# Lecture 3: multi-body

#### William Detmold

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

INT Lattice Summer School, Seattle, August 13th-17th, 2012 — Hadron Interactions and Many-body Physics

- Multi-hadron interactions: theoretical work
  - Many boson systems
  - Three baryons
  - More: baryons EFT in FV
- Contraction methods
  - Many pions
  - Many nucleons

## Theory

### Bosons in a box

- Long-standing problem: how do interacting particles confined in a box behave?
  - Uhlenbeck 30's, Bogoliubov 47, Huang&Yang 57
  - Tackled in terms of density expansion
  - For weakly interacting particles, an expansion in a/L might be useful



• Hamiltonian formulation = pionless EFT for pions with 2 & 3 body interactions

- Time-dependent perturbation theory calculation to determine large volume expansion of *n* particle ground state energies
  - 5th order PT gives energy shift of n meson system to  $1/L^7$
  - 2 & 3 body interactions (N body:  $L^{-3(N-1)}$ )
  - Relativistic up to particle production threshold
  - Three loop diagrams: 9d integer sums

[Beane, WD & Savage; WD & Savage ]

• Eg: non-vanishing  $O(V^4)$  contributions for n=6 particles

	$ \times\!\!\!\times\!\!\!\times\!\!\!\times$		$\times\!\!\!\times\!\!\!\times$	$\times \times \times$			XXX			XXX	
				/ \							
D1: 15	D2: 120	D3: 120	D4: 90	D5: 120	D6: 120	D19: 360	D20: 360	D21: 360	D22: 360	D23: 360	D24: 360
-II^3/8	-(II*JJ)/8	-(II*JJ)/8	-(II*JJ)/8	-(II*JJ)/8	-Q1/4	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8
			$\times$	$\times \hspace{-1.5mm} \times $		$\times \times \times$	$\times$			$\times$	$\times  \times$
D7: 120	D8: 120	D9: 120	D10: 120	D11: 120	D12: 120	D25: 90	D26: 90	D27: 360	D28: 360	D29: 360	D30: 90
-Q1/4	-R1/4	-R1/4	-Q1/4	-Q1/4	-(II*JJ)/8	-(II*JJ)/8	-Q2/4	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/16	-R2/4
			$\times \hspace{-1.5mm} \times \hspace{-1.5mm} \times$	$\times$		$\times \checkmark \times$	$\times$	$\times  \times$			
							$ \longrightarrow $				
D13: 360	D14: 360	D15: 360	D16: 360	D17: 360	D18: 360	D31: 360	D32: 360	D33: 360	D34: 90		
-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8	-KK/8		

- At 1/L<sup>6</sup>, point-like three-boson interaction must occur [Braaten, Nieto '95]
  - IR and UV divergent diagrams appear, needing renormalisation
  - RGI 3BI:  $\overline{\eta}_3^{(L)}$  physically meaningful
  - Depends logarithmically on L
- Naive dimensional-analysis  $m_{\pi} f_{\pi}^4 \overline{\eta}_3^{(L)} \sim 1$
- Combinations of energy shifts isolates the RGI interaction

![](_page_6_Picture_7.jpeg)

• Result for shift to  $1/L^7$  is

Geometric coefficients  $\mathcal{I} = -8.9136329$  $\mathcal{J} = 16.532316$  $\mathcal{K} = 8.4019240$  $\mathcal{L} = 6.9458079$  $\mathcal{T}_0 = -4116.2338$  $\mathcal{T}_1 = 450.6392$  $\mathcal{S}_{MS} = -185.12506$ 

$$\Delta E_0(n,L) = \frac{4\pi a}{ML^3} {\binom{n}{2}} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right) \mathcal{I} + \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right)^2 \left[ \mathcal{I}^2 + (2n-5)\mathcal{J} \right] \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{J} = 16.532316$$

$$\mathcal{K} = 8.4019240$$

$$\mathcal{L} = 6.9458079$$

$$\mathcal{I} = -4116.2338$$

$$-\left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right)^{3} \left[\mathcal{I}^{3} + (2n-7)\mathcal{I}\mathcal{J} + (5n^{2}-41n+63)\mathcal{K}\right] \qquad \qquad \mathcal{I}_{0} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{I}_{1} = 450.6392 \\ \mathcal{S}_{MS} = -185.12500 \\ \mathcal{S}_{MS} = -185.12500 \\ \mathcal{I}_{1} = 450.6392 \\ \mathcal{I}_{2} = -185.12500 \\ \mathcal{I}_{2} = -185.12500 \\ \mathcal{I}_{2} = -185.12500 \\ \mathcal{I}_{2} = -185.12500 \\ \mathcal{I}_{3} = -18$$

