Gluon TMDs: Universality and Process Dependence

Cristian Pisano

INT Program Spatial and Momentum Tomography of Hadrons and Nuclei

August 28 - September 29 2017 Seattle (USA)









TMD factorization and color gauge invariance



TMD factorization

Two scale processes $Q^2 \gg p_T^2$







Factorization proven



Hard partonic interactions can be separated from nonperturbative correlators



Parton correlators Φ and Δ describe the soft hadron \leftrightarrow parton transitions



 $\begin{array}{c|c} P_{h} & P_{h} \\ \hline \Delta(k;P_{h},S_{h}) \\ c \end{array}$

ЗГ

Parametrized in terms of distribution and fragmentation functions

Resummation of all gluon exchanges leads to gauge links in the correlators Φ , Δ



The path C depends on the color interactions, *i.e.* on the specific process

Gauge invariant definition of Φ (not unique)

$$\Phi^{[\mathcal{U}]} \propto \left\langle \mathsf{P}, \mathsf{S} \left| \, \overline{\psi}(\mathsf{0}) \, \mathcal{U}^{\mathcal{C}}_{[\mathsf{0},\xi]} \, \psi(\xi) \right| \, \mathsf{P}, \mathsf{S}
ight
angle$$









Belitsky, Ji, Yuan, NPB 656 (2003) Boer, Mulders, Pijlman, NPB 667 (2003)



Possible effects in transverse momentum observables (ξ_T is conjugate to k_T)

TMD factorization Process dependence of gauge links



Leading Twist TMDs





	Quark Polarization			
		Un-Polarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 = \bullet$		$h_1^{\perp} = \bigoplus_{\text{Boer-Mulders}}^{\perp} - \bigcup_{\text{Boer-Mulders}}^{\perp}$
	L		$g_{1L} = \bigoplus_{\text{Helicity}} - \bigoplus_{\text{Helicity}} +$	$h_{1L}^{\perp} = \checkmark \rightarrow - \checkmark$
	т	$f_{1T}^{\perp} = \underbrace{\bullet}_{\text{Sivers}} - \underbrace{\bullet}_{\text{Sivers}}$	$g_{1T}^{\perp} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\uparrow} - \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\uparrow}$	$h_{1} = \underbrace{1}_{\text{Transversity}}^{\uparrow} - \underbrace{1}_{\text{Transversity}}^{\uparrow}$ $h_{1T}^{\perp} = \underbrace{2}_{\bullet}^{\bullet} - \underbrace{4}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}$

Beyond the unpolarized f_1 , helicity g_{1L} and transversity h_1 surving the collinear limit, we have five more. In particular the Sivers (f_{1T}^{\perp}) and Boer-Mulders (h_1^{\perp}) :





 $s_q \cdot (\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{k}_\perp)$ Boer-Mulders effect

Correlations between (proton or quark) spin and quark transverse momentum

The Sivers effect is expected to give rise to transverse single spin asymmetries Sivers, PRD 41 (1990)



T-odd distributions The Sivers and Boer-Mulders functions

Fundamental test of TMD theory

$$f_{17}^{\perp [DY]}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2) = -f_{17}^{\perp [SIDIS]}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2) \qquad h_1^{\perp [DY]}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2) = -h_1^{\perp [SIDIS]}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$$



ISI/FSI lead to process dependence of TMDs, could even break factorization Collins, Qiu, PRD 75 (2007) Collins, PRD 77 (2007) Rogers, Mulders, PRD 81 (2010)



Process dependence of gluon TMDs





Gauge invariant definition of $\Gamma^{\mu\nu}$

$$\Gamma^{[\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}']\mu\nu} \propto \langle P, S | \operatorname{Tr}_{c} \left[\left. F^{+\nu}(0) \, \mathcal{U}^{\mathcal{C}}_{[0,\xi]} \right. F^{+\mu}(\xi) \, \mathcal{U}^{\mathcal{C}'}_{[\xi,0]} \right] | P, S \rangle$$

Mulders, Rodrigues, PRD 63 (2001) Buffing, Mukherjee, Mulders, PRD 88 (2013) Boer, Cotogno, Van Daal, Mulders, Signori, Zhou, JHEP 1610 (2016)

The gluon correlator depends on two path-dependent gauge links

 $ep \rightarrow e' Q \overline{Q} X$, $ep \rightarrow e'$ jet jet X probe gluon TMDs with [++] gauge links $pp \rightarrow \gamma \gamma X$ (and/or other CS final state) probes gluon TMDs with [--] gauge links $pp \rightarrow \gamma$ jet X probes an entirely independent gluon TMD: [+-] links (dipole)



