TRIUMF

Canada's national laboratory for particle and nuclear physics and accelerator-based science

Ab initio β-decay calculations with SRG evolved chiral currents

INT Program INT-17-2a Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay June 20, 2017

Petr Navratil | TRIUMF

 $-\triangle$ N^2 LO500 NLO500 **LO500** $LO-N⁴LO500$ NN $\frac{1}{2}$ $\overline{14}$

Collaborators: Sofia Quaglioni, Kyle Wendt (LLNL) Angelo Calci, **Peter Gysbers,** Jason Holt (TRIUMF) Gaute Hagen, Micah Schuster (ORNL) Mihai Horoi (CMU), Jon Engel (NCU), Doron Gazit (Hebrew U)

- New high precision chiral interactions
- Chiral currents
- SRG evolution of operators
- NCSM calculations of ${}^{3}H, {}^{6}He, {}^{14}C$ beta decay
- Initial double-beta decay applications

Nuclear structure and reactions

- Inter-nucleon forces from chiral effective field theory
	- Based on the symmetries of QCD
		- Chiral symmetry of QCD $(m_u \approx m_d \approx 0)$, spontaneously broken with pion as the Goldstone boson
		- Degrees of freedom: nucleons + pions
	- Systematic low-momentum expansion to a given order $(Q/\Lambda_{\rm x})$
	- **Hierarchy**
	- **Consistency**
	- Low energy constants (LEC)
		- Fitted to data
		- Can be calculated by lattice QCD

Λχ~1 GeV : Chiral symmetry breaking scale

N3LO NN+N2LO 3N (NN+3N400, NN+3N500) N4LO500 NN

3 *cˆ* ³#

when we go to coordinate space, the currents must be regular must be regular must be regular must be regular must

5

 \mathcal{L} in light nuclei. For a variant approach towards the EFT \mathcal{L} description of nuclear matter and heavy nuclei, we refer to

• Meson-exchange current ! *¯ pl ¯ pl* 2

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 67, 055206 (2003) $25 - 2$

2(*V*"1

Parameter-free effective field theory calculation for the solar proton-fusion and hep processes resentation of a transition operator, we use the Gaussian

T.-S. Park, ^{1,2,3} L. E. Marcucci, ^{4,5} R. Schiavilla, ^{6,7} M. Viviani, ^{5,4} A. Kievsky, ^{5,4} S. Rosati, ^{5,4} K. Kubodera, ^{1,2} D.-P. Min, 8 and M. Rho^{1,9} 1 *School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-012, Korea* R. Scl *plq*⁰ $Kiev$ v $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2$ equivalent to replacing the delta and Yukawa functions with

Properties and Research Institute for Basic Sciences, Pusan 609-735, Alexander and Physics and Physi Department of Physics, University of Pisa, I-56100 Pisa, Italy

– one-body: LO - Gamow-Teller 5 *INFN, Sezione di Pisa, I-56100 Pisa, Italy* 6 *Department of Physics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529 mN* # ¹" 2*mN*

$$
A_{l} = -g_{A}\tau_{l}^{-}e^{-iq\cdot r_{l}}\left[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{l} + \frac{2(\boldsymbol{\bar{p}}_{l}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{l}\cdot\boldsymbol{\bar{p}}_{l} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{l}\boldsymbol{\bar{p}}_{l}^{2}) + iq \times \boldsymbol{\bar{p}}_{l}}{4m_{N}^{2}}\right]
$$

 $-$ two-body: MEC currents in two-nucleon systems up to \sim heavy-baryon chiral perturbation theory, we carry out a parameter-free calculation of the threshold *S* factors for spond to the hard-pion current, considered in CRSW91 #16\$

$$
A_{12} = \frac{g_A}{2m_N f_\pi^2} \frac{1}{m_\pi^2 + k^2} \left[-\frac{i}{2} \tau_\times \mathbf{p} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) \cdot \mathbf{k} + 4 \hat{c}_3 \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k} \cdot (\tau_1^- \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \tau_2^- \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) + \left(\hat{c}_4 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \tau_\times \mathbf{k} \times [\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\times \times \mathbf{k}] \right] + \frac{g_A}{m_N f_\pi^2} \left[2 \hat{d}_1 (\tau_1^- \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \tau_2^- \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) + \hat{d}_2 \tau_\times^a \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\times \right], \tag{19}
$$