$$+\left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right)^{4}\left[\mathcal{I}^{4}-6\mathcal{I}^{2}\mathcal{J}+(4+n-n^{2})\mathcal{J}^{2}+4(27-15n+n^{2})\mathcal{I}\mathcal{K}\right.\\\left.+(14n^{3}-227n^{2}+919n-1043)\mathcal{L}\left.\right]\right\}$$

$$+ \binom{n}{2} \frac{8\pi^2 a^3 r}{M L^6} \left[ 1 + \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right) 3(n-3)\mathcal{I} \right] + \binom{n}{3} \frac{1}{L^6} \left[ \eta_3(\mu) + \frac{64\pi a^4}{M} \left( 3\sqrt{3} - 4\pi \right) \log(\mu L) - \frac{96a^4}{\pi^2 M} \mathcal{S} \right] \left[ 1 - 6 \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right) \mathcal{I} \right] + \binom{n}{3} \left[ \frac{192 a^5}{M \pi^3 L^7} (\mathcal{T}_0 + \mathcal{T}_1 n) + \frac{6\pi a^3}{M^3 L^7} (n+3) \mathcal{I} \right] + \mathcal{O} \left( L^{-8} \right) .$$

- *n*=2: reproduces expansion of Lüscher formula
- Can include higher partial waves, higher body
- Measurement of energies allows extraction of interaction parameters

[WD & M Savage, see also S Tan 07]

• Result for shift to 
$$1/L^7$$
 is Two-body  
interaction  $\Delta E_0(n,L) = \frac{4\pi a}{ML^3} {n \choose 2} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right)^2 \left[ \mathcal{I}^2 + (2n-5)\mathcal{J} \right] \right\}$   
 $= \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right)^3 \left[ \mathcal{I}^3 + (2n-7)\mathcal{I}\mathcal{J} + (5n^2 - 41n + 63)\mathcal{K} \right]$   
 $= \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right)^3 \left[ \mathcal{I}^4 - 6\mathcal{I}^2\mathcal{J} + (4 + n - n^2)\mathcal{J}^2 + 4(27 - 15n + n^2)\mathcal{I}\mathcal{K} + (14n^3 - 227n^2 + 919n - 1043)\mathcal{L} \right] \right\}$   
 $+ \left(\frac{n}{3}\right) \frac{8\pi^2 a^3 r}{ML^6} \left[ 1 + \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right) 3(n-3)\mathcal{I} \right]$   
 $+ \left(\frac{n}{3}\right) \frac{16}{L^6} \left[ \eta_3(\mu) + \frac{64\pi a^4}{M} \left( 3\sqrt{3} - 4\pi \right) \log(\mu L) - \frac{96a^4}{\pi^2 M} \mathcal{S} \right] \left[ 1 - 6 \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right) \mathcal{I} \right]$   
 $+ \left(\frac{n}{3}\right) \left[ \frac{192 a^5}{M\pi^3 L^7} (\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_1 n) + \frac{6\pi a^3}{M^3 L^7} (n+3)\mathcal{I} \right] + \mathcal{O}(L^{-8})$ 

- *n*=2: reproduces expansion of Lüscher formula
- Can include higher partial waves, higher body
- Measurement of energies allows extraction of interaction parameters

[WD & M Savage, see also S Tan 07]

• Result for shift to I/L<sup>7</sup> is Two-body  
interaction
$$\Delta E_0(n,L) = \frac{4\pi a}{ML^3} \binom{n}{2} \left\{ 1 - \binom{a}{\pi L} \mathcal{I} + \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right)^2 \left[ \mathcal{I}^2 + (2n-5)\mathcal{J} \right] \right\} \\ - \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right)^3 \left[ \mathcal{I}^3 + (2n-7)\mathcal{I}\mathcal{J} + (5n^2 - 41n + 63)\mathcal{K} \right] \\ - \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right)^4 \left[ \mathcal{I}^4 - 6\mathcal{I}^2\mathcal{J} + (4 + n - n^2)\mathcal{J}^2 + 4(27 - 15n + n^2)\mathcal{I}\mathcal{K} + (14n^3 - 227n^2 + 919n - 1043)\mathcal{L} \right] \right\} \\ + \left(\frac{n}{3}\right) \frac{1}{L^6} \left[ \eta_3(\mu) + \frac{64\pi a^4}{M} \left( 3\sqrt{3} - 4\pi \right) \log(\mu L) - \frac{96a^4}{\pi^2 M} \mathcal{S} \right] \left[ 1 - 6 \left(\frac{a}{\pi L}\right) \mathcal{I} \right] \\ + \binom{n}{3} \left[ \frac{192 a^5}{M\pi^3 L^7} (\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_1 n) + \frac{6\pi a^3}{M^3 L^7} (n + 3)\mathcal{I} \right] + \mathcal{O}(L^{-8}) \quad .$$

- n=2: reproduces expansion of Lüscher formula
- Can include higher partial waves, higher body
- Measurement of energies allows extraction of interaction parameters

[WD & M Savage, see also S Tan 07]