GLUONS	unpolarized	circular	linear
U	$\left(f_{1}^{g} \right)$		$h_1^{\perp g}$
L		$\left(g_{1L}^{g}\right)$	$h_{_{1L}}^{\perp g}$
т	$f_{1T}^{\perp g}$	g^{g}_{1T}	$h^g_{\scriptscriptstyle 1T},h^{\scriptscriptstyle ot g}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1T}$

Angeles-Martinez *et al.*, Acta Phys, Pol. B46 (2015) Mulders, Rodrigues, PRD 63 (2001) Meissner, Metz, Goeke, PRD 76 (2007)

▶ $h_1^{\perp g}$: *T*-even distribution of linearly polarized gluons inside an unp. hadron

• $f_{1T}^{\perp g}$: *T*-odd gluon Sivers function

In contrast to quark TMDs, gluon TMDs are almost unknown



Even unpolarized gluon TMDs are process dependent: *two* relevant types

This was first realized in the small-*x* framework:

Dominguez, Marquet, Xiao, Yuan, PRD (2011)

- Weizsäcker-Williams distribution (WW)
- Dipole distribution (DP)

Unpolarized (and in general 7	<i>T</i> -even) gluon TMDs
	[++] = [] (WW) [+-] = [-+] (DP)

In general they can differ in magnitude and width. Only constraint:

$$\int d^2 \boldsymbol{k}_T \ f_1^{[++]g}(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T^2) = \int d^2 \boldsymbol{k}_T \ f_1^{[+-]g}(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T^2)$$

Different processes can probe either types or a mixture of them

Related Processes

 $ep^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e' Q \overline{Q} X$, $ep^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e'$ jet jet X probe GSF with [++] gauge links (WW) $p^{\uparrow}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma X$ (and/or other CS final state) probe GSF with [--] gauge links



Motivation to study gluon Sivers effects at both RHIC and the EIC



Complementary Processes

 $ep^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e'Q\overline{Q}X$ probes a GSF with [++] gauge links (WW)

 $p^{\uparrow}p \rightarrow \gamma \text{ jet } X \ (gq \rightarrow \gamma q) \text{ probes a gluon TMD with } : [+-] \text{ links (DP)}$



At small-x the WW Sivers function appears to be suppressed by a factor of x compared to the unpolarized gluon function, unlike the dipole one

The DP gluon Sivers function at small-x is the **spin dependent odderon** (single spin asymmetries from a single Wilson loop matrix element) Boer, Echevarria, Mulders, Zhou, PRL 116 (2016) Boer, Cotogno, Van Daal, Mulders, Signori, Zhou, JHEP 1610 (2016)



The first transverse moments of the WW and DP gluon Sivers functions

$$f_{1T}^{\perp(1)g(f/d)}(x) = \int \mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{k}_T \, \frac{k_T^2}{2M_p^2} \, f_{1T}^{\perp g(f/d)}(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$$

related to two different trigluon Qiu-Sterman functions $T_G^{(f/d)}$, involving the antisymmetric f_{abc} and symmetric d_{abc} color structures, respectively

Bomhof, Mulders, JHEP 0702 (2007) Buffing, Mukherjee, Mulders, PRD 88 (2013)

The two distributions have a different behavior under charge conjugation

The Burkardt sum rule constraints only the f-type gluon Sivers function

$$\sum_{a=q,\bar{q},g}\int \mathrm{d}x\,f_{1T}^{\perp(1)a}(x)=0$$

Boer, Lorcé, CP, Zhou, AHEP 2015 (2015)

The distribution of linearly polarized gluons inside an unpolarized proton: $h_1^{\perp g}$



Gluons inside an unpolarized hadron can be linearly polarized

It requires nonzero transverse momentum



Interference between ± 1 gluon helicity states

Like the unpolarized gluon TMD, it is T-even and exists in different versions:

- ► [++] = [--] (WW)
- ► [+-] = [-+] (DP)

Visualization of the gluon polarization in the transverse momentum plane $h_1^{\perp\,g}$ is taken to be a Gaussian



The ellipsoid axis lengths are proportional to the probability of finding a gluon with a linear polarization in that direction

 f_1^g and $h_1^{\perp g} \sim \ln 1/x$ as $x \to 0$: computable in a saturation models (CGC)

Dominguez *et al*, PRD **85** (2012) Metz, Zhou, PRD **84** (2011)

