference of about 7% at most

LO DG

k2

$$
\chi_1^{\text{coll}}(\gamma) = \left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^3} - \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)^3}\right) + \left(\frac{A_1(0)}{\gamma^2} + \frac{A_1(0) - b}{(1-\gamma)^2}\right)
$$
 double and triple poles
of the NLL part
LO DGLAP anomalous dimension $\gamma_{gg}^{(0)}(\omega) = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{\omega} + \bar{\alpha}_s A_1(\omega)$ $A_1(\omega) = -\frac{11}{12} + \mathcal{O}(\omega)$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

end to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to $\mathsf{D}\mathsf{iff}$ Difference of about 7% at most

LO DG

ا: k2

$$
\chi_1^{\text{coll}}(\gamma) = \left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^3} - \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)^3}\right) + \left(\frac{A_1(0)}{\gamma^2} + \frac{A_1(0) - b}{(1-\gamma)^2}\right)
$$
 double and triple poles
of the NLL part
LO DGLAP anomalous dimension $\gamma_{gg}^{(0)}(\omega) = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{\omega} + \bar{\alpha}_s A_1(\omega)$ $A_1(\omega) = -\frac{11}{12} + \mathcal{O}(\omega)$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

end to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to single logic correspond to $\mathsf{D}\mathsf{iff}$ Difference of about 7% at most

LO DG

ا: k2

b=11/12

Scale choices \blacksquare JC and chigh center-of-mass section can be written in the cross section can be σ = σ = σ λ where the dimensionless impact factors which characterize the problem of the problem of

HE factorization for the cross section

BFKL equation for the gluon Green's function

$$
\sigma_{AB}(s;Q,Q_0)=\int\frac{d\omega}{2\pi i}\,\frac{d^2\bm{k}}{\bm{k}^2}\,\frac{d^2\bm{k}_0}{\bm{k}_0^2}\left(\frac{s}{QQ_0}\right)^{\omega}h^A_{\omega}(Q,\bm{k})~\mathcal{G}_{\omega}(\bm{k},\bm{k}_0)~h^B_{\omega}(Q_0,\bm{k}_0) \nonumber\\
$$

n's
$$
\omega \mathcal{G}_{\omega}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = \delta^2(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) + \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}'}{\pi} \mathcal{K}_{\omega}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \mathcal{G}_{\omega}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}_0)
$$

that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}$

The function $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the kernel of the small-x equation of the small-x equation of the general form

Scale choices \blacksquare JC and chigh center-of-mass section can be written in the cross section can be σ = σ = σ λ where the dimensionless impact factors which characterize the problem of the problem of

HE factorization for the cross section

BFKL equation for the gluon Green's function

$$
\text{oss section} \qquad \qquad \sigma_{AB}(s; Q, Q_0) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k}^2} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_0}{\mathbf{k}_0^2} \left(\frac{s}{QQ_0}\right)^{\omega} h_{\omega}^A(Q, \mathbf{k}) \mathcal{G}_{\omega}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) h_{\omega}^B(Q_0, \mathbf{k}_0) \qquad \qquad
$$

n's
$$
\omega \mathcal{G}_{\omega}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = \delta^2(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) + \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}'}{\pi} \mathcal{K}_{\omega}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \mathcal{G}_{\omega}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}_0)
$$

Different possible scale choices: **Choices:** At further and in Function of the general probes. At further and in \mathbb{R}

symmetric (ex. two jets)

\n
$$
\nu_0 = k k_0 \qquad \qquad k \sim k_0
$$
\nDIS type configuration

\n
$$
\nu_0 = k^2 \qquad \qquad k \gg k_0
$$
\n
$$
\nu_0 = k_0^2 \qquad \qquad k \ll k_0
$$

Scale choices \blacksquare JC and chigh center-of-mass section can be written in the cross section can be σ = σ = σ λ where the dimensionless impact factors which characterize the problem of s_{real} = α = α This result is result to result the alternative result in the alte

HE factorization for the cross section

function

$$
\text{HE factorization for the cross section} \qquad \qquad \boxed{\sigma_{AB}(s;Q,Q_0) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k}^2} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k}^2} \left(\frac{s}{QQ_0}\right)^{\omega} h_{\omega}^A(Q,\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{G}_{\omega}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) h_{\omega}^B(Q_0,\mathbf{k}_0)} \qquad \qquad
$$

