Vector meson production in ultraperipheral collisions: accessing the small-x gluon

Heikki Mäntysaari

Brookhaven National Laboratory

Probing QCD in Photon-Nucleus Interactions at RHIC and LHC: the Path to EIC, February 14, 2017









2 Pb+Pb collisions



 $b\gtrsim 2R_A$ : strong interactions are suppressed



J. Nystrand et al, nucl-ex/0502005

- Nucleus creates a (real) photon flux n(ω)
- Photon-nucleus scattering

$$\sigma^{AA \to AA+V} \sim n(\omega) \sigma^{\gamma A \to VA}(\omega)$$

Interesting QCD part: high-energy  $\gamma$ -nucleus or  $\gamma$ -proton scattering.

## Diffractive vector meson production as a probe of small x



- Diffraction is very sensitive to (small-x) gluons!
- UPC is  $\gamma p$  or  $\gamma A$  collision
  - Nuclear DIS before the EIC era and at very high energies!

## QCD at high energy: Color Glass Condensate



- CGC = QCD at high energies
- x (energy) dependence: BK/JIMWLK (perturbative)
- Saturation of gluon density at small *x*
- Saturation scale  $Q_s^2$

Natural framework to describe high energy scattering.

# Deep inelastic scattering at high energy: dipole picture





Optical theorem:  $\sigma^{\gamma^* p} \sim \text{dipole amplitude}$ 

 $\sigma^{\gamma^* p \rightarrow V p} \sim |\text{dipole amplitude}|^2$ 

#### Univesal dipole amplitude

Same universal QCD evolved dipole amplitude N appears in calculations of

- DIS
- Diffraction
- Particle spectra in pp/pA

• . . .

Non-perturbative input from a fit to HERA  $F_2$  data.

#### IPsat model and DIS

Use impact parameter dependent dipole amplitude (IPsat) fitted to HERA

(Kowalski, Motyka, Watt, 2006; Rezaeian et al, 2012)



$$\Pr\left[-\frac{\pi^2}{2N_c}\alpha_s xg(x,\mu^2)T_p(b)r^2\right]$$

- DGLAP evolved gluon distribution xg(x, μ<sup>2</sup>)
- Proton profile  $T_p$  Gaussian
- Very good agreement with structure function data
- Generalization for nuclei:  $S_A(r, b, x) =$  $\prod_{i=1}^A S_p(r, b - b_i, x)$
- Extremely good description of the precise HERA data

- $\gamma^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  splitting, wave function  $\Psi^{\gamma}(r, Q^2, z)$
- 2  $q\bar{q}$  dipole scatters elastically
- $q\bar{q} \rightarrow J/\Psi$ , wave function  $\Psi^V(r, Q^2, z)$



#### Diffractive scattering amplitude

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \int \mathrm{d}^2 b \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{d}^2 r \Psi^{\gamma *} \Psi^V(r, z, Q^2) e^{-ib \cdot \Delta} N(r, x, b)$$

• Fourier transfer from impact parameter to transverse momentum  $\Delta$   $\rightarrow$  access to spatial structure

Still need to average over target configurations!

# Target is at the same quantum state before and after the scattering (Miettinen, Pumplin, PRD 18, 1978, ...)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\gamma^* \boldsymbol{\rho} \to \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim |\langle \mathcal{A}(x,Q^2,t) \rangle|^2$$

with

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \int \mathrm{d}^2 b \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{d}^2 r \Psi^* \Psi^V(r, z, Q^2) e^{-ib \cdot \Delta} N(r, x, b)$$

• Coherent  $t = -\Delta^2$  spectra is Fourier transfer of the **average density** 

Total diffractive cross section - coherent cross section  $\Rightarrow$  target breaks up

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\gamma^* p o V p^*}}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim \langle |\mathcal{A}(x,Q^2,t)|^2 
angle - \left| \langle \mathcal{A}(x,Q^2,t) 
angle 
ight|^2$$

with

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \int \mathrm{d}^2 b \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{d}^2 r \Psi^* \Psi^V(r, z, Q^2) e^{-ib \cdot \Delta} N(r, x, b)$$