- When interactions are strong, perturbative expansion breaks down eg: nucleon-nucleon!
  - Full Lüscher relation is valid, but small a/L, r/L expansions not well behaved

- When interactions are strong, perturbative expansion breaks down eg: nucleon-nucleon!
  - Full Lüscher relation is valid, but small a/L, r/L expansions not well behaved
- For multiple nucleons, need N-body generalisation of Lüscher relation

- When interactions are strong, perturbative expansion breaks down eg: nucleon-nucleon!
  - Full Lüscher relation is valid, but small a/L, r/L expansions not well behaved
- For multiple nucleons, need N-body generalisation of Lüscher relation

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

- When interactions are strong, perturbative expansion breaks down eg: nucleon-nucleon!
  - Full Lüscher relation is valid, but small a/L, r/L expansions not well behaved
- For multiple nucleons, need N-body generalisation of Lüscher relation
- In infinite volume this is the Faddeev-Yakubovsky equations
  - Eg: three nucleons

= = = = =

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

- When interactions are strong, perturbative expansion breaks down eg: nucleon-nucleon!
  - Full Lüscher relation is valid, but small a/L, r/L expansions not well behaved
- For multiple nucleons, need N-body generalisation of Lüscher relation
- In infinite volume this is the Faddeev-Yakubovsky equations
  - Eg: three nucleons

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

• Need finite volume generalisation of Faddeev

- Kreuzer & Hammer [PLB 694 (2011) 424] studied three-nucleon systems (triton) in pionless EFT (valid at low energies,  $p < m_{\pi}$ )
- See also Luu Lattice2008, Polejaeva & Rusetsky [12], Kreuzer & Grießhammer [12]
- Lagrangian involves nucleons (N) and dibaryon fields (s,t)

$$\mathcal{L} = N^{\dagger} \left( i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \right) N + \frac{g_t}{2} t_j^{\dagger} t_j^{\dagger} + \frac{g_s}{2} s_A^{\dagger} s_A^{\dagger} s_A^{\dagger}$$
$$- \frac{g_t}{2} \left[ t_j^{\dagger} \left( N^T \tau_2 \sigma_j \sigma_2 N \right) + \text{h.c.} \right] - \frac{g_s}{2} \left[ s_A^{\dagger} \left( N^T \sigma_2 \tau_A \tau_2 N \right) + \text{h.c.} \right] + \mathcal{L}_3$$

• Three body interaction

$$\mathcal{L}_{3} = -\frac{2H(\Lambda)}{\Lambda^{2}} \left( g_{t}^{2} N^{\dagger}(t_{j}\sigma_{j})^{\dagger}(t_{i}\sigma_{i})N + \frac{g_{t}g_{s}}{3} \left[ N^{\dagger}(t_{j}\sigma_{j})^{\dagger}(s_{A}\tau_{A})N + \text{h.c.} \right] + g_{s}^{2} N^{\dagger}(s_{A}\tau_{A})^{\dagger}(s_{B}\tau_{B})N \right),$$

• Infinite volume Faddeev eqns correspond to coupled integral equations

• Finite volume: replace loops by momentum sums and dibaryon propagator by periodic version making use of Poisson summation formula

 $\mathbf{M}_{2}(\vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} -d_{t}(\vec{y}) & 3d_{s}(\vec{y}) \\ 3d_{t}(\vec{y}) & -d_{s}(\vec{y}) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{M}_{3}(\vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} -d_{t}(\vec{y}) & d_{s}(\vec{y}) \\ d_{t}(\vec{y}) & -d_{s}(\vec{y}) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{Z}(\vec{p},\vec{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} p^{2} + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{y} + y^{2} - E_{3} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$ 

$$d_{s,t}(\vec{y}) = (g_{s,t}^2/8\pi) D_{s,t}(E_3 - \vec{y}^2/2, \vec{y})$$

$$D_{s,t}(p_0, \vec{p}) = \frac{8\pi}{g_{s,t}^2} \left[ -\frac{1}{a_{s,t}} + \sqrt{-p_0 + \vec{p}^2/4 - i\epsilon} - \sum_{\substack{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \\ \vec{j} \neq \vec{0}}} \frac{1}{|\vec{j}|L} e^{-|\vec{j}|L} \sqrt{-p_0 + \vec{p}^2/4 - i\epsilon} \right]^{-1}$$

 Boundary conditions impose cubic symmetry: irreps of SU(2) must be decomposed into irreps of double cover of octahedral group <sup>2</sup>O

$$\mathcal{F}(\vec{y}) = \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2},\frac{7}{2},\dots}^{(G_1^+)} \sum_t F^{(j,t)}(y) \sum_{m_j} \tilde{C}_{jtm_j} |jm_j\rangle \qquad |jm_j\rangle = \sum_{m,s} C^{jm_j}_{\ell(j)m\frac{1}{2}s} |\ell(j)m\rangle \otimes |\frac{1}{2}s\rangle$$
subduction coefficients

