Lec 5: multi-hadron properties

William Detmold

Massachusetts Institute of Technology



- Multi-particle systems at finite temporal extent
- Medium effects and multi-hadron matrix elements
- Open Issues for the future

Multi-particle systems at finite temporal extent

• Consider N pion correlation function

$$C_n(t) \propto \left\langle \left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \pi^-(\mathbf{x}, t)\right)^n \left(\pi^+(\mathbf{0}, 0)\right)^n \right\rangle$$

• For a lattice of temporal extent T (inverse temperature)

$$C_{n}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-HT}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}}\pi^{-}(\mathbf{x},t)\right)^{n}\left(\pi^{+}(0)\right)^{n}\right]$$
$$= \sum_{m}\left\langle m\left|e^{-HT}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}}\pi^{-}(\mathbf{x},t)\right)^{n}\left(\pi^{+}(0)\right)^{n}\right|m\right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{m,\ell}\left\langle m\left|e^{-HT}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}}\pi^{-}(\mathbf{x},t)\right)^{n}\right|\ell\right\rangle\left\langle \ell\left|\left(\pi^{+}(0)\right)^{n}\right|m\right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{m,\ell}\left\langle m\left|e^{-H(T-t)}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}}\pi^{-}(\mathbf{x},0)\right)^{n}e^{-Ht}\right|\ell\right\rangle\left\langle \ell\left|\left(\pi^{+}(0)\right)^{n}\right|m\right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{m,\ell}e^{-E_{m}(T-t)}e^{-E_{\ell}t}\mathcal{Z}_{\ell,m}$$

• Many states contribute (ignore excitations)

$$\{|m\rangle = |0\rangle, |\ell\rangle = |n\pi\rangle\}, \{|m\rangle = |\pi\rangle, |\ell\rangle = |(n-1)\pi\rangle\}, \dots, \{|m\rangle = |n\pi\rangle, |\ell\rangle = |0\rangle\}$$





t=C





t=C







$$Z_{4\pi} \left(e^{-4E_{4\pi}t} + e^{-4E_{4\pi}(T-t)} \right)$$

$$Z_{3/1\pi} \left(e^{-E_{3\pi}t} e^{-E_{1\pi}(T-t)} + e^{-E_{3\pi}(T-t)} e^{-E_{1\pi}t} \right)$$

$$t=0$$



$$Z_{4\pi} \left(e^{-4E_{4\pi}t} + e^{-4E_{4\pi}(T-t)} \right)$$

$$Z_{3/1\pi} \left(e^{-E_{3\pi}t} e^{-E_{1\pi}(T-t)} + e^{-E_{3\pi}(T-t)} e^{-E_{1\pi}t} \right)$$

$$Z_{2/2\pi} e^{-E_{2\pi}t} e^{-E_{2\pi}(T-t)} = Z_{2/2\pi} e^{-E_{2\pi}T}$$

$$+=0$$

• Consider π^+ correlator (m_u=m_d)

$$C^{(1)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right| 0 \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{t \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} A_1 e^{-E_1 t}$$



• Consider π^+ correlator (m_u=m_d)

$$C^{(1)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right| 0 \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{t \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} A_1 e^{-E_1 t}$$





• Consider π^+ correlator (m_u=m_d)

$$C^{(1)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right| 0 \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{t \text{ large}}{\longrightarrow} A_1 e^{-E_1 T} \cosh(E_1(t - T/2))$$



• Now an $n \pi^+$ correlator (m_u=m_d)

$$C^{(n)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \left[\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right]^n \right| 0 \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{t \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} A_n e^{-E_n t}$$



• Now an $n \pi^+$ correlator (m_u=m_d)

$$C^{(n)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \left[\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right]^n \right| 0 \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{t \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} A_n e^{-E_n t}$$



