INT Jets+HQ 2017 Seattle, May 23, 2017

Hadronization in Vacuum and in the Medium



Rainer Fries

Texas A&M University





Work in collaboration with Kyongchol Han Che Ming Ko Michael Kordell

Overview

- Hadronization models.
- Instantaneous vs resonance recombination
- Applications to the HQ sector
- The JET recombination/fragmentation hybrid model
- Including a medium; JETSCAPE



Hadronization

Fragmentation of a parton from a hard process well-defined and well measured

 $D_{\mathrm{u}
ightarrow h} \sim \langle 0 | u_{lpha} | h
angle \langle h | u_{eta} | 0
angle$





- Little theoretical and experimental guidance for jet hadronization: n quarks $\rightarrow m$ hadrons
 - Conservation laws and color
 - □ New generation of sub-jet observables?



Dirt Effects or Interesting Physics?

In jet physics: new observables for jet substructure

 $F_N(\{p_i\}) = \sum_{i_1} \sum_{i_2} \dots \sum_{i_N} E_{i_1} E_{i_2} \dots E_{i_N} f_N(\hat{p}_{i_1}, \hat{p}_{i_2}, \dots, \hat{p}_{i_N})$ All N-tuples N Energies Angular Weighting (symmetric, vanishes for $\theta_{ij} \to 0$)

 $ve_n^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\text{all } n\text{-tuples}} (n \text{ energies}) (v \text{ smallest angles})^{\beta}$ [Jesse Thaler slides]

.... with varying degree of sensitivity to hadronization.

[A.J. Larkoski, S. Marzani, G. Soyez, J. Thaler: arxiv:1402.2657]

- Hadronization is interesting because (generally)
 - □ it connects observables to deconfined partons
 - □ probe-parton interactions at T_c (hadronization) = lim(T→T_c) probe-parton interactions at T>T_c
- The problem: theoretical control over the process.
- But: systematic comparison of p+p, p+A and A+A possible.



Jet Hadronization: Initial State

- Working definition: jet = (perturbative) parton shower + hadronization
- Traditional matching point:
 - \Box Cutoff virtuality Q_0 for each parton
 - □ Results in complicated space-time picture

With medium:

- Well-defined space-time picture for the jet is important to utilize information from simulations of the medium (for in-medium evolution and hadronization!)
- Parton virtuality and background temperature; might have to add phase space constraints.



Candidate Models

- String fragmentation: based on string pictures of hadrons emerging in the early 70s
 - □ Lund string model
 - $\Box \text{ JETSET (e+e-)} \rightarrow \text{PYTHIA (e+e-, pp, ...)}$
- Cluster hadronization
 - □ Force non-perturabative g->q qbar splitting
 - □ Local color neutrality: q-qbar form color-neutral clusters.
 - Clusters decay into hadrons which can decay further into stable hadrons.
 - Some similarities with recombination.
- Quark recombination
 - □ Based on parton-parton interactions







Quark Recombination

Exclusive processes: recombination of all beam partons:

 Leading particle effect: recombination of produced partons with beam partons

 Charm-strange correlations in heavy ion collisio strangeness enhancement seen in D_s.

Quark scaling law in HI collisions

 $\alpha = \frac{d\sigma_{D^{-}}(x) - d\sigma_{D^{+}}(x)}{d\sigma_{D^{-}}(x) + d\sigma_{D^{+}}(x)}$

U





Recombination Approaches

 Instantaneous recombination: project parton states onto hadron states:

$$N_{h} = \int \frac{d^{3}P}{(2\pi)^{3}} \langle h; \mathbf{P} | \rho | h; \mathbf{P} \rangle$$

 Probabilities or yields usually expressed through Wigner function overlap

$$\frac{dN_M}{d^3 \mathbf{p}_M} = g_M \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 d^3 \mathbf{p}_1 d^3 \mathbf{x}_2 d^3 \mathbf{p}_2 f_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{p}_1) f_{\bar{q}}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{p}_2) \\ \times W_M(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{k}_1) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{P}_M - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2), \quad (3)$$

 Suitable for fast processes like jet hadronization.