NCSM

93

- *Ab initio* no-core shell model
	- Short- and medium range correlations
	- Bound-states, narrow resonances
	- Equivalent description in relativecoordinate and Slater determinant basis

Harmonic oscillator basis

NCSM

93

- *Ab initio* no-core shell model
	- Short- and medium range correlations
	- Bound-states, narrow resonances
	- Equivalent description in relativecoordinate and Slater determinant basis

Harmonic oscillator basis

*N*max \Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow (A) $\Psi^{A} = \sum_{N} \sum_{Ni} c_{Ni} \, \Phi^{HO}_{Ni}(n)$ ∑ $\sum c^{}_{\textit{Ni}}\, \Phi^{HO}_{\textit{Ni}}(\vec{\eta}^{}_{1},\vec{\eta}^{}_{2},...,\vec{\eta}^{}_{A\!-\!1})$ $(\vec{\bm{\eta}}_1,$ $\vec{\eta}_2,...,$ *N*=0 *i*

(A)
$$
\mathbf{\Psi}_{SD}^A = \sum_{N=0}^{N_{max}} \sum_j c_{Nj}^{SD} \Phi_{SDNj}^{HO}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, ..., \vec{r}_A) = \Psi^A \varphi_{000}(\vec{R}_{CM})
$$

- Nuclear currents are obtained consistently
	- LO: standard singlenucleon terms
	- N2LO: first appearance of two-body currents
	- Two-body axial vector currents predicted by NN and 3N couplings
- $3H$ binding energy and β-decay half-life uncorrelated
	- Used to fully constrain N2LO 3N force (c_E, c_D) in A=3

Similarity Renormalization Group (SRG) evolution \otimes TRIUMF

- Continuous transformation driving Hamiltonian to band-diagonal form with respect to a chosen basis
- Unitary transformation $\quad H_{\alpha}$ = U_{α} H U_{α}^{+} + $U_{\alpha}U_{\alpha}^{+} = U_{\alpha}^{+}U_{\alpha} = 1$

$$
\frac{dH_{\alpha}}{d\alpha} = \frac{dU_{\alpha}}{d\alpha}HU_{\alpha}^{+} + U_{\alpha}H\frac{dU_{\alpha}^{+}}{d\alpha} = \frac{dU_{\alpha}}{d\alpha}U_{\alpha}^{+}U_{\alpha}HU_{\alpha}^{+} + U_{\alpha}HU_{\alpha}^{+}U_{\alpha}\frac{dU_{\alpha}^{+}}{d\alpha}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{dU_{\alpha}}{d\alpha}U_{\alpha}^{+}H_{\alpha} + H_{\alpha}U_{\alpha}\frac{dU_{\alpha}^{+}}{d\alpha} = \left[\eta_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha}\right] \qquad \eta_{\alpha} = \frac{dU_{\alpha}}{d\alpha}U_{\alpha}^{+} = -\eta_{\alpha}^{+}
$$
\n
$$
\text{Setting } \eta_{\alpha} = \left[G_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha}\right] \text{ with Hermitian } G_{\alpha} \qquad \text{matrix} \qquad \text{generator}
$$

$$
\frac{dH_{\alpha}}{d\alpha} = \left[\left[G_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha} \right], H_{\alpha} \right]
$$

- Customary choice in nuclear physics $G_{\alpha} = T$... kinetic energy operator
	- band-diagonal in momentum space plane-wave basis
- Initial condition $H_{\alpha=0} = H_{\lambda=\infty} = H$ $\lambda^2 = 1/\sqrt{\alpha}$

The SRG transformation maintains the same eigenvalues for the Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{H}|\psi_k\rangle = E_k|\psi_k\rangle \rightarrow \hat{H}_{\alpha}|\psi_{k,\alpha}\rangle = E_k|\psi_{k,\alpha}\rangle
$$

But to extract additional observables from the wavefunction while taking advantage of the SRG tranformation, the corresponding operators must be transformed [6].