BFKL equation for the gluon Green's function
$$
\omega \mathcal{G}_{\omega}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = \delta^2(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) + \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}'}{\pi} \mathcal{K}_{\omega}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \mathcal{G}_{\omega}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}_0)
$$

Different possible scale choices: **Choices:** At further and in Function of the general probes. At further and in \mathbb{R}

symmetric (ex. two jets)

\n
$$
\nu_0 = k k_0 \qquad \qquad k \sim k_0
$$
\nDIS type configuration

\n
$$
\nu_0 = k^2 \qquad \qquad k \gg k_0
$$
\n
$$
\nu_0 = k_0^2 \qquad \qquad k \ll k_0
$$

Similarity transformation one showled performation larger, k \$ k0 (k0 \$ k) the correct Bjorken variable is rather k2/s (k2/s (k2/s (k2/s (k2/s (k2/s (k2/s (k2/s
S (k2/s (k2/s))
S (k2/

 $\mathcal{G}_\omega \to$

$$
\left(\frac{k_{>}}{k_{<}}\right)^{\omega} \mathcal{G}_{\omega}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{K}_{\omega}(k,k') \to \mathcal{K}_{\omega}^{u}(k,k') = \mathcal{K}_{\omega}(k,k') \left(\frac{k}{k'}\right)^{\omega}, \qquad \nu_{0} = k^{2},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{K}_{\omega}(k,k') \to \mathcal{K}_{\omega}^{l}(k,k') = \mathcal{K}_{\omega}(k,k') \left(\frac{k'}{k}\right)^{\omega}, \qquad \nu_{0} = k'^{2},
$$

Shift of poles $Chiff$ af palac dominant contributions in the NLL kernel. The NLL kernel was not the NLL kernel. The NLL kernel. In the NLL ke

Shift of poles (symmetric case) Shift of poles (symmetric case)

$$
\chi_n^{\omega}(\gamma) = \chi_{nL}^{\omega}(\gamma + \frac{\omega}{2}) + \chi_{nR}^{\omega}(1 - \gamma + \frac{\omega}{2})
$$

eigenvalue that we adopt has the following structure (compare (17) $\frac{1}{2}$ (compare (17)): $\frac{1}{2}$ $n_{\rm L}$ n
navision in the shifts of the same of LL case with shifts

$$
\chi_0^{\omega} = 2\psi(1) - \psi(\gamma + \frac{\omega}{2}) - \psi(1 - \gamma + \frac{\omega}{2})
$$

Shifts are equivalent to the kinematical constraints imposed on the transverse
momenta in the ladder imposing the so-called kinematical (or consistency) consistency) consistency in the lander α Shifts are equivalent to the kinematical constraints imposed on the transverse momenta in the ladder

Expansion reproduces higher order poles:

$$
\chi_0^{\omega} \simeq \chi_0^0 - \frac{1}{2\gamma^3} - \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)^3} + \cdots
$$

 T final T is T in T . The final T reads in T can be considered in T . The final T \sim the particle seeds above. 1 was the construction of the requirement that the requirement that the collinear that th symmetric scale choice

All the calculations are actually done in momentum space

in the scheme of the scheme of the scheme of the scheme of the start from the start from the start from the leading kernel in the start from the start from the leading kernel in the start from the leading kernel in the le Resummed kernel and the running contracts be resummed the running effects of \mathbb{R} effects be resulted to run number \mathbb{R} estimated to result the run number of \mathbb{R} effects be resulted to result the run number By replacing the expression (36) into Eq. (1) we obtain the relationship with the customary BFKL Green in the state of the s

Additional subtraction pooded to satisfy the momentum sum rule Additional subtraction needed to satisfy the momentum sum rule. \blacksquare The final NLL eigenvalue function proposed in \blacksquare

 \ddotsc All the calculations are actually done in momentum space

Frozen coupling features is still non-trivial, due to the which complicates the which complicates the W -evolution, it no longer the Y -
The W -evolution, it no longer the Y -evolution, it no longer than the Y -evolution, it no longer than the Y -**Frozen coupling teatures**

$$
\bar{\alpha}_s \chi_\omega(\gamma, \bar{\alpha}_s) = \bar{\alpha}_s (\chi_0^\omega + \omega \chi_c^\omega) + \bar{\alpha}_s^2 \tilde{\chi}_1^\omega
$$

ETTECTIVE CHATACTEFIS Effective characteristic function:

$$
\mathbf{u} = \bar{\alpha}_s \chi^{(0)}_{\text{eff}}(\gamma, \bar{\alpha}_s)
$$

Gluon Green's function

 Aut on to the RFKL equation (gluon Green's function) Solution to the BFKL equation (gluon Green's function)

Single channel: gluons only.