[RJF, V. Greco, P. Sorensen, INT-17-1b-Week4 Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 58, 177 (2008)] Resonance recombination: formation of hadron states as resonances in parton scattering.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f_M(t,\vec{p}) = -\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma_p}f_M(t,\vec{p}) + g(\vec{p})$$

$$> \sim <$$

[Ravagli & Rapp, PLB 655 (2007)] [Ravagli, van Hees & Rapp, PRC 79 (2009)]

Consistent with hadronic survival results from lattice.



Resonance and Heavy Quark Hadronization

In collaboration with Min He Ralf Rapp



Resonance Recombination

- Mesons appear as resonances of quark-antiquark scattering
- Described by Boltzmann equation, start with ensemble of quarks/antiquarks
- Breit-Wigner resonance cross sections:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f_M(t,\vec{p}) = -\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma_p}f_M(t,\vec{p}) + g(\vec{p})$$

$$\sigma(s) = C_M \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \frac{(\Gamma m)^2}{\left(s - m^2\right)^2 + \left(\Gamma m\right)^2}$$



[Ravagli & Rapp PLB 655 (2007)] [Ravagli, van Hees & Rapp, PRC 79 (2009)]

Long-time limit:

$$E \frac{dN_{M}}{d^{3}P} = \frac{E\gamma}{8(2\pi)^{3}\Gamma} \int \frac{d^{3}xd^{3}p_{rel}}{(2\pi)^{3}} f_{a}(x, p_{1})f_{a}(x, p_{2})\sigma(s)v_{rel}(P, p_{rel})$$

- Conserves energy <u>and</u> momentum, should be able to attain equilibrium.
- Compatible with the picture of a strongly interacting medium.



Test of Equilibrium Limit

- Energy conservation + detailed balance + equilibrated quark input → equilibrated hadrons.
- Can be applied to any hadronization hypersurface Σ .
- Numerical tests: compare blast wave hadrons at T_c - ϵ to hadrons coalesced from quarks of the same blast wave at T_c + ϵ : heavy-light system at AZHYDRO hypersurface



[M. He, RJF and R. Rapp, PRC 82 (2010)]



Implementation for Heavy Quarks

- Resonance recombination ideal for systems close to equilibrium.
- How to decide recombination vs fragmentation rate?
 Q-q recombination rate ~ Q-q in medium scattering rate

 $P_{\text{coal}}(p) = \Delta \tau_{\text{res}} \Gamma_Q^{\text{res}}(p)$

- □ Apply fragmentation with probability $1-P_{coal}(p)$.
- Hence consistent with in-medium dynamics.
 - Low momenta = recombination dominated (co-moving thermal partons)
 - □ High momenta = fragmentation dominated (no co-moving thermal partons)

INT-17-1b-Week4

- Usually two extreme assumptions about $\Delta \tau$:
 - Corresponding to $P_{\text{coal}} = 1$ or $1 e^{-1}$ at p = 0.
- Total recombination probability averaged over fluid cells in lab frame:





The D_s as a Signature

RHIC:



JET Jet Hadronization



The JET Effort on Jet Hadronization

- JET collaboration goal: "... realistic calculations of jet production in a high energy density medium..."
 → Comprehensive modeling of jet showers, including hadron chemistry.
- Find a unified approach to modelling hadronization of jets that includes vacuum and inmedium cases
- → Hybrid model including quark recombination and string fragmentation.
- → Instantanteous recombination of constituent quark degrees of freedom.
- \rightarrow Include space-time information

[K. Han, R.J.F., C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C 93, 045207 (2016)]







Vacuum Baseline

- Parton shower ceases at some virtuality Q₀.
- Keep string fragmentation for the large-*z* part of a jet.



 Replace string fragmentation by recombination in the "dense" part of a parton shower.



Prepping Parton Showers

Perturbative parton showers evolved to a scale Q_0 .



Examples here are PYTHIA showers supplemented by a space-time picture from tracking virtuality of partons which defines an average lifetime until splitting. Here: 100 GeV quark jets.