• Incoherent cross section is proportional to the amount of fluctuations in the impact parameter space

Cross section for incoherent  $\gamma A$  diffraction: T. Lappi, H.M, 1011.1988







# Going to small x with nuclei

- Currently there is basically no small-x nuclear DIS data
- Momentum fraction  $x = M_V e^y / \sqrt{s}$
- Midrapidity  $J/\Psi$  at the LHC:  $x \sim 10^{-3}$ 
  - Forward  $J/\Psi$  at the LHC  $x\sim 10^{-2}$  and  $x\sim 10^{-5}$



#### Signal of nuclear effects seen in $\gamma A$ diffraction



Impulse approximation = scaled  $\gamma p$ 

• Clear nuclear effects seen (e.g. saturation, shadowing)

CMS, 1605.06966

#### Coherent diffraction, model comparison



ALICE, 1305.1467

Shadowing/saturation needed, compare e.g. AB-MSTW08 and AB-EPS09 (nuclear pdf) / LM-fIPsat (saturation)

#### Coherent and incoherent diffraction



Dipole model calculation (LM-fIPsat): ok simultaneous description

- More LHC data coming
  - $\sqrt{s} = 5.02 \,\mathrm{TeV}$ : *x* dependece of the gluon density
  - Spectra differentially in t 
    ightarrow Geometric structure and fluctuations
  - **QM2017:** Neutron tagging  $\Rightarrow$  large x/small x separation



T. Lappi, H.M., 1301.4095

"Theory uncertainties" are still large

- Dipole-nucleus amplitude
  - No nuclear DIS data to fit
  - But other data, e.g. R<sub>pA</sub>
- Vector meson wave function (thin-thick lines)
  - Constrained mainly by the leptonic decay width = wave function at origin!
- Large phenomenological corrections
  - Especially skewedness
     (2 gluons, x ≪ x')
     is large ~ 50%

• NLO???



T. Lappi, H.M., 1301.4095

"Theory uncertainties" are still large

- Dipole-nucleus amplitude
  - No nuclear DIS data to fit
  - But other data, e.g. R<sub>pA</sub>
- Vector meson wave function (thin-thick lines)
  - Constrained mainly by the leptonic decay width = wave function at origin!
- Large phenomenological corrections
  - Especially skewedness
    - (2 gluons,  $x \ll x'$ )
  - is large,  $\sim 50\%$

• NLO???



T. Lappi, H.M., 1301.4095

"Theory uncertainties" are still large

- Dipole-nucleus amplitude
  - No nuclear DIS data to fit
  - But other data, e.g. R<sub>pA</sub>
- Vector meson wave function (thin-thick lines)
  - Constrained mainly by the leptonic decay width = wave function at origin!
- Large phenomenological corrections
  - Especially skewedness
     (2 gluons, x ≪ x')
    - is large,  $\sim 50\%$

• NLO???



T. Lappi, H.M., 1301.4095

"Theory uncertainties" are still large

- Dipole-nucleus amplitude
  - No nuclear DIS data to fit
  - But other data, e.g. R<sub>pA</sub>
- Vector meson wave function (thin-thick lines)
  - Constrained mainly by the leptonic decay width = wave function at origin!
- Large phenomenological corrections
  - Especially skewedness
    - (2 gluons,  $x \ll x'$ )
    - is large,  $\sim 50\%$
- NLO???