Large suppression as compared to LLx.

Two schemes, small differences.

Gluon Green's function

Splitting function

- Deconvolution of the integral equation.
- Calculate the integrated density: $xg(x,Q^2) =$ \int ^{Q2} $dk_T^2 G^{(s_0=k_T^2)}(x;k_T,k_{0T})$
- Solve numerically for the splitting function:

$$
\left[\frac{dg(x,Q^2)}{d\log Q^2}\right] = \int \frac{dz}{z} P_{\text{eff}}(z,Q^2) g(\frac{x}{z},Q^2)
$$

At large values of $\ Q^2$ the results should be independent of the regularization of the coupling and the choice of k_{0} .

> Factorization in Q^2 of the non-perturbative and perturbative contributions.

Splitting function Splitting functions Perturbative structure of Pgg

Splitting function Splitting functions Perturbative structure of Pgg

Resummed splitting function Splitting functions Full Pgg (z) splitting fn

- Small x growth delayed to much smaller values of x (beyond HERA)
- Interesting feature: a dip seen at around $x \simeq 10^{-3}$
- Is this universal feature?
- Need to understand the origin of the dip.

Resummed splitting function

Figure 1. The resummed and matched splitting functions at LO+LL (dashed green) and NLO+NLL (solid purple) accuracy: *Pgg* (upper left), *Pgq* (upper right), *Pqg* (lower left) and *Pqq* (lower right). The fixed-order results at LO (dashed) NLO (solid) The same feature visible in other schemes of resummation in the text. The plots are for *–^s* = 0*.*2 and *n^f* = 4 in the *Q*0MS scheme. Bonvini,Marzani,Peraro based on Altarelli,Ball,Forte scheme

Reorganise perturbative series

Reorganise perturbative series

Reorganise perturbative series

Summary and outlook

- Resummation schemes at low x based on collinear improvements: kinematical effects, matching to DGLAP
- Stability of the results demonstrated for scale changes and model changes.
- Characteristic features: reduced Pomeron intercept and small x growth delayed by several units of rapidity. Dip of the splitting function and dip in the Green's function.
- Impact on saturation: lowering the saturation scale.
- EIC : kinematic range where strong preasymptotic effects present. Still, increased luminosity and possibility of FL measurement can help.
- Need NLO impact factors, and possibly resummation thereof to increase accuracy of theoretical predictions.

Backup

Where the dip comes from? Phenomenology: dip dominates Pgg

Initial decrease seems to be consistent with the small x NNLO term.

Resummed kernel in x,kT **Parts of the summer of the supers** The final resummed kernel in the summer contributions of the summer contributions

$$
\int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int dk'^2 \tilde{K}(z;k,k') f(\frac{x}{z},k')
$$

=
$$
\int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int dk'^2 \left[\bar{\alpha}_s(\mathbf{q}^2) K_0^{\text{kc}}(z;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') + \bar{\alpha}_s(k_z^2) K_c^{\text{kc}}(z;k,k') + \bar{\alpha}_s^2(k_z^2) \tilde{K}_1(k,k') \right] f(\frac{x}{z},k')
$$

For the different terms are different terms and constraint (q = k \blacksquare k \blacksquare k \blacksquare k \blacksquare $\mathsf{L}\mathsf{L}\mathsf{D}\mathsf{H}\mathsf{N}\mathsf{L}\mathsf{W}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{H}\mathsf{C}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{S}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{V}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U$ LL BFKL with consistency constraint

$$
\int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int dk'^2 \left[\bar{\alpha}_s(\mathbf{q}^2) K_0^{\text{kc}}(z; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \right] f(\frac{x}{z}, k')
$$

