## Searching for the Particle Nature of Dark Matter

Anthony G Williams University of Adelaide

CoEPP & CSSM





Australian Government Australian Research Council







## **Ernest Henley - Memories and Influence**

- Reception at Ernie & Elaine's home 1986 warm and welcoming
- Symmetry as a tool intelligent and insightful
  - Isospin;
  - Charge symmetry breaking (CSB);
  - Chiral symmetry;
  - Parity;
  - Time reversal, CP violation;
- Influence on physics research
  - CSB, chiral symmetry, NSA, strangeness in proton;
  - Lorentz invariance Dyson Schwinger Equations, Bethe-Salpeter equations;
  - Gauge invariance Lattice gauge theory, lattice QCD
  - Symmetry & model building physics Beyond the Standard Model (BSM) and Dark matter - how do we extend the SM?
  - Major symmetry question today: What is the particle mature of DM?
  - Where does DM fit?  $(SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y)$  with DM  $\subseteq$  ???







## **Evolution of the Universe**







# Evidence for Dark Matter (DM)



• DM consistent with: Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) structure; large scale structure formation in the early universe; structure of galactic clusters; spiral galaxy rotation curves; why we see more gravitational lensing than the observed visible matter can explain; the understanding of the Bullet cluster; etc.





### Evidence for Dark Matter from Bullet Cluster





Two clusters of galaxies moving apart after collision (smaller is to the right of larger) bow-shaped shock wave in smaller cluster;

Strictly speaking the smaller right-hand cluster is the Bullet Cluster;

**Q**The two clusters contain:

Visible stars: moved through each other largely unaffected;

Gas and dust: is most of the ordinary (hadronic) matter in clusters, interacted through e.m. ⇒ heated and slowed (visible in X-rays);

☑ Dark Matter: weak lensing contour map shows most mass moved straight through and much more mass than due to stars ⇒ most mass invisible and weakly interacting;
 ☑ Best evidence to date of Dark Matter interacting weakly with itself (8σ significance).





# Content of the Universe



- Dark energy increasingly dominates over time;
- Compare universe today at age approximately 14 billion years after Big Bang vs universe at age of 380,000 years.





# Lambda-CDM Model

- The ACDM model parameterizes the Big Bang model where:
  - General relativity is assumed correct for cosmological scales;
  - There is a cosmological constant "Λ";
  - Dark Matter included and is cold in the present day (CDM):
    - Cold: most Dark Matter particles moving slowly c.f. light speed;
    - Dark: interacts via e.m. *very* weakly or not at all;
    - Matter: physical substance since it interacts gravitationally;
- ACDM is the simplest model that appears consistent with:
  - The Cosmic Microwave Background;
  - Large scale structure of galaxy distribution;
  - H, Deuterium, He, Li abundances;
  - Accelerating expansion of the universe as determined from distant galaxies and supernovae;
- Hot Dark Matter would smear out large scale structure of galaxies ⇒ not considered viable at this time.





# Expected properties of BM matter

- what do we think we know?
  - long-lived
    - survived from CMB scales to now, with very little loss in density
  - not baryonic
    - BBN and  $\Lambda \text{CDM}$
  - dark
    - haven't seen it!
    - tight constraints on electromagnetic coupling from structure formation
  - not charged under QCD
    - otherwise, would bind with nuclei to form heavy isotopes
    - tight bounds
  - 80% of all matter
    - rotation curves, CMB, BBN
  - $\rho \sim 0.3 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$  near earth (from rotation curve of Milky Way)
  - cold (non-relativistic)
    - from structure formation, rotation curves





# Searches for Dark Matter

direct detection

thermal freeze-out (early Univ.) indirect detection (now)

Our focus here is on direct detection of Dark Matter deep underground to minimize the cosmic ray background



### production at colliders





# Dark Matter Wind



- Dark Matter (e.g., Weakly Interacting Massive Particles WIMPs) are expected to form an approximately static halo around the galaxy (shown in blue); Is there small scale structure, turbulence?
- As the spiral galaxy rotates, the Sun experiences a wind of DM particles (aprpoximate direction is from the direction of Cygnus);
- As the earth rotates around the sun, the velocity of the Dark Matter wind passing through the earth changes accordingly.