$$
\bra{\psi_i} \hat{O} \ket{\psi_f} = \bra{\psi_{i,\alpha}} \hat{O}_\alpha \ket{\psi_{f,\alpha}} \text{where} \quad \hat{O}_\alpha = U_\alpha \hat{O} U_\alpha^\dagger
$$

The transformation matrix can be extracted from the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian

$$
U_{\alpha} = \sum_{k} |\psi_{k,\alpha}\rangle \bra{\psi_{k}}
$$

The matrix U is calculated blockwise, for relative coordinate two-nucleon eigenstates: *H^α* , *O^α* : 2-body part determined in *A*=2 system, 3-body part determined in *A*=3 system,

…

Implementation up to two-body terms:

Peter Gysbers (McMaster/TRIUMF)

The matrix U is calculated blockwise, for relative coordinate two-nucleon eigenstates:

$$
(A=2)kJ^{\pi}TT_z\rangle = \sum_{n,\ell} c_{n\ell s}^k |n\ell sJ^{\pi}TT_z\rangle
$$

The corresponding submatrix of \hat{H} is evolved then diagonalized to produce a matrix $\ U_\alpha^{J^\pi T T_z}$ Compute the matrix elements of the bare operator: $\bra{k'J'^{\pi'}T'T'_z}\ket{\hat O^{(K)}}\ket{\ket{kJ^\pi TT_z}}$

Matrix elements of the evolved operator are:

$$
\langle k' J'^\pi' T' T'_z, \alpha || U_{\alpha}^{J'^\pi' T' T'_z} \hat{O}^{(K)} U_{\alpha}^{\dagger J^\pi T T_z} || k J^\pi T T_z, \alpha \rangle
$$

Implementation up to two-body terms:

Peter Gysbers (McMaster/TRIUMF)

The matrix U is calculated blockwise, for relative coordinate two-nucleon eigenstates:

$$
(A=2)kJ^{\pi}TT_z\rangle = \sum_{n,\ell} c_{n\ell s}^k |n\ell sJ^{\pi}TT_z\rangle
$$

The corresponding submatrix of \hat{H} is evolved then diagonalized to produce a matrix $\ U_\alpha^{J^\pi T T_z}$ Compute the matrix elements of the bare operator: $\|k'J'^\pi'T'T'_z\|\hat O^{(K)}\,\|kJ^\pi TT_z\rangle$

Matrix elements of the evolved operator are:

$$
\langle k' J'^\pi' T' T'_z, \alpha || U_\alpha^{J'^\pi' T' T'_z} \hat{O}^{(K)} U_\alpha^{\dagger J^\pi T T_z} || k J^\pi T T_z, \alpha \rangle
$$

Converting from the two-nucleon Jacobi basis to the single particle basis:

$$
\langle a'b' J'^{\pi'} T' T'_z || \hat{O}_{\alpha}^{(K)} || ab J^{\pi} T T_z \rangle \qquad a \equiv \{n_a, \ell_a, j_a\}
$$

$$
= \sum C_{n'\ell's'}^{*a'b'} C_{n\ell s}^{ab} \langle n'\ell's' J'^{\pi'} T' T'_z || \hat{O}_{\alpha}^{(K)} || n\ell s J^{\pi} T T_z \rangle
$$

Code NCSMV2B

- Systematic from LO to N⁴LO
- High precision x^2 /datum = 1.15
	- D. R. Entem, N. Kaiser, R. Machleidt, and Y. Nosyk, Phys. Rev. C 91, 014002 (2015).
	- D. R. Entem, R. Machleidt, and Y. Nosyk, arXiv:1703.05454.

- Systematic from LO to N⁴LO
- High precision x^2 /datum = 1.15
	- D. R. Entem, N. Kaiser, R. Machleidt, and Y. Nosyk, Phys. Rev. C 91, 014002 (2015).
	- D. R. Entem, R. Machleidt, and Y. Nosyk, arXiv:1703.05454.