- The DP $h_1^{\perp g}$ saturates its positivity bound
- ▶ The WW $h_1^{\perp g}$ is moderately suppressed at small p_T , bound saturated at large $p_T \gg Q_s$

k_T-factorization approach predicts maximal polarization (no process dependence) Catani, Ciafaloni, Hautmann, NPB 336 (1991)

In the TMD formalism the DP $h_1^{\perp g}$ becomes maximal when $x \rightarrow 0$ Boer, Cotogno, Van Daal, Mulders, Signori, Zhou, JHEP 1610 (2016)

EIC can probe the WW $h_1^{\perp g}$, while RHIC/LHC can probe both the DP and WW TMDs considered in the following in *pp* collisions are of the WW-type at small-x_ Higgs boson production happens mainly via $gg \rightarrow H$

Pol. gluons affect the Higgs transverse spectrum at NNLO pQCD

Catani, Grazzini, NPB 845 (2011)



The nonperturbative distribution can be present at tree level and would contribute to Higgs production at low q_T

Boer, den Dunnen, CP, Schlegel, Vogelsang, PRL 108 (2012) Boer, den Dunnen, CP, Schlegel, PRL 111 (2013)

Gluon polarization and the Higgs boson $p p \rightarrow H X$ at the LHC

q_T -distribution of the Higgs boson

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{d\boldsymbol{q}_T^2} \propto 1 + R(\boldsymbol{q}_T^2) \qquad R = \frac{h_1^{\perp g} \otimes h_1^{\perp g}}{f_1^g \otimes f_1^g} \qquad |h_1^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)| \le \frac{2M_\rho^2}{\boldsymbol{p}_T^2} f_1^g(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$$

Gaussian Model



 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Gaussian model for } f_1^g \text{ and } h_1^{\perp g} \\ f_1^g(\mathsf{x}, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2) = \frac{f_1^g(\mathsf{x})}{\pi \langle \boldsymbol{p}_T^2 \rangle} \exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_T^2}{\langle \boldsymbol{p}_T^2 \rangle}\right); \quad f_{1T}^{\perp g}(\mathsf{x}, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2) = \frac{M f_1^g(\mathsf{x})}{\pi \langle \boldsymbol{p}_T^2 \rangle^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{2e(1-r)}{r}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_T^2}{\langle \boldsymbol{p}_T^2 \rangle}\right) \qquad 0 < r < 1 \end{aligned}$

TMD evolution, at NNLL accuracy, suppresses the ratio R with increasing energy



Boer, den Dunnen, NPB 886 (2014) Echevarria, Kasemets, Mulders, CP, JHEP 1507 (2015)

At $Q \approx 9$ GeV (Υ mass), R will be much larger, up to 60%



Gluon TMDs and quarkonium production



Color Octet (CO) production in *pp* collisions involves a complicated link structure Color Singlet (CS) production of *C*-even quarkonia from two gluons is possible This is not allowed for J/ψ or Υ because of the Landau-Yang theorem

$$p \, p
ightarrow [Q \overline{Q}] X \qquad (gg
ightarrow [Q \overline{Q}])$$

Hard scale can only be the particle mass: Q = M

TMD Factorization requires the resulting particle Q to have small q_T ($q_T \ll M$)

C = +1 quarkonium production

 q_T -distribution of η_Q and χ_{QJ} (Q=c,b) in the kinematic region $q_T\ll 2M_Q$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(\eta_Q)} \frac{d\sigma(\eta_Q)}{d\boldsymbol{q}_T^2} \propto f_1^g \otimes f_1^g \left[1 - R(\boldsymbol{q}_T^2)\right] \qquad \text{[pseudoscal]}$$
$$\frac{1}{\sigma(\chi_{Q0})} \frac{d\sigma(\chi_{Q0})}{d\boldsymbol{q}_T^2} \propto f_1^g \otimes f_1^g \left[1 + R(\boldsymbol{q}_T^2)\right] \qquad \text{[scalar]}$$
$$\frac{1}{\sigma(\chi_{Q2})} \frac{d\sigma(\chi_{Q2})}{d\boldsymbol{q}_T^2} \propto f_1^g \otimes f_1^g$$

Boer, CP, PRD 86 (2012) 094007



Proof of factorization at NLO for $p p \rightarrow \eta_Q X$ in the Color Singlet Model (CSM) Ma, Wang, Zhao, PRD 88 (2013), 014027; PLB 737 (2014) 103