• Now an $n \pi^+$ correlator (m_u=m_d)

$$C^{(n)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \left[\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right]^n \right| 0 \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{t \text{ large}}{\longrightarrow} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} A_{n,m} e^{-(E_m + E_{n-m})T/2} \cosh\left((E_m - E_{n-m})(t - T/2)\right)$$



• Can rewrite the t dependence as

$$C_{n\pi}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} {\binom{n}{m}} A_m^n Z_m^n e^{-(E_{n-m} + E_m)T/2} \cosh((E_{n-m} - E_m)(t - T/2)) + \dots$$

- Extracting the eigen-energies from these correlators is difficult
 - Many parameters appear in each correlator
 - Correlations between different C_j as the energy E_k occurs in all C_j (j \ge k)
 - Various ways to deal with this: eg cascading fits

Thermal pollution



12 pions $p_t = 0 \ 0 \ 0$ from $p_1 = p_2 = 1 \ 1 \ 1$

At no point does the ground state dominate the correlator!!!

- So far we have only investigated *spectroscopy* of multi-hadron systems
 - What about the *structure* and other properties of such systems?
 - Moments, form factors, polarisabilites, weak interactions....
 - Probed by matrix elements in multi-hadron eigenstates
 - What about in medium properties how does a proton get modified in a nucleus (intrinsically not a well defined separation)?
 - Really an interpretation of the above
- Very new direction of investigation



[image from JLab]

• Static quark potential









• Screening: evidence for quark-gluon plasma

$$C_W(R, t_w, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{y}, |\mathbf{r}| = \mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{y}, t_w) \right| 0 \right\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow Z \exp[-V(R)(t - t_w)]$$



$$C_W(R, t_w, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{y}, |\mathbf{r}| = \mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{y}, t_w) \right| 0 \right\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow Z \exp[-V(R)(t - t_w)]$$





$$C_W(R, t_w, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{y}, |\mathbf{r}| = \mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{y}, t_w) \right| 0 \right\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow Z \exp[-V(R)(t - t_w)]$$



• Modified by condensate? Hadronic screening?

$$C_W(R, t_w, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{y}, |\mathbf{r}| = \mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{y}, t_w) \right| 0 \right\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow Z \exp[-V(R)(t - t_w)]$$



• Modified by condensate? Hadronic screening?

• n pion correlator

$$C_n(t_{\pi}, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \left[\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \chi_{\pi^+}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) \chi_{\pi^+}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{t}_{\pi}) \right]^n \right| 0 \right\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow Z' \exp[-E_{n\pi}(t - t_{\pi})]$$

• Wilson loop correlator

$$C_W(R, t_w, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{y}, |\mathbf{r}| = \mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{y}, t_w) \right| 0 \right\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow Z \exp[-V(R)(t - t_w)]$$

• Pions and Wilson loop

$$C_{n,W}(R,t_{\pi},t_{w},t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \left[\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \chi_{\pi^{+}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}) \chi_{\pi^{+}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{t}_{\pi}) \right]^{n} \sum_{\mathbf{y},|\mathbf{r}|=\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{r},t;\mathbf{y},t_{w}) \right| 0 \right\rangle$$

• Ratio gives shift in potential due to interaction of potential with pion system

$$G_{n,W}(R, t_{\pi}, t_{w}, t) = \frac{C_{n,W}(R, t_{\pi}, t_{w}, t)}{C_{n}(t_{\pi}, t)C_{W}(R, t_{w}, t)}$$
$$\longrightarrow \# \exp\left[-\delta V(R, n)(t - t_{W})\right]$$

In pictures



In pictures



Effective δV plots



• DWF on MILC: $a=0.09 \text{ fm}, 28^3 \times 96, m_{\pi}=318 \text{ MeV}$



$\delta F(R, n=1 \& 5)$



- Small effect: $\delta F(n=1)/F = 2/1000$ at large R
- Constant at large R
 - Dielectric medium inside flux tube

