Lec 5: multi-hadron properties

William Detmold

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

INT Lattice Summer School, Seattle, August 13th-17th, 2012 – Hadron Interactions and Many-body Physics

- Multi-particle systems at finite temporal extent
- Medium effects and multi-hadron matrix elements
- Open Issues for the future

Multi-particle systems at finite temporal extent

• Consider N pion correlation function Consider in pion correlation function

$$
C_n(t) \,\propto\,\langle\left(\sum_\mathbf{x}\pi^-(\mathbf{x},t)\right)^n\left(\,\pi^+(\mathbf{0},0)\right)^n\rangle
$$

are required to be performed (i.e. no disconnected diagrams) in order to form the correlation of σ

• For a lattice of temporal extent T (inverse temperature)

$$
C_n(t) = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-HT}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}}\pi^{-}(\mathbf{x},t)\right)^n(\pi^+(0))^n\right]
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{m} \left\langle m \left|e^{-HT}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}}\pi^{-}(\mathbf{x},t)\right)^n(\pi^+(0))^n\right|m\right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{m,\ell} \left\langle m \left|e^{-HT}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}}\pi^{-}(\mathbf{x},t)\right)^n\right|\ell\right\rangle \langle \ell |(\pi^+(0))^n|m\rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{m,\ell} \left\langle m \left|e^{-H(T-t)}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}}\pi^{-}(\mathbf{x},0)\right)^n e^{-Ht}\right|\ell\right\rangle \langle \ell |(\pi^+(0))^n|m\rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{m,\ell} e^{-E_m(T-t)} e^{-E_\ell t} \mathcal{Z}_{\ell,m}
$$

• Many states contribute (ignore excitations)

$$
\{|m\rangle = |0\rangle, |\ell\rangle = |n\pi\rangle\}, \{|m\rangle = |\pi\rangle, |\ell\rangle = |(n-1)\pi\rangle\}, \dots, \{|m\rangle = |n\pi\rangle, |\ell\rangle = |0\rangle\}
$$

 $t=0$

 $t=0$

 $Z_{4\pi} \left(e^{-4E_{4\pi}t} + e^{-4E_{4\pi}(T-t)} \right)$

 $Z_{4\pi} \left(e^{-4E_{4\pi}t} + e^{-4E_{4\pi}(T-t)} \right)$

$$
Z_{4\pi} \left(e^{-4E_{4\pi}t} + e^{-4E_{4\pi}(T-t)} \right)
$$
\n
$$
Z_{3/1\pi} \left(e^{-E_{3\pi}t} e^{-E_{1\pi}(T-t)} + e^{-E_{3\pi}(T-t)} e^{-E_{1\pi}t} \right)
$$
\n
$$
t=0
$$

$$
Z_{4\pi} \left(e^{-4E_{4\pi}t} + e^{-4E_{4\pi}(T-t)} \right)
$$

$$
Z_{3/1\pi} \left(e^{-E_{3\pi}t} e^{-E_{1\pi}(T-t)} + e^{-E_{3\pi}(T-t)} e^{-E_{1\pi}t} \right)
$$

$$
Z_{2/2\pi} e^{-E_{2\pi}t} e^{-E_{2\pi}(T-t)} = Z_{2/2\pi} e^{-E_{2\pi}T}
$$

$$
t = 0
$$

• Consider π^+ correlator $(m_u=m_d)$

$$
C^{(1)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\stackrel{t\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} A_1 e^{-E_1 t}
$$

• Consider π^+ correlator $(m_u=m_d)$

$$
C^{(1)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\stackrel{t\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} A_1 e^{-E_1 t}
$$

• Consider π^+ correlator $(m_u=m_d)$

$$
C^{(1)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\stackrel{t\text{ large}}{\longrightarrow} A_1 e^{-E_1 T} \cosh(E_1(t - T/2))
$$

• Now an $n \pi^+$ correlator $(m_u=m_d)$

$$
C^{(n)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \left[\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right]^n \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\stackrel{t\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} A_n e^{-E_n t}
$$

• Now an $n \pi^+$ correlator $(m_u=m_d)$

$$
C^{(n)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \left[\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right]^n \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\stackrel{t\rightarrow\infty}{\longrightarrow} A_n e^{-E_n t}
$$