T. Lappi, H.M., 1301.4095

 Model uncertainties mainly affect normalization, not rapidity (Bjorken-x) dependence.(?) "Theory uncertainties" are still large

- Dipole-nucleus amplitude
  - No nuclear DIS data to fit
  - But other data, e.g. R<sub>pA</sub>
- Vector meson wave function (thin-thick lines)
  - Constrained mainly by the leptonic decay width = wave function at origin!
- Large phenomenological corrections
  - Especially skewedness
     (2 gluons, x ≪ x')
    - is large,  $\sim 50\%$
- NLO???

$$\gamma A \rightarrow J/\Psi A$$



T. Lappi, H.M., 1011.1988 Solid line: no saturation Dashed lines: with saturation

Measure differentially in vector meson momentum  $t=-\Delta^2$ 

- Incoherent: more sensitive to saturation effects
  - QM2017, CMS: No/small incoherent cross section at small *x*!
  - Qualitatively predicted:

T. Lappi, H. M., 1011.1988

• Coherent: extract transverse density profile of the small-*x* gluons in the nucleus

Toll, Ullrich, 1211.3048

# Excited states: $\Psi(2S)/J/\Psi$

Species dependence could test our understanding of the wave function



Qualitative agreement with dipole model: node effect is damped at large  $Q_s^2$  (contribution from large dipoles is suppressed).

# Excited states: $\Psi(2S)/J/\Psi$

Species dependence could test our understanding of the wave function



Qualitative agreement with dipole model: node effect is damped at large  $Q_s^2$  (contribution from large dipoles is suppressed). QM2017:  $\sigma(2S)/\sigma(J/\Psi) = 0.166 \pm 0.011$ 



2 Pb+Pb collisions



## Diffraction in pA collisions



ATLAS, arXiv:1409.1792

#### Diffraction at the TeV scale

Total coherent diffractive cross section



Total coherent cross section follows the same  $W^\gamma$  power law as HERA

No significant saturation effect expected, described by IPsat

Recall:

- Coherent diffraction probes average structure
- Incoherent diffraction is sensitive to amount of fluctuations

#### Strategy

Simultaneous description of HERA coherent and incoherent data allow us to constrain event-by-event proton structure fluctuations.

## Constraining proton fluctuations

Start with a simple constituent quark inspired picture:

- Sample quark positions from a Gaussian distribution, width B<sub>qc</sub>
- Small-x gluons are located around the valence quarks (width  $B_q$ ).
- Combination of  $B_{qc}$  and  $B_q$  sets the degree of geometric fluctuations
- Dipole-target scattering: IPsat model fitted to  $F_2$  data

## Constraining proton fluctuations

Start with a simple constituent quark inspired picture:

- Sample quark positions from a Gaussian distribution, width  $B_{qc}$
- Small-x gluons are located around the valence quarks (width  $B_q$ ).
- Combination of  $B_{qc}$  and  $B_q$  sets the degree of geometric fluctuations
- Dipole-target scattering: IPsat model fitted to F<sub>2</sub> data

Now proton = 3 overlapping hot spots.

$$T_{\text{proton}}(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} T_q(b-b_i) \qquad T_q(b) \sim e^{-b^2/(2B_q)}$$



## Lessons from the HERA data





- H1 incoherent data requires large fluctuations
- Proton-photon center-of-mass energy  $W = 75 \,\text{GeV}$ , probing  $x \approx 10^{-3}$

Heikki Mäntysaari (BNL)



Include color charge fluctuation, parameters fitted to H1 data



• Initial condition for pA hydro, good description of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  data!

#### Towards smaller $x \ / \ \text{larger} \ W$ in $\gamma p$

#### ALICE measurement in $\gamma + p \rightarrow J/\Psi + p(p^*)$ collisions



$$x\sim 10^{-2}
ightarrow 2\cdot 10^{-5}$$

- Incoherent cross section not observed at small x
- Signature of smoothening at small x?
- Proton grows, diffractive slope  $B_p: 4 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2} \to \sim 6.7 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$

ALICE arXiv:1406.7819

#### Proton smoothening at small x?

Cepila, Contreras, Takaki (1608.07559): Number of constituent quarks  $\sim x^a(1+b\sqrt{x})$ , parameters fitted to HERA data



- Qualitatively expect incoherent cross section to decrease at high W
- $\bullet\,$  Still expect to see significant incoherent contribution at  $\sim 1\,\, {\rm TeV}$

HERA data constrains proton structure at  $x \sim 10^{-3}$ . Evolve to smaller x by perturbative CGC evolution equation (JIMWLK)