- Deep inelastic scattering experiments probe parton distribution functions q_H(x)
 - Probability of finding a parton (q,g) in hadron h carrying longitudinal momentum fraction x
- Operator product expansion: Mellin moments of PDFs defined by forward matrix elements of local operators

$$\langle x^n \rangle_H = \int_{-1}^1 dx \, x^n q_H(x)$$

 $\langle H|\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\{\mu_0}D^{\mu_1}\dots D^{\mu_n\}}|H\rangle = p^{\{\mu_0}\dots p^{\mu_n\}}\langle x^n\rangle_H$

- n=1 corresponds to LC momentum fraction carried by quarks inside H
- Phenomenologically find DIS on nuclei



 Proton structure ntensively studied in QCD using 3-pt functions (see James Zanotti's lectures next week)

$$C_2(t, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \langle 0|\chi_H(0)\chi_H^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t)|0\rangle$$

$$C_3(t, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \langle 0 | \chi_H(0) \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \chi_H^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t) | 0 \rangle$$

$$R = \frac{C_3(t, \mathbf{p})}{C_2(t, \mathbf{p})} \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \langle H | \mathcal{O} | H \rangle$$

- Limited to low moments by reduced lattice symmetry
- Most studies for nucleon, but also pion, rho, ...
- Disconnected term often neglected (absent for isovector quantities)
- What about multi-baryon structure (EMC effect)?



- Pionic analogue of EMC effect
- $n \pi^+$ 3-point correlator

$$C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \right| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^{\dagger}(x_0) \right]^m \left| 0 \right\rangle$$

- Pionic analogue of EMC effect
- $n \pi^+$ 3-point correlator

$$C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \right| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^{\dagger}(x_0) \right]^m \left| 0 \right\rangle$$



- Pionic analogue of EMC effect
- $n \pi^+$ 3-point correlator

$$C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \right| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^{\dagger}(x_0) \right]^m \left| 0 \right\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow Z_m \left\langle \mathcal{O}_m^{(n)} \right\rangle e^{-E_m t}$$

where
$$\langle \mathcal{O}_m^{(n)} \rangle = \langle m \pi | \mathcal{J}^{(n)} | m \pi \rangle$$



- Pionic analogue of EMC effect
- $n \pi^+$ 3-point correlator

$$C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \right| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^{\dagger}(x_0) \right]^m \left| 0 \right\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow Z_m \left\langle \mathcal{O}_m^{(n)} \right\rangle e^{-E_m t}$$

where
$$\langle \mathcal{O}_m^{(n)} \rangle = \langle m\pi | \mathcal{J}^{(n)} | m\pi \rangle$$





[WD & H-W Lin, in progress]

- Pionic analogue of EMC effect
- $n \pi^+$ 3-point correlator

$$C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \right| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^{\dagger}(x_0) \right]^m \left| 0 \right\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow \sum_{\ell=0}^m \left(\begin{array}{c} m \\ \ell \end{array} \right) Z_m^{(\ell)} \langle \mathcal{O}_{m-\ell}^{(n)} \rangle e^{-E_{m-\ell}t} e^{-E_{\ell}(T-t)}$$

where $\langle \mathcal{O}_m^{(n)} \rangle = \langle m \pi | \mathcal{J}^{(n)} | m \pi \rangle$





- Thermal contamination gets very bad near the midpoint of the temporal extent
 - Fraction of non-thermal contributions to 2pt correlator (T=64 here)



• Trying to measure three point function at t>T/4 is problematic – nothing to do with physically relevant state

- Pionic analogue of EMC effect
- $n \pi^+$ 3-point correlator

$$C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \right| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^{\dagger}(x_0) \right]^m \left| 0 \right\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow Z_m \left\langle \mathcal{O}_m^{(n)} \right\rangle e^{-E_m t} + \text{excitations and thermal effects}$$