• Project out partial waves

$$\begin{split} \begin{pmatrix} F_t^{(J)}(y) \\ F_s^{(J)}(y) \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\Lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}y \, y^2}{2\ell(J) + 1} \sum_{j}^{(G_1^+)} \left[ \mathbf{M}_2(y) \, Z^{(\ell(J))}(p, y) + \mathbf{M}_3(y) \, \frac{2H(\Lambda)}{\Lambda^2} \, \delta_{\ell(J),0} \right] \begin{pmatrix} F_t^{(j)}(y) \\ F_s^{(j)}(y) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \left[ \delta_{Jj} + \sum_{\substack{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \\ \vec{n} \neq \vec{0}}} \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\ell'} i^{\ell'} j_{\ell'}(L|\vec{n}|y) \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell(j) + 1)(2\ell' + 1)}{2\ell(J) + 1}} \\ &\times \sum_{m(\ell(j)), s(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\tilde{C}_{j,m+s}}{\tilde{C}_{JM}} Y_{\ell'(M-s-m)}^*(\hat{n}) C_{\ell(J)(M-s)\frac{1}{2}s}^{JM} C_{\ell(j)m\frac{1}{2}s}^{\ell(J)0} C_{\ell(j)m\ell'(M-s-m)}^{\ell(J)(M-s)} \right] \end{split}$$

where

$$Z^{(\ell)}(p,y) = \frac{2\ell + 1}{py} Q_{\ell} \left(\frac{p^2 + y^2 - E_3}{py}\right)$$

• Binding energy of triton tuned to physical value at infinite volume

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Fitted by a simple exponential form

$$E_3(L) = E_3(L = \infty) \left[ 1 + \frac{c}{L} e^{-L/L_0} \right]$$

• Lattice calculations at different volumes would constrain the LEC  $H(\Lambda)$ 

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Philosophical approach is similar to three baryon case
  - Perform lattice calculations and extract eigen-energies of N baryon system
  - Perform EFT calculation in appropriate finite volumes for a range of values of LECs until results match onto lattice calculation
  - Using the determined LECs, perform infinite volume EFT calculation to extract infinite volume binding/scattering information
- Problems
  - Has not really been attempted
  - Four and higher body EFT calculations are computationally demanding
  - Convergence of EFT (for nucleons, pionless EFT is probably not enough) must be carefully investigated

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

we can write

$$C_n(t) = \varepsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \xi_1 \dots \xi_{12-n}} \varepsilon_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_n \xi_1 \dots \xi_{12-n}} (\Pi)^{\beta_1}_{\alpha_1} (\Pi)^{\beta_2}_{\alpha_2} \dots (\Pi)^{\beta_n}_{\alpha_n}$$

• Appears in the expansion

$$\det (1 + \lambda A) = \frac{1}{12!} \varepsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{12}} \varepsilon_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{12}} (1 + \lambda A)^{\beta_1}_{\alpha_1} (1 + \lambda A)^{\beta_2}_{\alpha_2} \dots (1 + \lambda A)^{\beta_{12}}_{\alpha_{12}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{12!} \left[ \varepsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{12}} \varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{12}} + \lambda^{12} C_1 \varepsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{12}} \varepsilon_{\beta_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{12}} (A)^{\beta_1}_{\alpha_1} + \dots + \lambda^{n} {}^{12} C_n \varepsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \xi_1 \dots \xi_{12-n}} \varepsilon_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_n \xi_1 \dots \xi_{12-n}} (A)^{\beta_1}_{\alpha_1} (A)^{\beta_2}_{\alpha_2} \dots (A)^{\beta_n}_{\alpha_n} \dots + \lambda^{12} \varepsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{12}} \varepsilon_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{12}} (A)^{\beta_1}_{\alpha_1} \dots (A)^{\beta_{12}}_{\alpha_{12}} \right]$$

• Can read off form of correlators from

$$\det (1 + \lambda A) = \exp \left( \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \log \left[ 1 + \lambda A \right] \right] \right) = \exp \left( \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \sum_{p=1} \frac{(-)^{p-1}}{p} \lambda^p A^p \right] \right)$$
$$= 1 + \lambda \operatorname{Tr} \left[ A \right] + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left( (\operatorname{Tr} \left[ A \right])^2 - \operatorname{Tr} \left[ A^2 \right] \right)$$
$$+ \frac{\lambda^3}{6} \left( 2\operatorname{Tr} \left[ A^3 \right] - 3\operatorname{Tr} \left[ A \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[ A^2 \right] + (\operatorname{Tr} \left[ A \right])^3 \right) + \dots$$