${\cal A}_N^{\sin \phi_S}$ for $p^\uparrow p o \eta_Q X$ and $p^\uparrow p o \chi_{QJ} X$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{N}^{\sin\phi_{S}}(\eta_{Q}) &= \frac{|\mathbf{S}_{T}|}{2(1-R_{0})f_{1}^{g}\otimes f_{1}^{g}} \left\{ f_{1}^{g}\otimes f_{1T}^{\perp g} + h_{1}^{\perp g}\otimes h_{1T}^{g} + h_{1}^{\perp g}\otimes h_{1T}^{\perp g} \right\} \\ A_{N}^{\sin\phi_{S}}(\chi_{Q0}) &= \frac{|\mathbf{S}_{T}|}{2(1+R_{0})f_{1}^{g}\otimes f_{1}^{g}} \left\{ f_{1}^{g}\otimes f_{1T}^{\perp g} - h_{1}^{\perp g}\otimes h_{1T}^{g} - h_{1}^{\perp g}\otimes h_{1T}^{\perp g} \right\} \\ A_{N}^{\sin\phi_{S}}(\chi_{Q2}) &= \frac{|\mathbf{S}_{T}|}{2f_{1}^{g}\otimes f_{1}^{g}} f_{1}^{g}\otimes f_{1T}^{\perp g} \end{aligned}$$

Boer, Lansberg, CP, in preparation

Quarkonium $Q \equiv Q\bar{Q}[{}^{3}S_{1}]$ and isolated γ produced almost back to back

den Dunnen, Lansberg, CP, Schlegel, PRL 112 (2014)

Accessible at the LHC: only the transverse momentum of the $Q + \gamma$ pair needs to be small, not the individual ones

Study of TMD evolution by tuning the invariant mass of $Q + \gamma$ (evolution scale)

Color octet (CO) contributions to $Q + \gamma$ likely smaller than for inclusive QKim, Lee, Song, PRD 55 (1997) Li, Wang, PLB 672 (2009) Lansberg, PLB 679 (2009)

CO further suppressed w.r.t. CS contributions when ${\mathcal Q}$ and γ are back to back

Mathews, Sridhar, Basu, PRD 60 (1999) 014009

Quarkonium + photon production Color Singlet vs Color Octet

Process dominated by gg fusion



CS yield is clearly dominant for the Υ , above the CO one for J/ψ at low QFurther suppression of CO contributions by isolating Q (not needed for Υ)

> Kraan, AIP Conf. Proc. 1038 (2008) 45 Kikola, NP Proc. Suppl. 214 (2011) 177



 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}^{2}q_{T}\mathrm{d}\Omega} \propto Af_{1}^{g} \otimes f_{1}^{g} + Bf_{1}^{g} \otimes h_{1}^{\perp g}\cos(2\phi_{CS}) + Ch_{1}^{\perp g} \otimes h_{1}^{\perp g}\cos(4\phi_{CS})$

- valid up to corrections $\mathcal{O}(q_T/Q)$
- Y: rapidity of the $Q + \gamma$ pair, along the beam in the hadronic c.m. frame
- ► $d\Omega = d\cos\theta_{CS} d\phi_{CS}$: solid angle for Q γ in the Collins-Soper frame

Analysis similar to the one for $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma X$, $pp \rightarrow H \text{ jet } X$

Qiu, Schlegel, Vogelsang, PRL 107 (2011) Boer, CP, PRD 91 (2015)

The three contributions can be disentangled by defining the transverse moments

$$S_{q_{T}}^{(n)} \equiv \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\phi_{cs} \cos(n\phi_{cs}) \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}^{2}q_{T}\mathrm{d}\Omega}}{\int_{0}^{q_{T}^{2}\mathrm{max}} dq_{T}^{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\phi_{cs} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}^{2}q_{T}\mathrm{d}\Omega}} \qquad (n = 0, 2, 4) \quad q_{T}^{2\mathrm{max}} = \frac{Q^{2}}{4}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{S}_{q_{T}}^{(0)} & \Longrightarrow & f_{1}^{g} \otimes f_{1}^{g} \\ \mathcal{S}_{q_{T}}^{(2)} & \Longrightarrow & f_{1}^{g} \otimes h_{1}^{\perp g} \\ \mathcal{S}_{q_{T}}^{(4)} & \Longrightarrow & h_{1}^{\perp g} \otimes h_{1}^{\perp g} \end{array}$$





Models for f_1^g : assumed to be the same as for Unintegrated Gluon Distributions:

Set B: B0 solution to CCFM equation with input based on HERA data

Jung et al., EPJC 70 (2010)