• Contractions performed by treating the struck meson as a separate species

Colour/Dirac structure of operator

$$\Pi = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma_5 S(\mathbf{x}, t; 0) \gamma_5 S^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t; 0), \qquad \tilde{\Pi}_{\tau} =_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \gamma_5 S(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{y}, \tau) \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} S(\mathbf{y}, \tau; 0) \gamma_5 S^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t; 0)$$

- System now looks like (m-1) pions + 1 "kaon"
 - Can be written as products of traces of two matrices [WD & B Smigielski, arXiv:1103.4362]

• Define ratio to extract matrix elements (eg for momentum fraction)

$$R^{(n)}(t,\tau) = \frac{C_3^{(n)}(t;\tau)}{C_2^{(n)}(t)} \xrightarrow{t \gg \tau} \frac{1}{E_{n\pi}} \langle n \ \pi^+ | \mathcal{O}^{44} | n \ \pi^+ \rangle$$

• Double ratio – allows direct investigation of ratio of moments

$$\frac{R^{(n)}(t,\tau)}{R^{(1)}(t,\tau)} \longrightarrow \frac{m_{\pi} \langle n \ \pi^{+} | \mathcal{O}^{44} | n \ \pi^{+} \rangle}{E_{n\pi} \langle \pi^{+} | \mathcal{O}^{44} | \pi^{+} \rangle} \longrightarrow \frac{E_{n\pi} \langle x \rangle_{n\pi^{+}}}{m_{\pi} \langle x \rangle_{\pi^{+}}}$$

- No need to renormalise operator!
- Calculate ratios for various quark masses [DWF valence on MILC sea]

Double ratio



DWF on MILC $m_{\pi} = 350 \text{ MeV}$ $a=0.12 \text{ fm}, 20^3 \times 64$ • Extracted ratio of moments is not unity – medium modification of pion stucture



• Extension to baryons certainly possible but messier as usual!

- Many pion PDF moments are one example of matrix elements of multi-hadron systems
- Other theoretical investigations
 - WD & M Savage "Electroweak matrix elements in the two nucleon sector from lattice QCD" hep-lat/0403005
 - H Meyer, "Photodisintegration of a Bound State on the Torus", 1202.6675
 - V Bernard, D Hoya, U-G Meißner & A Rusetsky, "Matrix elements of unstable particles" 1205.4642



- Consider QCD in the presence of a constant background magnetic field
 - Implement by adding term to the action (careful with boundaries)
- Shifts spin-1/2 particle masses

 $M_{\uparrow\downarrow} = M_0 \pm \mu |\mathbf{B}| + 4\pi\beta |\mathbf{B}|^2 + \dots$

- Changing strength of background field allows μ , β to be extracted
- Two nucleon states
 - Levels split and mix
 - Landau levels:
- Similar for electro-weak fields and twist-two fields



• Two-body contributions

$$\langle d|\mathcal{O}|d\rangle =$$
 + $\mathcal{O} + \cdots$

• Magnetic moment: two body modification L₂

$$\mu_d = \frac{2}{1 - \gamma r_3} (\gamma L_2 + \kappa_0)$$

• Twist-two current: leading EMC effect α_n (more complicated as necessary to include pions)

$$\langle x^n \rangle_d = 2 \langle x^n \rangle_N + \alpha_n \langle d | (N^{\dagger} N)^2 | d \rangle + \dots$$

• Two-body contributions

$$\langle d|\mathcal{O}|d\rangle =$$
 + $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{n}) + \cdots$

• Magnetic moment: two body modification L₂

$$\mu_d = \frac{2}{1 - \gamma r_3} (\gamma L_2 + \kappa_0)$$

• Twist-two current: leading EMC effect α_n (more complicated as necessary to include pions)

$$\langle x^n \rangle_d = 2 \langle x^n \rangle_N + \alpha_n \langle d | (N^{\dagger} N)^2 | d \rangle + \dots$$