- Systematic from LO to N⁴LO
- High precision $χ²$ /datum = 1.15
	- D. R. Entem, N. Kaiser, R. Machleidt, and Y. Nosyk, Phys. Rev. C 91, 014002 (2015).
	- D. R. Entem, R. Machleidt, and Y. Nosyk, arXiv:1703.05454.

$$
\hat{O} = GT^{(1)} \rightarrow \hat{O}_{\alpha} = GT^{(1)} + GT^{(2)}_{\alpha} + \ldots
$$

Operator:

Gamow-Teller (1-body) $\left\langle \left\langle \mathsf{G}\mathsf{T}^{(2)}_\alpha \right\rangle_{\mathsf{A}=2} = \left\langle \left(\mathsf{G}\mathsf{T}^{(1)}\right)_\alpha \right\rangle_{\mathsf{A}=2} - \left\langle \mathsf{G}\mathsf{T}^{(1)}_\alpha \right\rangle_{\mathsf{A}=2}$

Potential: "N⁴LO NN"

· chiral NN @ N⁴LO, Machleidt PRC91 (2015), 500MeV cutoff

Peter Gysbers (McMaster) SRG on Operators Mar 1, 2017 5 / 9 Hamiltonian: chiral NN+3N with SRG 2- and 3-body induced (except orange line: bare chiral NN+3N)

18

$$
\hat{O} = GT^{(1)} + MEC^{(2)} \rightarrow \hat{O}_{\alpha} = GT^{(1)} + GT_{\alpha}^{(2)} + MEC_{\alpha}^{(2)} + \dots
$$

Operator:

Gamow-Teller $(1-body) + chiral$ meson exchange current (2-body) Park (2003)

Potential: "N⁴LO NN"

- chiral NN @ N⁴LO, Machleidt PRC91 (2015), 500MeV cutoff
-

Original EM 2003 N 3 LO NN c_0 =-0.2 (3N attractive)

 c_{D} =0.45 (3N repulsive) Peter Gysbers (McMaster) SRG on Operators Mar 1, 2017 6 / 9 **Determination** of the c_D parameter relevant to chiral 3N force

$$
\hat{O} = GT^{(1)} + MEC^{(2)} \rightarrow \hat{O}_{\alpha} = GT^{(1)} + GT^{(2)}_{\alpha} + MEC^{(2)}_{\alpha} + \ldots
$$

Potential: "NN+3N500"

- o chiral NN @ N³LO, Entem & Machleidt PRC68 (2003), 500MeV cutoff
- \bullet chiral 3N @ N²LO, Navrátil Few-Body Sys. 41 (2007), 500MeV cutoff
- LEC $c_D = -0.2$ determined by

Peter Gysbers (McMaster) SRG on Operators Mar 1, 2017 7 / 9 Hamiltonian: chiral NN+3N with SRG 2- and 3-body induced

20

Precision measurement of ⁶He beta decay

Precision measurement of ⁶He beta decay

huclear forces $\Big|_{z=2}$ … challenge and test and currents

Improvement with I. INTRODUCTION & operator renormalization $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix}$ nuclei can provide important tests of our understanding tests of our understanding tests of our understanding of electroweak interactions in the nuclear medium. Many $\frac{1}{2}$ is the nuclear medium. Many $\frac{1}{2}$ interesting problems—ranging from solar fusion to \mathcal{F} interactions and pion continuum **the NNN interaction Improvement with MEC**

Precision measurement of ⁶He beta decay

huclear forces $\Big|_{z=2}$ … challenge and test and currents

Improvement with I. INTRODUCTION & operator renormalization $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix}$ \sim 0.000 and provide important tests of our understanding **Still to be done:** $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline \end{array}$ interesting \mathbf{r} interesting function to neutrino **interactions and pion continuum the NNN interaction Improvement with MEC**

Carbon dating: Super-allowed transition to the ground state very weak **NNN** interaction suppresses it **MEC** appears to enhance it

SRG 2-body evolution of the 0νββ operator In collaboration with Quaglioni, Schuster, Horoi, Engel, Holt

Light-Neutrino 0νββ

• Matrix elements for light-neutrino exchange mechanism

• Matrix elements for light-neutrino exchange mechanism

• Matrix elements for heavy-neutrino exchange mechanism

• Matrix elements for heavy-neutrino exchange mechanism

• SRG evolution **important** for β decay operators

- both GT and MEC
- as well as neutrinoless double-beta decay (especially with heavy neutrino)

- Implemented on two-body level
- Generalization to three-body terms straightforward
	- although technically challenging
	- Codes: NCSMV2b -> MANYEFF
		- Beware of the transformation from relative to single-particle basis