=
$$
\int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{q}}{\pi \mathbf{q}^2} \bar{\alpha}_s(\mathbf{q}^2) \left[f(\frac{x}{z}, |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}|) \Theta(\frac{k}{z} - k') \Theta(k' - kz) - \Theta(k - q) f(\frac{x}{z}, k) \right]
$$

• non-singular DGLAP terms with consistency constraint ULAI YY x
x non-singular DGLAP with consistency constraint

$$
\int_{x}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} \int dk'^2 \ \bar{\alpha}_s(k_>^2) K_c^{kc}(z;k,k') f(\frac{x}{z},k')
$$

=
$$
\int_{x}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} \int_{(kz)^2}^{k^2} \frac{dk'^2}{k^2} \bar{\alpha}_s(k^2) z \frac{k}{k'} \tilde{P}_{gg}(z \frac{k}{k'}) f(\frac{x}{z},k')
$$

+
$$
\int_{x}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} \int_{k^2}^{(k/z)^2} \frac{dk'^2}{k'^2} \ \bar{\alpha}_s(k'^2) z \frac{k'}{k} \tilde{P}_{gg}(z \frac{k'}{k}) f(\frac{x}{z},k')
$$

Resummed kernel in x,kT \overline{a} z R **x** $\overline{ }$ 1 z \mathbf{r} ica kci **k k k x x x x**

\blacksquare DI NL WITH SUDTRACTIONS included the BFKL with subtractions included the BFKL with substantial NLL BFKL with subtractions

$$
\int_{x}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} \int dk'^{2} \bar{\alpha}_{s}^{2}(k_{>}^{2}) \tilde{K}_{1}(k,k') f(\frac{x}{z},k') \n= \frac{1}{4} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} \int dk'^{2} \bar{\alpha}_{s}^{2}(k_{>}^{2}) \Big\{ \n\left(\frac{67}{9} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\right) \frac{1}{|k'^{2} - k^{2}|} \left[f(\frac{x}{z},k'^{2}) - \frac{2k_{<}^{2}}{(k'^{2} + k^{2})} f(\frac{x}{z},k^{2}) \right] + \n\left[-\frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{2}{k'^{2}} + \frac{2}{k^{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{k'^{2}} - \frac{1}{k^{2}} \right) \log \left(\frac{k^{2}}{k'^{2}} \right) \right) + \frac{4 \text{Li}_{2}(1 - k_{<}^{2}/k_{>}^{2})}{|k'^{2} - k^{2}|} \right] \n-4A_{1}(0) \text{sgn}(k^{2} - k'^{2}) \left(\frac{1}{k^{2}} \log \frac{|k'^{2} - k^{2}|}{k'^{2}} - \frac{1}{k'^{2}} \log \frac{|k'^{2} - k^{2}|}{k^{2}} \right) \n- \left(3 + \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{(k'^{2} + k^{2})^{2}}{32k'^{2}k^{2}} \right) \right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{k^{2} + y^{2}k'^{2}} \log \left| \frac{1 + y}{1 - y} \right| \n+ \frac{1}{k'^{2} + k^{2}} \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} + 4 \text{Li}_{2}(\frac{k_{<}^{2}}{k_{>}^{2}}) \right) \left| f(\frac{x}{z}, k') \right| \n+ \frac{1}{4} 6 \zeta(3) \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} \bar{\alpha}_{s}^{2}(k^{2}) f(\frac{x}{z}, k) .
$$

Gluon Green's function AAN Numerical results

- Resummation identical to the single channel case in gg part.
- qg channel suppressed by factor of the coupling. Quarks are generated radiatively therefore growth in Y follows gg channel.
- Small difference between two resummation schemes:NLx-NLO and N Lx-NLO. $_+$

Momentum sum rule

Nevertheless, one sees that the MSR view vanishes the MSR view vanishes very result in the MSR view rapid value that a significant component of its non-perturbative in original in outline in our outline in our outline outline by studies which show the gularization?) Momentum sum rule satisfied to very good accuracy. Residual Q dependence (higher twist, non-perturbative

Results on splitting function

The onset of small x rise delayed to x<0.0001. Characteristic dip at around x=0.001. Universal feature of the resummed approaches.

Results on splitting function