• Now an $n \pi^+$ correlator $(m_u=m_d)$

$$
C^{(n)}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \left[\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{d} \gamma_5 u(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{u} \gamma_5 d(\mathbf{0}, 0) \right]^n \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
t \underbrace{\text{large}}_{m=0} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} A_{n,m} e^{-(E_m + E_{n-m})T/2} \cosh\left((E_m - E_{n-m})(t - T/2)\right)
$$

• Can rewrite the t dependence as

IV. GROUND STATE ENERGIES IN DIE GESTE ENERGIESE IN DIE GESTE ENERGIESE ENERGIESE ENERGIESE ENERGIESE ENERGIES
Die State energiese Energiese Energiese Energiese Energiese Energiese Energiese Energiese Energiese Energiese

$$
C_{n\pi}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} {n \choose m} A_m^n Z_m^n e^{-(E_{n-m} + E_m)T/2} \cosh((E_{n-m} - E_m)(t - T/2)) + \dots
$$

- Extracting the eigen-energies from these correlators is difficult
- Many parameters appear in each correlator • Many parameters appear in each correlator
	- Correlations between different C_j as the energy E_k occurs in all C_j (j≥k)
	- Various ways to deal with this: eg cascading fits

Thermal pollution $Thormal$ n ^{llution</sub>} much the contribution. Letter that contribute contributes. The green line is from th

At no point does the ground state dominate the correlator!!!

- So far we have only investigated *spectroscopy* of multi-hadron systems
	- What about the *structure* and other properties of such systems?
		- Moments, form factors, polarisabilites, weak interactions....
		- Probed by matrix elements in multi-hadron eigenstates
	- What about in medium properties how does a proton get modified in a nucleus (intrinsically not a well defined separation)?
		- Really an interpretation of the above
- Very new direction of investigation

[image from JLab]

Screening: evidence for quark-gluon plasma

$$
C_W(R, t_w, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{y}, |\mathbf{r}| = \mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{y}, t_w) \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\longrightarrow Z \exp[-V(R)(t - t_w)]
$$

$$
C_W(R, t_w, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{y}, |\mathbf{r}| = \mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{y}, t_w) \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\longrightarrow Z \exp[-V(R)(t - t_w)]
$$

$$
C_W(R, t_w, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{y}, |\mathbf{r}| = \mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{y}, t_w) \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\longrightarrow Z \exp[-V(R)(t - t_w)]
$$

• Modified by condensate? Hadronic screening?

$$
C_W(R, t_w, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{y}, |\mathbf{r}| = \mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{y}, t_w) \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\longrightarrow Z \exp[-V(R)(t - t_w)]
$$

• Modified by condensate? Hadronic screening?

 m

• n pion correlator

$$
C_n(t_\pi, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \left[\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \chi_{\pi^+}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) \chi_{\pi^+}^\dagger(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{t}_\pi) \right]^n \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\longrightarrow Z' \exp[-E_{n\pi}(t - t_\pi)]
$$

• Wilson loop correlator

$$
C_W(R, t_w, t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \sum_{\mathbf{y}, |\mathbf{r}| = \mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{y}, t_w) \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\longrightarrow Z \exp[-V(R)(t - t_w)]
$$

• Pions and Wilson loop

$$
C_{n,W}(R,t_{\pi},t_w,t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \left[\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \chi_{\pi^+}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}) \chi_{\pi^+}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{t}_{\pi}) \right]^n \sum_{\mathbf{y},|\mathbf{r}|=\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{r},t;\mathbf{y},t_w) \right| 0 \right\rangle
$$

Ratio gives shift in potential due to interaction of potential with pion system

$$
G_{n,W}(R, t_{\pi}, t_w, t) = \frac{C_{n,W}(R, t_{\pi}, t_w, t)}{C_n(t_{\pi}, t)C_W(R, t_w, t)}
$$

$$
\longrightarrow \# \exp \left[-\delta V(R, n)(t - t_W) \right]
$$

In pictures

In pictures

Effective δV plots

DWF on MILC: $a=0.09$ fm, $28³×96$, $m_π=318$ MeV

$\delta F(R, n=1 \& 5)$

Small effect: $\delta F(n=1)/F = 2/1000$ at large R

- Constant at large R
	- Dielectric medium inside flux tube
- Deep inelastic scattering experiments probe parton distribution functions $q_H(x)$
	- Probability of finding a parton (q,g) in hadron h carrying longitudinal momentum fraction x
- Operator product expansion: Mellin moments of PDFs defined by forward matrix elements of local operators

$$
\langle x^n \rangle_H = \int_{-1}^1 dx \, x^n q_H(x)
$$

 $\langle H|\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\{\mu_0}D^{\mu_1}\}\cdots D^{\mu_n\}}|H\rangle = p^{\{\mu_0\}}\cdots p^{\mu_n\}}\langle x^n \rangle_H$