• Background field modifies eigenvalue equation for $m=\pm 1$ states

$$p\cot\delta(p) - \frac{1}{\pi L}S\left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi^2}\left[p^2 \pm e|\mathbf{B}|\kappa_0\right]\right) \mp \frac{e|\mathbf{B}|}{2}\left(L_2 - r_3\kappa_0\right) = 0$$

• Asymptotic expansion of lowest scattering level

$$E_0^{m=\pm 1} = \mp \frac{e|\mathbf{B}|\kappa_0}{M} + \frac{4\pi A_3}{ML^3} \left[1 - c_1 \frac{A_3}{L} + c_2 \left(\frac{A_3}{L}\right)^2 + \dots \right]$$

where $\frac{1}{A_3} = \frac{1}{a_3} \pm \frac{e|\mathbf{B}|L_2}{2}$

• Mixes ${}^{1}S_{0}$ and ${}^{3}S_{1}$ m=0 states (coupled channels – but perturbative)

$$\left[p\cot\delta_1(p) - \frac{S_+ + S_-}{\pi L}\right] \left[p\cot\delta_3(p) - \frac{S_+ + S_-}{\pi L}\right] = \left[\frac{e|\mathbf{B}|L_1}{2} + \frac{S_+ - S_-}{2\pi L}\right]^2$$

where

$$S_{\pm} = S\left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi^2} \left[p^2 \pm e|\mathbf{B}|\kappa_1\right] + \ldots\right)$$

[WD & MJ Savage Nucl Phys A 743, 170]

Energy levels in B field



Energy levels in B field



 $np \rightarrow d\gamma$: ${}^{3}S_{1} - {}^{1}S_{0} m = 0$





 $np \rightarrow d\gamma$: ${}^{3}S_{1} - {}^{1}S_{0} m = 0$

 $|e B| = 4000 \text{ MeV}^2$



 $np \rightarrow d\gamma$: ${}^{3}S_{1} - {}^{1}S_{0} m = 0$

 $|e B| = 500 \text{ MeV}^2$





Open issues

- Noise in QCD correlators is generically a problem somehow related to the sign problem discussed in Gert Aarts' lectures
- There are hints that we can suppress noise for certain choices of correlation functions
 - How effectively can this be systematised?
 - Can this be done for large A systems that we afford to perform contractions for?
- Are we measuring things the most sensible way?
- David K will say a lot more about noise on Friday

• One specific issue that is a bit frightening at the moment is the density of scattering states in multi-hadron systems



- States far below thresholds are presumably OK, but how do we learn about d–d scattering?
- Back to Maiani-Testa No-go Theorem

• One specific issue that is a bit frightening at the moment is the density of scattering states in multi-hadron systems



- States far below thresholds are presumably OK, but how do we learn about d–d scattering?
- Back to Maiani-Testa No-go Theorem

• One specific issue that is a bit frightening at the moment is the density of scattering states in multi-hadron systems



- States far below thresholds are presumably OK, but how do we learn about d–d scattering?
- Back to Maiani-Testa No-go Theorem

- For large A systems, how do we control the volume, lattice spacing, unphysical quark mass artefacts?
 - Maybe just empirically?
 - Can we have a better theoretical understanding?
- What other kinds of observables can we calculate?

- Nuclear physics and multi-hadron systems are a frontier for QCD calculations
 - Major advances in the last few years ($A_{max}=2 \rightarrow A_{max}=28$)
- Definitely a difficult problem noise, contractions, theoretical understanding,...
- Lots of possibilities for new calculations new observables, new approaches
- Lots of room for improvements (theoretical, algorithmic and computational)
- How far can we go?

- Nuclear physics and multi-hadron systems are a frontier for QCD calculations
 - Major advances in the last few years ($A_{max}=2 \rightarrow A_{max}=28$)
- Definitely a difficult problem noise, contractions, theoretical understanding,...
- Lots of possibilities for new calculations new observables, new approaches
- Lots of room for improvements (theoretical, algorithmic and computational)
- How far can we go?