- Eg:  $C_3(t) \propto \operatorname{tr}_{C,S} [\Pi]^3 3 \operatorname{tr}_{C,S} [\Pi^2] \operatorname{tr}_{C,S} [\Pi] + 2 \operatorname{tr}_{C,S} [\Pi^3]$
- How do we deal with complexity of contractions?
  - One species:  $N_{\rm terms} \sim e^{\pi \sqrt{2n/3}} / \sqrt{n}$  [Ramanujan & Hardy], two-species is harder, more is not feasible
- How do we go beyond n=12?
  - Need multiple propagator sources but this leads to contraction complexity

 $C_{13}(t) = T_1^{13} - 78T_2T_1^{11} + 572T_3T_1^{10} + 2145T_2^2T_1^9 - 4290T_4T_1^9 - 25740T_2T_3T_1^8 + 30888T_5T_1^8$  $-25740T_2^3T_1^7 + 68640T_3^2T_1^7 + 154440T_2T_4T_1^7 - 205920T_6T_1^7 + 360360T_2^2T_3T_1^6 \qquad T_i^j = \operatorname{tr}\left[X^i\right]$  $-720720T_3T_4T_1^6 - 864864T_2T_5T_1^6 + 1235520T_7T_1^6 + 135135T_2^4T_1^5 - 1441440T_2T_3^2T_1^5$  $+1621620T_4^2T_1^5 - 1621620T_2^2T_4T_1^5 + 3459456T_3T_5T_1^5 + 4324320T_2T_6T_1^5 - 6486480T_8T_1^5$  $+1601600T_3^3T_1^4 - 1801800T_2^3T_3T_1^4 + 10810800T_2T_3T_4T_1^4 + 6486480T_2^2T_5T_1^4 - 12972960T_4T_5T_1^4$  $-14414400T_3T_6T_1^4 - 18532800T_2T_7T_1^4 + 28828800T_9T_1^4 - 270270T_2^5T_1^3 + 7207200T_2^2T_3^2T_1^3$  $-16216200T_2T_4^2T_1^3 + 20756736T_5^2T_1^3 + 5405400T_2^3T_4T_1^3 - 14414400T_3^2T_4T_1^3 - 34594560T_2T_3T_5T_1^3$  $-21621600T_2^2T_6T_1^3 + 43243200T_4T_6T_1^3 + 49420800T_3T_7T_1^3 + 64864800T_2T_8T_1^3 - 103783680T_{10}T_1^3$  $-9609600T_2T_3^3T_1^2 + 32432400T_3T_4^2T_1^2 + 2702700T_2^4T_3T_1^2 - 32432400T_2^2T_3T_4T_1^2$  $-12972960T_2^3T_5T_1^2 + 34594560T_3^2T_5T_1^2 + 77837760T_2T_4T_5T_1^2 + 86486400T_2T_3T_6T_1^2$  $-103783680T_5T_6T_1^2 + 55598400T_2^2T_7T_1^2 - 111196800T_4T_7T_1^2 - 129729600T_3T_8T_1^2$  $-172972800T_2T_9T_1^2 + 283046400T_{11}T_1^2 + 135135T_2^6T_1 + 3203200T_3^4T_1 - 16216200T_4^3T_1$  $-7207200T_2^3T_3^2T_1 + 24324300T_2^2T_4^2T_1 - 62270208T_2T_5^2T_1 + 86486400T_6^2T_1$  $-4054050T_2^4T_4T_1 + 43243200T_2T_3^2T_4T_1 + 51891840T_2^2T_3T_5T_1 - 103783680T_3T_4T_5T_1$  $+21621600T_2^3T_6T_1 - 57657600T_3^2T_6T_1 - 129729600T_2T_4T_6T_1 - 148262400T_2T_3T_7T_1$  $+177914880T_5T_7T_1 - 97297200T_2^2T_8T_1 + 194594400T_4T_8T_1 + 230630400T_3T_9T_1$  $+311351040T_2T_{10}T_1 - 518918400T_{12}T_1 + 4804800T_2^2T_3^3 - 32432400T_2T_3T_4^2$  $+41513472T_3T_5^2 - 540540T_2^5T_3 - 9609600T_3^3T_4 + 10810800T_2^3T_3T_4$  $+3243240T_{2}^{4}T_{5} - 34594560T_{2}T_{3}^{2}T_{5} + 38918880T_{4}^{2}T_{5} - 38918880T_{2}^{2}T_{4}T_{5}$  $-43243200T_2^2T_3T_6 + 86486400T_3T_4T_6 + 103783680T_2T_5T_6 - 18532800T_2^3T_7$  $+49420800T_3^2T_7+111196800T_2T_4T_7-148262400T_6T_7+129729600T_2T_3T_8$  $-155675520T_5T_8 + 86486400T_2^2T_9 - 172972800T_4T_9 - 207567360T_3T_{10}$  $-283046400T_2T_{11} + 479001600T_{13}$ 