- n=1 corresponds to LC momentum fraction carried by quarks inside H
- Phenomenologically find DIS on nuclei

Proton structure ntensively studied in QCD using 3-pt functions (see James Zanotti's lectures next week)

$$
C_2(t, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \langle 0 | \chi_H(0) \chi_H^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t) | 0 \rangle
$$

$$
C_3(t, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \langle 0 | \chi_H(0) \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \chi_H^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t) | 0 \rangle
$$

$$
R = \frac{C_3(t, \mathbf{p})}{C_2(t, \mathbf{p})} \stackrel{t \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \langle H|\mathcal{O}|H \rangle
$$

- Limited to low moments by reduced lattice symmetry
- Most studies for nucleon, but also pion, rho, ...
- Disconnected term often neglected (absent for isovector quantities)
- *• What about multi-baryon structure (EMC effect)?*

- Pionic analogue of EMC effect Corresponding three point correlation functions allow the matrix elements of a local operator *J* to be determined.
- \bullet *n* π ⁺ 3-point correlator

$$
C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \Big| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^{\dagger}(x_0) \right]^m \Big| 0 \right\rangle
$$

- Pionic analogue of EMC effect Corresponding three point correlation functions allow the matrix elements of a local operator *J* to be determined.
- \bullet *n* π ⁺ 3-point correlator

$$
C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \Big| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^{\dagger}(x_0) \right]^m \Big| 0 \right\rangle
$$

- Pionic analogue of EMC effect Corresponding three point correlation functions allow the matrix elements of a local operator *J* to be determined.
- \bullet *n* π ⁺ 3-point correlator

$$
C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \middle| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^\dagger(x_0) \right]^m \middle| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\longrightarrow Z_m \langle \mathcal{O}_m^{(n)} \rangle e^{-E_m t}
$$

where
$$
\langle \mathcal{O}_m^{(n)} \rangle = \langle m \pi | \mathcal{J}^{(n)} | m \pi \rangle
$$

- Pionic analogue of EMC effect Corresponding three point correlation functions allow the matrix elements of a local operator *J* to be determined.
- \bullet *n* π ⁺ 3-point correlator

$$
C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \middle| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^\dagger(x_0) \right]^m \middle| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\longrightarrow Z_m \langle \mathcal{O}_m^{(n)} \rangle e^{-E_m t}
$$

where
$$
\langle \mathcal{O}_m^{(n)} \rangle = \langle m \pi | \mathcal{J}^{(n)} | m \pi \rangle
$$

[WD & H-W Lin, in progress] will denote the source (at *x* 0) and sink (at *t*) operators as *Oi*(*x*0) and *O^f* (x*, t*), respectively. The total momentum

- Pionic analogue of EMC effect Corresponding three point correlation functions allow the matrix elements of a local operator *J* to be determined.
- \bullet *n* π ⁺ 3-point correlator

$$
C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \middle| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^{\dagger}(x_0) \right]^m \middle| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\longrightarrow \sum_{\ell=0}^m \left(\begin{array}{c} m \\ \ell \end{array} \right) Z_m^{(\ell)} \langle \mathcal{O}_{m-\ell}^{(n)} \rangle e^{-E_{m-\ell}t} e^{-E_{\ell}(T-t)}
$$

 $M(\sigma(x))$ where $\langle O_m^{(n)} \rangle = \langle m\pi | \mathcal{J}^{(n)} | m\pi \rangle$

- Thermal contamination gets very bad near the midpoint of the temporal extent
	- Fraction of non-thermal contributions to 2pt correlator ($T=64$ here)

Trying to measure three point function at $t > T/4$ is problematic – nothing to do with physically relevant state

- Pionic analogue of EMC effect of the multi-hadron state is p = P*^m ⁱ*=1 p*ⁱ* as selected by the summations over spatial sink locations (the individual p*ⁱ* $\overline{}$ not include an and
- \bullet *n* π ⁺ 3-point correlator ρ π ⁺ λ point correlator

$$
C_m^{(n)}(\tau, t, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle 0 \middle| \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} \chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \left[\chi^\dagger(x_0) \right]^m \middle| 0 \right\rangle
$$

$$
\longrightarrow Z_m \langle \mathcal{O}_m^{(n)} \rangle e^{-E_m t} + \text{excitations and thermal effects}
$$