## Direct detection strategies

# For typical low-energy scattering, DM scatters coherently from entire nucleus

• The direct detection of Dark Matter can take place through their interaction with nuclei inside a detector



#### The nuclear recoiling energy is measured

- Ionization on solids
- Ionization in scintillators (measured by the emmited photons)
- Temperature increase (measured by the released phonons)

#### Problems

- Very small interaction rate
- Large backgrounds (experiments must be deep underground)
- Uncertainties in the DM properties in our galaxy





# Direct detactionetapenniques

- many experiments with different targets, measurement strategies
- basic points
  - dark matter scatters off nuclei
    - looks similar to neutron scattering
  - would like to distinguish nuclear recoils from electron recoils
    - can try to use multiple signals from detector
  - event spectrum determines mass, event rate determines cross-section
  - background often comes from surface

#### Direct Detection Techniques Ge, CS<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>F<sub>8</sub> DRIFT IGEX COUPP ZEPLIN II, III XENON CDMS Ge, Si WARP EDELWEISS Xe. Ar. **ArDM** onizatio Ne ~10% LUX SIGN NAIAD Nal. Xe. ZEPLIN I CRESST I Ar. Ne DAMA/LIBRA CRESST II Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, LiF XMASS ROSEBUD DEAP/CLEAN CaWO<sub>4</sub>, BGO DM SAG at P5 ZnWO4, Al2O3 ...

**Blas Cabrera** 



# Experimental issues

- all detectors will have a recoil energy threshold
  - where you can cut out bgd
  - if using multiple signals, where they're each large enough
  - makes low-mass difficult
- need to scale from the signal you measure to the recoil energy
  - quenching factor
  - uncertainty affects mass determination
- form factor uncertainties
  - especially important when comparing to collider, indirect

- spectrum depends on m<sub>x</sub> only through reduced mass
  - comes in through  $E_{max}$ 
    - for  $m_{\chi} \gg m_A$ , reduced mass independent of  $m_{\chi}$
    - if  $F_A(E_{max}) \ll 1$ , can't "see"  $E_{max}$
  - can't read off m<sub>x</sub> from spectrum
- velocity distribution uncertainties
  - recoil energies depend on v
  - events above threshold may be at the tail of f(v)
    - deviations can have a big effect on rate
  - important for comparing to collider, indirect





# DAMA/LIBRA enigma



Simplest assumptions => inconsistent with all other experiments; No known backgrounds appear to be able to explain it.







(Note: DSNB = Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background)

SABRE in the Stawell Underground Physics Laboratory(SUPL)

Temporary hut -SUPL under construction





Australian Government Australian Research Council







### **SUPL - Stawell Underground Physics Laboratory**



## SUPL Floor Plan - initial phase







# Confirming or Refuting DAMA

- Is DAMA's signal some seasonally varying background or is it DM or does it interact differently with different detector materials or something else?
  - Need Southern Hemisphere perspective Seasonal background would be opposite phase; DM signal would be same phase.
  - Need ultrapure Nal detectors; extremely low background;
  - Need similar conditions and experimental set up in North and South Labs.
- Sodium-iodide with Active Background REjection (SABRE) experiment: Same target as DAMA (i.e., Thallium doped NaI cystals), lower background via very high crystal purity and active rejection of background through placing the NaI(TI) detector inside a liquid scintillator veto.
- **CYGNUS experiment:** If direct detection experiments hit the (isotropic) neutrino floor before DM detected, then in future may differentiate DM wind by directionality, e.g., a future CYGNUS experiment to study nuclear recoil direction using Time Projection Chambers (TPCs). CYGNUS experiment global R&D effort is ongoing.





