High Temperature and Density in Lattice QCD: Connection with phenomenology

C. DeTar

University of Utah

August 6-10, 2012

Hadron resonance gas model

- \triangleright Simple (simple-minded) model often used for low T comparison.
- \blacktriangleright Hagedorn: A noninteracting gas of mesons and baryons including resonances.
- \triangleright Include all particles (and resonances) listed in the PDG summary.
- \triangleright Stop at a some cut off mass M.
- \blacktriangleright Interactions are treated only by including resonances
- **If** Should be good for $T \ll m_{\pi}$, the lowest mass.
- If the density of states grows as $dN/dm = C \exp(m/T_c)$ then the partition function diverges for $T > T_c$ (limiting temperature)
- \triangleright Best to switch to quark and gluon degrees of freedom for $T > T_c$.

Hadron resonance gas model

- \blacktriangleright Low temperature limit
- \triangleright An explicit expression for the partition function for mesons/baryons (M/B)
- \blacktriangleright log $\mathcal{Z} = \sum_i \log \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{M}} + \sum_i \log \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{B}}.$
- \blacktriangleright For the *i*th meson or baryon we have

$$
\log \mathcal{Z}_{M_i}^{M/B} = \mp \frac{V d_i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \log(1 \mp z_i e^{-\epsilon_i/T})
$$

=
$$
\frac{V T^3}{2\pi^2} d_i \left(\frac{M_i}{T}\right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\pm 1)^{k+1} \frac{z_i^k}{k^2} K_2(kM_i/T)
$$

 \blacktriangleright d_i is a multiplicity factor.

Ideal gas limit (Stefan-Boltzmann)

- \triangleright The Stefan-Boltzmann gas is often used for comparison at high temperature.
- \blacktriangleright Assumes free, massless quarks and gluons.
- \blacktriangleright Pressure with chemical potential μ_f .

$$
\frac{\rho_{SB}}{T^4} = \frac{8\pi^2}{45} + \frac{7\pi^2}{20} + \sum_{f=u,d,s} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_f}{T} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{\mu_f}{T} \right)^4 \right] ,
$$

Equation of state in general

 \blacktriangleright Energy density and pressure

$$
\varepsilon = \frac{T^2}{V} \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial T}\Big|_{V}
$$

$$
p = T \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \log Z\Big|_{T}
$$

 \triangleright To calculate them separately is more involved. It is more convenient to calculate the interaction measure

$$
I = \varepsilon - 3p = -\frac{T}{V} \frac{d \log Z}{d \log a}.
$$

 \blacktriangleright For the Wilson gauge action we get

$$
I = -T/V(d \log g^2/d \log a) \langle S_G \rangle.
$$

 \triangleright We must subtract the vacuum value to remove a UV divergence. From now on, we assume this has been done and drop the Δ .

$$
\Delta I = I(T) - I(0)
$$

Exercise

The previous slide gives the thermodynamic identities that relate the energy density and pressure to derivatives of the ensemble free energy with respect to temperature and volume, respectively. On a lattice of a fixed number of sites $\mathsf{N}^3_{\mathsf{s}}\times \mathsf{N}_t$, the volume is given in terms of the spatial and temporal lattice constants a_s and a_t by $N_s^3 a_s^3$, and the inverse temperature is given by $a_t N_t$. So we can relate the derivatives in the thermodynamic identities to derivatives with respect to a_s and a_t . To relate these derivatives to the lattice action, one must take care to include the appropriate factors of a_s and a_t in the expression for the lattice action and to remember that the gauge coupling g^2 also depends on the lattice constants.

With these preliminaries in mind, show that

$$
I \equiv \varepsilon - 3p = -T/V(d \log g^2/d \log a) \langle S_G \rangle.
$$

Equation of state in general

► Thermodynamic identity for the pressure log $Z = -pV/T$.

$$
I = \frac{T}{V} \frac{d(pV/T)}{d \log a},
$$

Integrate from low temperature (large $a = a_0$) to high (small a).

$$
p(a)a^{4} - p(a_{0})a_{0}^{4} = -\int_{\log a_{0}}^{\log a} \Delta I(a')(a')^{4} d \log a'.
$$

At low enough T_0 we may take $p(a_0) = 0$.

Exercise

Derive the integral expression for the pressure that was given in the previous slide.