• Contractions performed by treating the struck meson as a separate species

Colour/Dirac structure of operator

$$
\Pi = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma_5 S(\mathbf{x}, t; 0) \gamma_5 S^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t; 0), \qquad \tilde{\Pi}_{\tau} =_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \gamma_5 S(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{y}, \tau) \Gamma'_{\mathcal{O}} S(\mathbf{y}, \tau; 0) \gamma_5 S^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t; 0)
$$

- System now looks like (m-1) pions + 1 "kaon"
- Can be written as products of traces of two matrices [WD & B Smigielski, arXiv:1103.4362]

• Define ratio to extract matrix elements (eg for momentum fraction)

$$
R^{(n)}(t,\tau) = \frac{C_3^{(n)}(t;\tau)}{C_2^{(n)}(t)} \stackrel{t \gg \tau}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{E_{n\pi}} \langle n \pi^+ | \mathcal{O}^{44} | n \pi^+ \rangle
$$

• Double ratio – allows direct investigation of ratio of moments

$$
\frac{R^{(n)}(t,\tau)}{R^{(1)}(t,\tau)} \longrightarrow \frac{m_{\pi} \langle n \pi^+ | \mathcal{O}^{44} | n \pi^+ \rangle}{E_{n\pi} \langle \pi^+ | \mathcal{O}^{44} | \pi^+ \rangle} \longrightarrow \frac{E_{n\pi} \langle x \rangle_{n\pi^+}}{m_{\pi} \langle x \rangle_{\pi^+}}
$$

- No need to renormalise operator!
- Calculate ratios for various quark masses [DWF valence on MILC sea]

Double ratio

DWF on MILC m_{π} = 350 MeV a=0.12 fm, 20³×64 § *xπ,N*/*x ^π,*⁰ with thermal-state degrees of freedom

• Extracted ratio of moments is not unity – medium modification of pion stucture

Extension to baryons certainly possible but messier as usual!

Matrix elements in multi-hadron systems

- Many pion PDF moments are one example of matrix elements of multi-hadron systems
- Other theoretical investigations
	- WD & M Savage "*Electroweak matrix elements in the two nucleon sector from lattice QCD*" hep-lat/0403005
	- H Meyer, "*Photodisintegration of a Bound State on the Torus* ", 1202.6675
	- V Bernard, D Hoya, U-G Meißner & A Rusetsky, "*Matrix elements of unstable particles*" 1205.4642

- Consider QCD in the presence of a constant background magnetic field
	- Implement by adding term to the action (careful with boundaries)
- Shifts spin-1/2 particle masses

 $M_{\uparrow\downarrow} = M_0 \pm \mu |\mathbf{B}| + 4\pi \beta |\mathbf{B}|^2 + \dots$

- Changing strength of background field allows μ , β to be extracted
- Two nucleon states
	- Levels split and mix
	- Landau levels:
- Similar for electro-weak fields and twist-two fields

Two-body contributions

$$
\langle d|O|d\rangle = \frac{2\sqrt{260}}{20} + \frac{2}{2}\sqrt{20} + \cdots
$$

Magnetic moment: two body modification L_2

$$
\mu_d = \frac{2}{1 - \gamma r_3} (\gamma L_2 + \kappa_0)
$$

Twist-two current: leading EMC effect α_n (more complicated as necessary to include pions)

$$
\langle x^n \rangle_d = 2 \langle x^n \rangle_N + \alpha_n \langle d | (N^{\dagger} N)^2 | d \rangle + \dots
$$

Two-body contributions

$$
\langle d|O|d\rangle = \frac{2\sqrt{x^n}}{n} + \frac{2\sqrt{x^n}}
$$

Magnetic moment: two body modification L_2

$$
\mu_d = \frac{2}{1 - \gamma r_3} (\gamma L_2 + \kappa_0)
$$

Twist-two current: leading EMC effect α_n (more complicated as necessary to include pions)

$$
\langle x^n \rangle_d = 2 \langle x^n \rangle_N + \alpha_n \langle d | (N^{\dagger} N)^2 | d \rangle + \dots
$$