Decay gluons with remaining virtualities into quark-antiquark pairs.

Light and strange quarks, chemistry from phase space

$$\frac{\Gamma(g \to u\bar{u}, d\bar{d})}{\Gamma(g \to s\bar{s})} = 2\sqrt{\frac{m^2 - 4m_{u,d}^2}{m^2 - 4m_s^2}} \left(\frac{m^2 + 2m_{u,d}^2q}{m^2 + 2m_s^2}\right)$$



Prepping Parton Showers

- Example: Sample of 10⁶ PYTHIA parton showers with E_{iet} = 100 GeV.
- dN/dz and dN/d^2P_T before vs after gluon decay



Prepping Parton Showers

- Distance of quark-antiquark pairs in phase space is the deciding factor for the importance of recombination into mesons.
- Distribution of pair distances in (PYTHIA) parton showers in phase space (in their center of mass frame)
- "Our" PYTHIA jets: most of the jet is relatively "dense" in phase space.
- Long tails exist (~ large z partons)
- Fundamental test for other jet Monte Carlos: perturbative evolution should not lead to dilute showers, otherwise nonperturbative effects are already dominant.





 Monte Carlo version of the instantaneous recombination model by Greco, Ko and Levai based on Wigner function formulation
 [Greco, Ko & Levai,

Force gluon decay

[Greco, Ko & Levai, PRL 90, 202302 (2003)]

- Evaluate recombination probability for q-qbar paris and q (qbar) triplets.
- Roll dice to decide if recombination happens.
- Recombine into stable hadrons and resonances, let resonances decay (connections to cluster hadronization).
- Color treated statistically.

[K. Han, R.J.F., C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C 93, 045207 (2016)]



• Well-known Wigner function coalescence formulas:

$$\frac{dN_M}{d^3 \mathbf{p}_M} = g_M \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 d^3 \mathbf{p}_1 d^3 \mathbf{x}_2 d^3 \mathbf{p}_2 f_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{p}_1) f_{\bar{q}}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{p}_2) \\ \times W_M(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{k}_1) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{P}_M - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2), \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{dN_B}{d^3 \mathbf{p}_B} = g_B \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 d^3 \mathbf{p}_1 d^3 \mathbf{x}_2 d^3 \mathbf{p}_2 d^3 \mathbf{x}_3 d^3 \mathbf{p}_3 f_{q_1}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{p}_1) \\ \times f_{q_2}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{p}_2) f_{q_3}(\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{p}_3) W_B(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{k}_2) \\ \times \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{P}_B - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_3), \quad (4)$$

[RJF, V. Greco, P. Sorensen, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 58, 177 (2008)]

• Can be turned into a formula for recombination probability (here meson)

$$\overline{W}_{M}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x}_{1}' d^{3}\mathbf{k}_{1}' d^{3}\mathbf{x}_{2}' d^{3}\mathbf{k}_{2}'$$
$$\times W_{q}(\mathbf{x}_{1}', \mathbf{k}_{1}') W_{\bar{q}}(\mathbf{x}_{2}', \mathbf{k}_{2}') W_{M}(\mathbf{y}', \mathbf{k}').$$

- □ Evaluated at equal time in the pair or triplet rest frame.
- □ Throw dice to accept or reject a pair or triplet for recombination.



 Bound state Wigner function derived from harmonic oscillator wave functions (L_n= Laguerre polynomials).

$$W_n(u) = 2(-1)^n L_n\left(\frac{4u}{\hbar\omega}\right) e^{-2u/\hbar\omega} \qquad u = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}\left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2} + \sigma^2 k^2\right)$$

- For the probabilities to be positive definite, need proper q, qbar Wigner functions: Introduce Husimi smearing by representing quarks by proper wave packets of width δ.
- For $\sigma^2 = 2\delta^2$ the result for the overlap of wave packets and Wigner function is extremely simple. The probability densities for the n-th excited states are

$$\overline{W}_{M,n}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{k}) = \frac{v^n}{n!}e^{-v} \qquad v = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}^2}{\sigma_M^2} + \mathbf{k}^2\sigma_M^2\right)$$

- The true shape and size of the input wave packets are not known.
- Hadron wave function widths fixed by measured charge radii.