Interaction measure

[HotQCD, Phys. Rev. D80 (2009) 014504]

 \triangleright As the lattice spacing is decreased the peak softens a bit.

Energy density

[HotQCD, Phys. Rev. D80 (2009) 014504]

 \triangleright The vertical bars are at 190-195 MeV. These results are for higher than physical mass and for nonzero lattice spacing.

Entropy density

Speed of sound

 \blacktriangleright Speed of sound

$$
c_s^2 = \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon} = \epsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}(p/\epsilon)}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon} + \frac{p}{\epsilon}.
$$

Nonzero density

- \blacktriangleright We can't simulate directly at $\mu \neq 0$ because the fermion determinant is complex.
- \triangleright For heavy ion collisons, the chemical potentials are small.
- \blacktriangleright Taylor series expansion (2 + 1 flavor case)

$$
\frac{p}{T^4} = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} c_{nm}(T) \left(\frac{\mu_{ud}}{T}\right)^n \left(\frac{\mu_s}{T}\right)^m,
$$

 \blacktriangleright The coefficients are evaluated at $\mu_{ud} = \mu_s = 0$

$$
c_{nm}(T) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{1}{T^3 V} \frac{\partial^{n+m} \log Z}{\partial (\mu_{ud}/T)^n \partial (\mu_s/T)^m} \bigg|_{\mu_{ud,s}=0}
$$

 \blacktriangleright The derivatives are expectation values of combinations of traces of the inverse of the lattice Dirac matrix.

.

Nonzero density

- In heavy ion collisons the strange number density n_s is zero.
- \blacktriangleright Tune $\mu_{\ell} = \mu_{\mu} = \mu_d$ and μ_s to get $n_s = m_B = 0$

[MILC Phys.Rev. D77 (2008) 014503]

- \blacktriangleright s/n_B is the ratio of entropy density to baryon density.
- \triangleright (Often assume isentropic formation, expansion of the plasma.)

Nonzero density

Charm contribution to EOS

- \triangleright Charm might not have time to reach equilibrium in a heavy-ion collision.
- \triangleright Charm effects start to become visible above about $T = 200$ MeV.
- \triangleright Stout and p4 action results are a bit smaller than this.

Fluctuations

 \triangleright In a neutral ensemble, conserved charges still fluctuate about zero.

$$
\delta N_X \equiv N_X - \overline{N_X}
$$

 \triangleright So we define susceptibilities

$$
\chi_2^X = \langle (\delta N_X)^2 \rangle / V T^3
$$

- For $X =$ baryon number B, strangeness S, and electric charge Q.
- \triangleright They are derived from the second-order Taylor coefficients in the expansion of the pressure in terms of chemical potentials.

$$
\chi_2^X \equiv \frac{\chi_2^X}{T^2} = \left. \frac{\partial^2 p / T^4}{\partial \hat{\mu}_{\chi}^2} \right|_{\vec{\mu}=0} ,
$$

$$
\chi_{11}^{XY} \equiv \frac{\chi_{11}^{XY}}{T^2} = \left. \frac{\partial^2 p / T^4}{\partial \hat{\mu}_{X} \partial \hat{\mu}_{Y}} \right|_{\vec{\mu}=0} ,
$$

Fluctuations

[HotQCD arXiv:1203.0784]

- \blacktriangleright Compares the HRG with QCD. The magenta bars and cyan bands show results of two extrapolations to zero lattice spacing.
- \triangleright We see that the HRG agrees reasonably well for B and Q , but not S.

Reach of Lattice QCD

What Lattice QCD has taught us

- \triangleright We have learned a lot qualitatively about QCD in thermal equilibrium at low chemical potential for a few flavors and nonzero quark masses.
- \triangleright We have fairly good control of a variety of some important quantities needed for hydrodynamic modeling.
- \triangleright We have good quantitative predictions for fluctuations in conserved charges.

What Lattice QCD may still teach us

- \triangleright We need better ideas/methods for dealing with higher baryon density.
- \triangleright We hope to learn more about whether the critical endpoint is accessible to experiment.
- \triangleright We expect to learn more about transport properties: viscosity, electric conductivity, etc. This is difficult, though.
- \triangleright We don't yet have a completely satisfactory understanding of what happens at the chiral critical point at low $m_u = m_d$, but this will come.
- \triangleright We expect to learn more about the behavior of the QGP in strong magnetic fields.