B. Schenke, S. Schlichting, Phys.Lett. B739 (2014) 313-319

- Proton grows
- Proton gets smoother

## Energy evolution of diffractive $J/\Psi$ production

Work in progress / preliminary (qualitative results at this point)



- Incoherent cross section grows more slowly
  - Proton gets smoother
  - Dipole must not scatter off other constituent quarks (included in IPsat calculation T. Lappi, H.M., 1011.1988)
- We would expect to still see a large incoherent contribution at  $W\sim 700\,{
  m GeV}$

- UPC: diffractive vector meson production at very high energy
- $\bullet\,$  CGC calculations compatible with both coherent and incoherent  $J/\Psi$  measurements form the LHC
- Signatures of saturation/shadowing in heavy ion collisions
  - Still largish model dependence
- pA collisions: study proton structure at high energies
  - Description of HERA data requires large proton structure fluctuations
  - LHC pA data hints for smoothening at small x
  - Fluctuations applied to hydro calculations of pA collisions: good description of the v<sub>n</sub> measurements (backup)
- Lots of new data coming!

#### BACKUPS

#### Hydro calculations with proton fluctuations from HERA



Large  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  at largest centrality bins reproduced well.

In preparation with B. Schenke, C. Shen, P. Tribedy

#### Constrain evolution speed

Work in progress / preliminary



•  $F_2$  data at  $Q^2=4.5\ldots 18\,{
m GeV}^2\sim M_{J/\Psi}^2$  constrain  $lpha_s$ 

• MV model does not give exactly correct  $Q^2$  dependence

Heikki Mäntysaari (BNL)

- Obtain saturation scale  $Q_s(x_T)$  from IPsat (with fluctuations)
- MV-model: Sample color charges, density  $\sim Q_s(x_T)$
- Solve Yang-Mills equations to obtain the Wilson lines

$$V(x_T) = P \exp\left(-ig \int \mathrm{d}x^{-} rac{
ho(x^-, x_T)}{
abla^2 + m^2}
ight)$$

- Dipole amplitude:  $N(x_T, y_T) = 1 \operatorname{Tr} V(x_T) V^{\dagger}(y_T) / N_c$
- Fix parameters  $B_{qc}$ ,  $B_q$  and m with HERA data

#### Lumpiness matters, not details of the density profile

3 valence quarks that are connected by "color flux tubes" (Gaussian density profile, width  $B_q$ ). Also good description of the data



 $\label{eq:H.M.B.Schenke, PRD94 034042} Flux tubes implementation following results from hep-lat/0606016, used also e.g. in 1307.5911$ 

#### Wave function overlap in $J/\Psi$ production:



#### Differential cross section



T. Lappi, H. Mäntysaari, 1301.4095

Assuming proton profile function  $T_{\rho}(b) \sim e^{b^2/(2B_{\rho})} \Rightarrow$  incoherent cross section  $\sim e^{-B_{\rho}t}$ : probes spatial distribution of gluons in proton!

Heikki Mäntysaari (BNL)



T. Lappi, H. Mäntysaari, 1301.4095 CMS frame

As the photon flux  $\sim Z^2$ , dominant process is the one where the nucleus emits the photon  $\Rightarrow$  probes mostly proton structure.

Heikki Mäntysaari (BNL)

#### Vector meson wave functions

 $\gamma^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  can be computed from QED, but  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow$  vector meson requires some modelling, parameters fit to reproduce decay width.

Excited states:  $\Psi(2S)$  wave function has a node (orthogonal to  $J/\Psi$ ). Cross section  $\sim \int d^2r \Rightarrow$  large suppression compared to  $J/\Psi$ 



S matrix ~ probability not to scatter [recall: S = 1 - N]:

$$S_A(r,b,x) = \prod_{i=1}^A S_p(r,b-b_i,x)$$

Average over nucleon configurations

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\{b_i\})\rangle_N = \int \prod_{i=1}^A \left[\mathrm{d}^2 b_i T_A(b_i)\right] \mathcal{O}(\{b_i\})$$