## SABRE Collaboration





Symmetry in Subatomic Physics, Symmetry in Subatomic Physics,



## SABRE Strategy

- Lower Background
  - Nal(TI) crystals with higher purity than DAMA/LIBRA
  - Lower-radioactivity enclosure & PMTs.
  - Active scintillator veto to reduce internal and external background events;
  - Use Pulse Shape Detection (PSD) to help identify and eliminate known background (non-DM) events.
- Lower energy threshold
  - High QE Hamamatsu PMTs directly coupled to Nal.
  - PSD based DAQ and data analysis, improved background measurements via AMS, improved quenching factors.





### Ultra-pure Nal(Tl) Crystals- Frank Calaprice (Princeton)



## Ultrapure Nal:TI Target Detector

Intrinsic radioactivity limits WIMP sensitivity. SABRE has made the most radiopure NaI:TI to date.

- 'Astrograde' powder (Sigma Aldrich).
- Carefully-developed powder preparation and growth protocols (Princeton + RMD).

Lower radioimpurity than DAMA. Production growth underway. High QE + low background PMTs: 1 keV threshold design.

	Element	DAMA powder	DAMA crystals	Astro-Grade	SABRE crystal
		[ppb]	[ppb]	[ppb]	[ppb]
	K	100	~13	9	9
	Rb	n.a.	< 0.35	<0.2	< 0.1
	U	$\sim 0.02$	$0.5 - 7.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$< 10^{-3}$	$< 10^{-3}$
	Th	$\sim 0.02$	$0.7 - 10 \times 10^{-3}$	$< 10^{-3}$	$< 10^{-3}$





# Liquid scintillator veto

## Liquid Scintillator Veto

### External background tagging

- Muons, spallation neutrons, etc.

### Intrinsic background tagging

- Correlated gammas from decays
- <sup>40</sup>K is especially important

### **Radiopure Shielding**

- SABRE North: Borexino's pseudocumene-based liquid scintillator
- SABRE South: Linear alkylbenzene-based LS, ex-CTF purification







## SABRE PoP Design

- Proof of principle (LNGS): 5-kg ultra low background Na(TI) crystals
  - LAB or pseudocumene scintillator veto (1.4m diameter, 1.5 length)
- Full detector (LNGS & SUPL): ~50kg @ each site





Symmetry in Subatomic Physics, Sept 2018

24

### SABRE Full Design one in LNGS (Italy) + one in SUPL at Stawell (Australia)

## Conclusion

SABRE will confirm or refute the DAMA signal with high significance.

South detector will be housed in the first deep underground laboratory in the Southern Hemisphere.

Proof-of-Principle to start collecting data in Autumn 2018.







# First SABRE publications

#### The SABRE project and the SABRE Proof-of-Principle

M. Antonello<sup>a</sup>, E. Barberio<sup>b</sup>, T. Baroncelli<sup>b</sup>, J. Benziger<sup>c</sup>, L. J. Bignell<sup>d</sup>, I. Bolognino<sup>a,e</sup>, F. Calaprice<sup>f</sup>,
S. Copello<sup>g,h</sup>, I. Dafinei<sup>i</sup>, D. D'Angelo<sup>a,e</sup>, G. Di Carlo<sup>g</sup>, M. Diemoz<sup>i</sup>, A. Di Ludovico<sup>f</sup>, G. D'Imperio<sup>i</sup>,
W. Dix<sup>b</sup>, A. R. Duffy<sup>j,k</sup>, F. Froborg<sup>l</sup>, G. K. Giovanetti<sup>f</sup>, E. Hoppe<sup>m</sup>, A. Ianni<sup>g</sup>, L. Ioannucci<sup>g</sup>,
S. Krishnan<sup>k</sup>, G. J. Lane<sup>d</sup>, I. Mahmood<sup>b</sup>, A. Mariani<sup>h</sup>, M. Mastrodicasa<sup>i,n</sup>, P. Montini <sup>\$\phi\_i,n</sup>, J. Mould<sup>j,k</sup>,
F. Nuti<sup>b</sup>, D. Orlandi<sup>g</sup>, M. Paris<sup>g</sup>, V. Pettinacci<sup>i</sup>, L. Pietrofaccia<sup>f</sup>, S. Rahatlou<sup>i,n</sup>, N. Rossi<sup>i</sup>, E. Shields<sup>f</sup>,
A. E. Stuchbery<sup>d</sup>, B. Suerfu<sup>f</sup>, C. Tomei<sup>i</sup>, V. Toso<sup>a,e</sup>, P. Urquijo<sup>b</sup>, C. Vignoli<sup>g</sup>, A. Wallner<sup>d</sup>, M. Wada<sup>f</sup>,
A. G. Williams<sup>o</sup>, J. Xu<sup>f</sup>