Few pion contractions

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Define a partly contracted pion correlator

$$\Pi \equiv R_1 = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} S_u(\mathbf{x}, t; x_0) \gamma_5 S_d(x_0; \mathbf{x}, t) \gamma_5 = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} S_u(\mathbf{x}, t; x_0) S_d^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t; x_0)$$

- Time-dependent 12x12 matrix (spin-colour indices)
- Correlators (<...> indicates color-spin trace)

$$C_1(t) = \langle \Pi \rangle, \quad C_2(t) = \langle \Pi \rangle^2 - \langle \Pi^2 \rangle, \dots$$

• Functional definition

$$\Pi_{ij} = \bar{u}_i(x)u_k(x_0)\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{u}_j(x)\delta u_k(x_0)}C_1(t)$$

• Generalises to

$$(R_n)_{ij} \equiv \bar{u}_i(x)u_k(x_0)\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{u}_j(x)\delta u_k(x_0)}C_n(t)$$

![](_page_26_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### Recursion relation

#### [WD, M Savage, PRD 82 (2010) 014511]

- Contractions are not simply related
- Block objects <u>are</u> simply related
- Recursion relation

$$R_{n+1} = \langle R_n \rangle \ R_1 - n \ R_n \ R_1$$

- Initial condition is that  $R_1 = \Pi, \qquad R_j = 0, \, \forall j < 1$
- Can also construct a descending recursion as we know that  $R_{13}=0$

![](_page_27_Figure_8.jpeg)

- To get beyond n=12, need to consider multi-source systems
- Consider two sources first

$$C_{(n_1\pi_1^+, n_2\pi_2^+)}(t) = \left\langle \left( \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \pi^+(\mathbf{x}, t) \right)^{n_1+n_2} \left( \pi^-(\mathbf{y_1}, 0) \right)^{n_1} \left( \pi^-(\mathbf{y_2}, 0) \right)^{n_2} \right\rangle$$

•  $C_{(2,1)}(t)$  contains contractions like (sink position summed over timeslice, so no exclusion problem until n=12L<sup>3</sup>)

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Multi-source systems

• Multiple types of blocks needed

$$A_{ab} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} S_u(\mathbf{x}, t; x_a) S_d^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t; x_b)$$

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Two species case has a simple recursion relation: First define

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}(t) & A_{12}(t) \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \hline A_{21}(t) & A_{22}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $Q_{(n1,n2)}$  (generalisations of the  $R_n$ ) satisfy

$$Q_{(n_1+1,n_2)} = \langle Q_{(n_1,n_2)} \rangle P_1 - (n_1+n_2) Q_{(n_1,n_2)} P_1$$
$$+ \langle Q_{(n_1+1,n_2-1)} \rangle P_2 - (n_1+n_2) Q_{(n_1+1,n_2-1)} P_2$$

#### Extensions

- Recursions also constructed for
  - *m*-source systems
  - *k*-species systems:  $\pi$ 's, K's, D's, B's, ...
  - *m*-source, *k*-species systems

$$T_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1}_{rs}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle T_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1}_{rs}-\mathbf{1}_{ij}} \rangle P_{ij} - \overline{\mathcal{N}} T_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1}_{rs}-\mathbf{1}_{ij}} P_{ij}$$

where subscripts are matrices

- Implemented as matrix multiplications computationally tractable
- Each iteration involves essentially two-body contractions
- Without tracking which source a given pion came from, cost is  $\sim n^3$

• Enlarge matrix  $\Pi$  to 12Nx12N using N source locations

$$A = P_1 + P_2 + \ldots + P_N = \begin{pmatrix} P_{1,1} & P_{1,2} & \ldots & P_{1,N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \hline P_{k,1} & P_{k,2} & \ldots & P_{k,N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \hline P_{N,1} & P_{N,2} & \ldots & P_{N,N} \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } P_{k,i}(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} S(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{y}_i, 0) S^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{y}_k, 0),$$

• New approaches based on determinantal nature:  $\sim n^3$  scaling

$$\det[1 + \lambda A] = 1 + \lambda C_{1\pi} + \lambda^2 C_{2\pi} + \ldots + \lambda^{12N} C_{12N\pi}$$

• Vandermonde system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\det[1+\lambda_1A]-1}{\lambda_1} \\ \frac{\det[1+\lambda_2A]-1}{\lambda_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\det[1+\lambda_{12N}A]-1}{\lambda_{12N}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_1^2 & \dots & \lambda_1^{12N-1} \\ 1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_2^2 & \dots & \lambda_2^{12N-1} \\ \vdots & & & \\ 1 & \lambda_n & \lambda_n^2 & \dots & \lambda_n^{12N-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_{1\pi} \\ C_{2\pi} \\ \vdots \\ C_{12N\pi} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Fourier analysis
- Combination method
- Implement contractions in momentum space

[WD, K Orginos, Z Shi, 1205.4224 ]