Rainer Fries

INT-17-1b-Week4

Remnant Strings

Naturally there are remnant quarks and antiquarks which have not found a recombination partner.



- Why? No confinement in parton shower, quarks can get far away.
- In reality: colored object needs to stay connected.
- Return these partons to PYTHIA to connect them with remnant strings.



23

Remnant Strings

Check on recombination probability (100 GeV PYTHIA vaccum jets)





Results (Vacuum)

Longitudinal structure: dN/dz of stable particles compared to PYTHIA string fragmentation (e+e-).







Results (Vacuum)

Transverse structure: dN/d^2P_T of stable particles compared to PYTHIA string fragmentation (e+e-).



Results (Vacuum)

• More complicated systems: dN/dP_T of hadrons in p+p.



Credit: Tan Luo



Rainer Fries

Adding Thermal Partons



Adding a Medium

- The space-time picture is complicated and it matters!
- All relevant partons have to be on the surface of the QGP or outside the QGP to hadronize.
- Propagate all shower partons to the hadronization hypersurface, or make them part of the medium.





Adding a Medium

- iEBE (Ohio State) event-by-event hydro with sampled thermal partons on the T=T_c hypersurface.
- As input for recombination we need to sample f(x, p), not $f(x, p)p \cdot \sigma/E$
- Plots: 500 PYTHIA (vacuum!) showers emerging from the center embedded into an iEBE event
 - □ blue = sampled thermal partons; green = shower; grey = hypersurface



Early Results With Medium

v2.1

PYTHIA showers propagated to the *T*=*T*_c hypersurface (E < 600 MeV quarks assumed lost); sh-sh includes remnant fragmentation.



Some Results With Medium

- Medium effects can be seen for small momenta < 10 GeV.
- Shower-thermal recombination takes over from pure shower-shower recombination; remnant strings are still important.
- Importance of shower-thermal vs shower-shower: $N > K > \pi$. Large for nucleons.
- Caveat: these are not proper in-medium showers.



Current Work

TReC Code versions





Current Work

■ The JETSCAPE framework





Summary

- We have developed an event-by-event hybrid hadronization module for jet Monte Carlos.
- Quark recombination including resonances, supplemented by string fragmentation.
- Medium effects by sampling hydro event-by-event.
- v2.2 will be available soon.
- Systematic studies of jet medium effects with in-medium jet MCs are ongoing.



Backup



Parameters (harmonic oscillator WF case)

TABLE I. Table of measured charge radii R (from Ref. [21]), widths σ_M (and σ_B), and statistical factor g for all hadrons used in this calculation.

Hadron	$R \; [{\rm fm}]$	σ_M (and σ_B) [fm]
π	0.67	1.09
ρ	_	1.09
K	0.56	1.10
K^*	_	1.10
N	0.88	1.24
Δ	_	1.24
Λ	_	1.15



Towards a Full Picture

 Some older results calculated using v1.0 and a blast wave medium reproduces experimental p/π ratio (jet, jet-medium and thermal medium itself included)





The $D_{\rm s}$ as a Signature

- $D_{\rm s}$ = charm-strange bound state.
- Signature 1: D_s vs $D R_{AA}$ is a measure for strength of recombination vs fragmentation.
 - \Box Charm in D_s and D suffer from same drag and diffusion up to T_c .
 - □ If charm fragments: D_s/D as in p+p.
 - □ If charm recombines: D_s picks up enhanced strangeness $\rightarrow D_s$ enhanced.
 - □ Numerical check: hadronic phase does not destroy this signal.
- Signature 2: D_s vs Dv_2 can measure the relative strength of D_s vs D interactions in the hadronic phase.
 - \Box $D_{\rm s}$ is an analogue to multi-strange hadrons in the light sector.
 - \square If there is early freeze-out it can be read of from the D_s vs $D v_2$.

[M. He, RJF and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. Lett 110, 112301 (2013)]