The SABRE Collaboration

<sup>a</sup>INFN - Sezione di Milano, Milano I-20133, Italy <sup>b</sup>School of Physics. The University of Melbourne. Melbourne. VIC 3010. Australia <sup>c</sup>Chemical Engineering Department, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA <sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia <sup>e</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano I-20133, Italy <sup>f</sup>Physics Department, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA <sup>9</sup>INFN - Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, Assergi (L'Aquila) I-67100, Italy <sup>h</sup>INFN - Gran Sasso Science Institute, L'Aquila I-67100, Italy <sup>i</sup>INFN - Sezione di Roma, Roma I-00185, Italy <sup>j</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence for All-Sky Astrophysics (CAASTRO), Australia <sup>k</sup>Centre for Astrophysics and Supercomputing, Swinburne University of Technology, PO Box 218, Hawthorn, Victoria 3122, Australia <sup>1</sup>Imperial College London, High Energy Physics, Blackett Laboratory, London SW7 2BZ, United Kingdom <sup>m</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 902 Battelle Boulevard, Richland, WA 99352, USA <sup>n</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma I-00185, Italy <sup>o</sup> The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, 5005 Australia

#### Monte Carlo simulation of the SABRE PoP background

M. Antonello<sup>a</sup>, E. Barberio<sup>b</sup>, T. Baroncelli<sup>b</sup>, J. Benziger<sup>c</sup>, L. J. Bignell<sup>d</sup>, I. Bolognino<sup>a,e</sup>, F. Calaprice<sup>f</sup>,
S. Copello<sup>g,h</sup>, I. Dafinei<sup>i</sup>, D. D'Angelo<sup>a,e</sup>, G. Di Carlo<sup>g</sup>, M. Diemoz<sup>i</sup>, A. Di Ludovico<sup>f</sup>, G. D'Imperio<sup>i</sup>,
A. R. Duffy<sup>j,k</sup>, F. Froborg<sup>l</sup>, G. K. Giovanetti<sup>f</sup>, E. Hoppe<sup>m</sup>, A. Ianni<sup>g</sup>, L. Ioannucci<sup>g</sup>, S. Krishnan<sup>k</sup>,
G. J. Lane<sup>d</sup>, I. Mahmood<sup>b</sup>, A. Mariani<sup>h</sup>, P. McGee<sup>n</sup>, P. Montini <sup>\$\phi\_i,o</sup>, J. Mould<sup>j,k</sup>, F. Nuti<sup>b</sup>, D. Orlandi<sup>g</sup>,
M. Paris<sup>g</sup>, V. Pettinacci<sup>i</sup>, L. Pietrofaccia<sup>f</sup>, S. Rahatlou<sup>i,o</sup>, N. Rossi<sup>i</sup>, E. Shields<sup>f</sup>, A. E. Stuchbery<sup>d</sup>,
B. Suerfu<sup>f</sup>, C. Tomei<sup>i</sup>, P. Urquijo<sup>b</sup>, C. Vignoli<sup>g</sup>, A. Wallner<sup>d</sup>, M. Wada<sup>f</sup>, A. G. Williams<sup>n</sup>, J. Xu<sup>f</sup>,

The SABRE Collaboration



Symmetry in Subatomic Physics, Se National University

Australian

#### arXiv: 1806.09344

### Both submitted to Astroparticle Physics

### arXiv: 1806.09340



# SUPL @ Stawell











- I am still a theorist 95% of the time, but we needed to get SABRE and SUPL happening in Australia;
- Ernie Henley was a warm, principled and deeply intelligent physicist and it was a privilege to know him;
- His influence on my research continues to this day;
- By pursuing symmetry as a way to better understand our universe.