• Background field modifies eigenvalue equation for m=±1 states

$$
p \cot \delta(p) - \frac{1}{\pi L} S\left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi^2} \left[p^2 \pm e|\mathbf{B}|\kappa_0 \right] \right) \mp \frac{e|\mathbf{B}|}{2} \left(L_2 - r_3 \kappa_0 \right) = 0
$$

Asymptotic expansion of lowest scattering level

$$
E_0^{m=\pm 1} = \mp \frac{e|\mathbf{B}|\kappa_0}{M} + \frac{4\pi A_3}{ML^3} \left[1 - c_1 \frac{A_3}{L} + c_2 \left(\frac{A_3}{L} \right)^2 + \dots \right]
$$

where
$$
\frac{1}{A_3} = \frac{1}{a_3} \pm \frac{e|\mathbf{B}|L_2}{2}
$$

Mixes ${}^{1}S_{0}$ and ${}^{3}S_{1}$ m=0 states (coupled channels – but perturbative)

$$
\left[p\cot\delta_1(p) - \frac{S_+ + S_-}{\pi L}\right] \left[p\cot\delta_3(p) - \frac{S_+ + S_-}{\pi L}\right] = \left[\frac{e|\mathbf{B}|L_1}{2} + \frac{S_+ - S_-}{2\pi L}\right]^2
$$

where

$$
S_{\pm} = S\left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi^2} \left[p^2 \pm e|\mathbf{B}|\kappa_1 \right] + \ldots \right)
$$

[WD & MJ Savage Nucl Phys A 743, 170]

Energy levels in B field

Energy levels in B field

 $np \rightarrow dy: {}^{3}S_{1} - {}^{1}S_{0}$ m=0

 $np\rightarrow$ dγ: ${}^{3}S_{1}$ – ${}^{1}S_{0}$ m=0

 $np \rightarrow dy: {}^{3}S_{1} - {}^{1}S_{0}$ m=0

 $|e B| = 500$ MeV²

Open issues

- Noise in QCD correlators is generically a problem somehow related to the sign problem discussed in Gert Aarts' lectures
- There are hints that we can suppress noise for certain choices of correlation functions
	- How effectively can this be systematised?
	- Can this be done for large A systems that we afford to perform contractions for?
- Are we measuring things the most sensible way?
- David K will say a lot more about noise on Friday

• One specific issue that is a bit frightening at the moment is the density of scattering states in multi-hadron systems

- far below thresholds are presumably OK, but how do we learn $\sin \alpha$ the R_t the ground state of 4 He. The energy-levels associated with energy-levels associated with energy-levels associated with R_t • States far below thresholds are presumably OK, but how do we learn about d–d scattering?
- s Maiani Tacta No. oo Theorem • Back to Maiani-Testa No-go Theorem

Decific issue that is a bit frightening at the moment is the densited in the form of the form of the energy c • One specific issue that is a bit frightening at the moment is the density of scattering states in multi-hadron systems

- Far helow thresholds are presumably OK but how do we learn • States far below thresholds are presumably OK, but how do we learn about d-d
esettering? ⁴⁸³ ⇥ 64 ensembles, respectively. The location of the states in the 24³ ⇥ 48 and 32³ ⇥ 48 ensembles scattering?
- Back to Maiani-Testa No-go Theorem

• One specific issue that is a bit frightening at the moment is the density of scattering states in multi-hadron systems

- States far below thresholds are presumably OK, but how do we learn about d-d scattering?
- Back to Maiani-Testa No-go Theorem
- For large A systems, how do we control the volume, lattice spacing, unphysical quark mass artefacts?
	- Maybe just empirically?
	- Can we have a better theoretical understanding?
- What other kinds of observables can we calculate?

Summary

- Nuclear physics and multi-hadron systems are a frontier for QCD calculations
	- Major advances in the last few years $(A_{max}=2 \rightarrow A_{max}=28)$
- Definitely a difficult problem noise, contractions, theoretical understanding,...
- Lots of possibilities for new calculations new observables, new approaches
- Lots of room for improvements (theoretical, algorithmic and computational)
- How far can we go?

Summary

- Nuclear physics and multi-hadron systems are a frontier for QCD calculations
	- Major advances in the last few years $(A_{max}=2 \rightarrow A_{max}=28)$
- Definitely a difficult problem noise, contractions, theoretical understanding,...
- Lots of possibilities for new calculations new observables, new approaches
- Lots of room for improvements (theoretical, algorithmic and computational)
- How far can we go?