- Many baryon correlator construction is messier
- Interpolating fields minimal expression as weighted sums

$$\bar{\mathcal{N}}^{h} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} \bar{q}(a_{i_{1}})\bar{q}(a_{i_{2}})\cdots \bar{q}(a_{i_{n_{q}}})$$

- Generation of weights can be automated (symbolic code) for given quantum numbers
  - Specify final quantum numbers (spin, isospin, strangeness etc)
  - Build up from states of smaller quantum numbers just by using rules of eg angular momentum addition
- Similar ideas by Doi and Endres [1205.0585]
- Contraction just reads in weights and can be implemented independent of the particular process being considered

- Given a complex many baryon system to perform contractions for, always possible to group colour singlets at one end (sink)
- Contractions can be written in terms of baryon blocks (objects that are contracted at sink)
- A particular set of quantum numbers b for the block is select by a weighted sum of components of quark propagators

$$\mathcal{B}_{b}^{a_{1},a_{2},a_{3}}(\mathbf{p},t;x_{0}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{B}(b)} \tilde{w}_{b}^{(c_{1},c_{2},c_{3}),k} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},i_{3}} \times S(c_{i_{1}},x;a_{1},x_{0})S(c_{i_{2}},x;a_{2},x_{0})S(c_{i_{3}},x;a_{3},x_{0})$$

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)

 Can be generalised to multi-baryon blocks if desired although storage requirements rapidly increase

$$\left[ \mathcal{N}_{1}^{h}(t)\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{2}^{h}(0) \right]_{U} = \int \mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \ e^{-S_{QCD}[U]} \ \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \ \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k'} \\ \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} q(a'_{j_{n_{q}}}) \cdots q(a'_{j_{2}}) q(a'_{j_{1}}) \times \bar{q}(a_{i_{1}}) \bar{q}(a_{i_{2}}) \cdots \bar{q}(a_{i_{n_{q}}})$$

$$\left[ \mathcal{N}_{1}^{h}(t)\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{2}^{h}(0) \right]_{U} = \int \mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \ e^{-S_{QCD}[U]} \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} q(a'_{j_{n_{q}}}) \cdots q(a'_{j_{2}}) q(a'_{j_{1}}) \times \bar{q}(a_{i_{1}})\bar{q}(a_{i_{2}}) \cdots \bar{q}(a_{i_{n_{q}}})$$

$$\begin{split} \left[ \mathcal{N}_{1}^{h}(t)\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{2}^{h}(0) \right]_{U} &= \int \mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \; e^{-S_{QCD}[U]} \; \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \; \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ & \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} q(a'_{j_{n_{q}}}) \cdots q(a'_{j_{2}}) q(a'_{j_{1}}) \times \bar{q}(a_{i_{1}})\bar{q}(a_{i_{2}}) \cdots \bar{q}(a_{i_{n_{q}}}) \\ &= e^{-S_{eff}[U]} \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k'=1}^{N_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \; \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ & \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} S(a'_{j_{1}};a_{i_{1}}) S(a'_{j_{2}};a_{i_{2}}) \cdots S(a'_{j_{n_{q}}};a_{i_{n_{q}}}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left[ \mathcal{N}_{1}^{h}(t) \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{2}^{h}(0) \right]_{U} &= \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} \; e^{-S_{QCD}[U]} \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \; \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ & \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} q(a'_{j_{n_{q}}}) \cdots q(a'_{j_{2}}) q(a'_{j_{1}}) \times \bar{q}(a_{i_{1}}) \bar{q}(a_{i_{2}}) \cdots \bar{q}(a_{i_{n_{q}}}) \\ &= e^{-S_{eff}[U]} \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \; \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ & \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} S(a'_{j_{1}};a_{i_{1}}) S(a'_{j_{2}};a_{i_{2}}) \cdots S(a'_{j_{n_{q}}};a_{i_{n_{q}}}) \end{split}$$

• Make a particular choice of correlation function (momentum projection at sink) and express in terms of blocks (quark-hadron level contraction)

$$\begin{split} \left[ \mathcal{N}_{1}^{h}(t) \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{2}^{h}(0) \right]_{U} &= \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} \ e^{-S_{QCD}[U]} \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \ \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ & \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} q(a'_{j_{n_{q}}}) \cdots q(a'_{j_{2}}) q(a'_{j_{1}}) \times \bar{q}(a_{i_{1}}) \bar{q}(a_{i_{2}}) \cdots \bar{q}(a_{i_{n_{q}}}) \\ &= e^{-\mathcal{S}_{eff}[U]} \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \ \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ & \sum_{i} \sum_{i} \sum_{i} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} S(a'_{j_{1}};a_{i_{1}}) S(a'_{j_{2}};a_{i_{2}}) \cdots S(a'_{j_{n_{q}}};a_{i_{n_{q}}}) \end{split}$$

• Make a particular choice of correlation function (momentum projection at sink) and express in terms of blocks (quark-hadron level contraction)