► KMR: Formalism embodies both DGLAP and BFKL evolution equations

Kimber, Martin, Ryskin, PRD 63 (2010)

CGC: Color Glass Condensate Model

Dominguez, Qiu, Xiao, Yuan, PRD 85 (2012) Metz, Zhou, PRD 84 (2011) Upsilon + photon production $S_{q_T}^{(2,4)}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV

 $Q = 20 \text{ GeV}, \qquad Y = 0, \qquad heta_{CS} = \pi/2$



 $h_1^{\perp g}$: predictions only in the CGC: in the other models saturated to upper bound $S_{q_T}^{(2,4)}$ smaller than $S_{q_T}^{(0)}$: can be integrated up to $q_T = 10 \text{ GeV}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} 2.0\% \, ({\rm KMR}) < & |\int {\rm d}q_T^2 \mathcal{S}_{q_T}^{(2)}| & < 2.9\% \, ({\rm Gauss}) \\ 0.3\% \, ({\rm CGC}) < & \int {\rm d}q_T^2 \, \mathcal{S}_{q_T}^{(4)} & < 1.2\% \, ({\rm Gauss}) \end{array}$

Possible determination of the shape of f_1^g and verification of a non-zero $h_1^{\perp g}$

The gluon Sivers function $p^{\uparrow}p \rightarrow J/\psi + \gamma X$

Angular structure of the cross section for $p^{\uparrow}p \rightarrow J/\psi + \gamma X$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UT}}{\mathrm{d}y_{\psi}\,\mathrm{d}y_{\gamma}\,\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{K}_{\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{q}_{T}} \propto \sin\phi_{S}\,f_{1}^{g}\otimes f_{1T}^{\perp\,g} + B\left\{\sin(\phi_{S}-2\phi)\,f_{1}^{g}\otimes h_{1T}^{g}\right. \\ \left. + \sin\phi_{S}\cos2\phi\,\left[f_{1}^{g}\otimes h_{1T}^{\perp\,g} + h_{1}^{\perp\,g}\otimes f_{1T}^{\perp\,g}\right] \right. \\ \left. + \sin\phi_{S}\cos4\phi\,\left[h_{1}^{\perp\,g}\otimes h_{1T}^{g} + h_{1}^{\perp\,g}\otimes h_{1T}^{\perp\,g}\right] \right. \\ \left. + \cos\phi_{S}\sin2\phi\,\left[f_{1}^{g}\overline{\otimes}\,h_{1T}^{\perp\,g} + h_{1}^{\perp\,g}\overline{\otimes}\,f_{1T}^{\perp\,g}\right] \right. \\ \left. + \cos\phi_{S}\sin4\phi\,\left[h_{1}^{\perp\,g}\overline{\otimes}\,h_{1T}^{g} + h_{1}^{\perp\,g}\overline{\otimes}\,h_{1T}^{\perp\,g}\right] \right\} \quad \phi \equiv \phi_{T} - \phi_{\perp}$$

Lansberg, CP, Schlegel, in preparation

$$A_{N}^{\sin\phi_{S}} \equiv \frac{\int \mathrm{d}\phi_{S} \sin\phi_{S} \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma(\phi_{S}) - \mathrm{d}\sigma(\phi_{S} + \pi)\right]}{\int \mathrm{d}\phi_{S} \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma(\phi_{S}) + \mathrm{d}\sigma(\phi_{S} + \pi)\right]} = \frac{\int \mathrm{d}\phi_{S} \sin\phi_{S} \,\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UT}}{\int \mathrm{d}\phi_{S} \,\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UU}}$$

$$A_N^{\sin\phi_S} = |\boldsymbol{S}_T| \frac{f_1^g \otimes f_{1T}^{\perp g}}{2 f_1^g \otimes f_1^g}$$

Conclusions

- TMD distributions are affected by ISI/FSI, encoded in the gauge links, which render them gauge invariant but process dependent
- Different behavior of unpolarized WW and dipole gluon TMDs, both accessible at RHIC, could be tested experimentally
- Same considerations apply to linearly polarized gluons, which affect transverse spectra of (pseudo) scalar particles, and generate azimuthal asymmetries in associated quarkonium production at the LHC
- Two distinct gluon Sivers functions can be measured in pp collisions (RHIC and AFTER@LHC); the WW-type allows for a sign-change test (EIC)
- Observables related to gluon TMDs could be part of both the *spin* and the *small-x* program at a future EIC CP. talk next Thursday

CP, talk next Thursday see also: P. Mulders, talk on Monday S. Cotogno, talk on Tuesday