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

$$\begin{split} \left[ \mathcal{N}_{1}^{h}(t)\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{2}^{h}(0)\right]_{U} &= \int \mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \; e^{-S_{QCD}[U]} \; \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \; \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ & \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} q(a'_{j_{n_{q}}}) \cdots q(a'_{j_{2}}) q(a'_{j_{1}}) \times \bar{q}(a_{i_{1}}) \bar{q}(a_{i_{2}}) \cdots \bar{q}(a_{i_{n_{q}}}) \\ &= e^{-\mathcal{S}_{eff}[U]} \; \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \; \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ & \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} S(a'_{j_{1}};a_{i_{1}}) S(a'_{j_{2}};a_{i_{2}}) \cdots S(a'_{j_{n_{q}}};a_{i_{n_{q}}}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left[ \mathcal{N}_{1}^{h}(t)\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{2}^{h}(0) \right]_{U} &= \int \mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \; e^{-S_{QCD}[U]} \; \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \; \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ & \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} q(a'_{j_{n_{q}}}) \cdots q(a'_{j_{2}}) q(a'_{j_{1}}) \times \bar{q}(a_{i_{1}}) \bar{q}(a_{i_{2}}) \cdots \bar{q}(a_{i_{n_{q}}}) \\ &= \; e^{-\mathcal{S}_{eff}[U]} \; \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \; \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ & \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} S(a'_{j_{1}};a_{i_{1}}) S(a'_{j_{2}};a_{i_{2}}) \cdots S(a'_{j_{n_{q}}};a_{i_{n_{q}}}) \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{1}^{h}(t)\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{2}^{h}(0)]_{U} = \int \mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \ e^{-S_{QCD}[U]} \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \ \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} q(a'_{j_{n_{q}}}) \cdots q(a'_{j_{2}}) q(a'_{j_{1}}) \times \bar{q}(a_{i_{1}})\bar{q}(a_{i_{2}}) \cdots \bar{q}(a_{i_{n_{q}}}) = e^{-S_{eff}[U]} \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \ \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} S(a'_{j_{1}};a_{i_{1}}) S(a'_{j_{2}};a_{i_{2}}) \cdots S(a'_{j_{n_{q}}};a_{i_{n_{q}}})$$

• Or write as determinant (quark-quark level contraction)

$$\langle \mathcal{N}_1^h(t)\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^h(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U} \ e^{-\mathcal{S}_{eff}} \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_w} \sum_{k=1}^{N_w} \tilde{w}_h^{\prime(a_1',a_2'\cdots a_{n_q}'),k'} \ \tilde{w}_h^{(a_1,a_2\cdots a_{n_q}),k} \times \det G(\mathbf{a}';\mathbf{a})$$

where

$$G(\mathbf{a}';\mathbf{a})_{j,i} = \begin{cases} S(a'_j;a_i) & a'_j \in \mathbf{a}' \text{ and } a_i \in \mathbf{a} \\ \delta_{a'_j,a_i} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{1}^{h}(t)\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{2}^{h}(0)]_{U} = \int \mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \ e^{-S_{QCD}[U]} \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} q(a'_{j_{n_{q}}}) \cdots q(a'_{j_{2}}) q(a'_{j_{1}}) \times \bar{q}(a_{i_{1}}) \bar{q}(a_{i_{2}}) \cdots \bar{q}(a_{i_{n_{q}}}) = \\ e^{-\mathcal{S}_{eff}[U]} \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_{w}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{w}} \tilde{w}_{h}^{\prime(a'_{1},a'_{2}\cdots a'_{n_{q}}),k'} \tilde{w}_{h}^{(a_{1},a_{2}\cdots a_{n_{q}}),k} \times \\ \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \epsilon^{j_{1},j_{2},\cdots,j_{n_{q}}} \epsilon^{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n_{q}}} S(a'_{j_{1}};a_{i_{1}}) S(a'_{j_{2}};a_{i_{2}}) \cdots S(a'_{j_{n_{q}}};a_{i_{n_{q}}})$$

• Or write as determinant (quark-quark level contraction)

$$\langle \mathcal{N}_1^h(t)\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^h(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U} \ e^{-\mathcal{S}_{eff}} \sum_{k'=1}^{N'_w} \sum_{k=1}^{N_w} \tilde{w}_h^{\prime(a_1',a_2'\cdots a_{n_q}'),k'} \ \tilde{w}_h^{(a_1,a_2\cdots a_{n_q}),k} \times \det G(\mathbf{a}';\mathbf{a})$$

where

$$G(\mathbf{a}';\mathbf{a})_{j,i} = \begin{cases} S(a'_j;a_i) & a'_j \in \mathbf{a}' \text{ and } a_i \in \mathbf{a} \\ \delta_{a'_j,a_i} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Determinant can be evaluated in polynomial number of operations