High Temperature and Density in Lattice QCD: Deconfining transition

C. DeTar

University of Utah

August 6-10, 2012

Free energy of a static charge

Polyakov loop operator

$$L(\mathbf{x}) = P \exp\left[\int ig A_0(\mathbf{x},\tau) d\tau\right]$$

Expectation value

$$\langle L(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \int [dU] L(\mathbf{x}) \exp[-S_{\mathrm{eff}}(U)] / \int [dU] \exp[-S_{\mathrm{eff}}(U)].$$

This operator builds a static external point source, so the expectation value gives the difference in free energy between the ensemble plus an additional static charge and the unmodified ensemble.

$$\exp(-F_0/T) = \langle L \rangle$$

- ► Actually F₀ = F₀(a, T) depends on the lattice spacing and temperature.
- $F_0(a, T)$ is ultraviolet divergent ($\sim const/a$), just as in QED.
- ▶ Usually, we renormalize so $F_0(T) \equiv F_0(a, T) F_0(a, T_0) + \text{const}$

Exercise

The Wilson fermion action for a fermion of bare mass m is

$$\begin{split} S_{F} &= \sum_{x,x'} \bar{\psi}(x) M(x,x'\psi(x') = \sum_{x} \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x) \\ &- \kappa \sum_{x,\mu} [\bar{\psi}(x)(1+\gamma_{\mu})U_{\mu}(x)\psi(x+\hat{\mu}) + \bar{\psi}(x+\hat{\mu})(1-\gamma_{\mu})U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x)\psi(x)]. \end{split}$$

where $\kappa = 1/(8 + 2ma)$. The fermion propagator is $M^{-1}(x, x')$. Note that $M = 1 - \kappa H$, where H is called the "hopping matrix". For large bare mass (small κ) $[1 - \kappa H]^{-1}$ can be evaluated as a geometric series (hopping parameter expansion). Find the propagator in leading order in κ for a static quark over the time interval [0, t].

The partition function in the presence of a static quark at \mathbf{x} is $\int [dU] \exp(-S) \operatorname{Tr} M^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, 1/T; \mathbf{x}, 0)$ where the trace of the propagator is over color and spin.

So show that $\exp(-F_0/T)$ is proportional to the Polyakov loop operator, where F_0 is the free energy of a static quark, i.e.,the difference in the free energies of the ensembles with the static quark and without.

Free energy of a pair of static charges

Found through

$$\exp[-F(\mathbf{R}, T, a)/T] = \left\langle L(\mathbf{x})L^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{R}) \right\rangle$$

- At zero T this is the same as the static quark potential V(R).
- Confinement $R \to \infty$: $F(R) \to \sigma R$ (area law with area R/T).
- Dynamical quarks screen the charges, so we always have, asymptotically,

$$F(R, T, a) \rightarrow 2F_0(a, T)$$

Static quark free energy

- Free energy of a static quark and antiquark pair V(T, R)
- Consider $R \to \infty$: $V(T, R) \to 2F_q(T)$
- **Pure glue:** $F_q(T)$ is infinite at low T confined
- ▶ Finite at high *T* deconfined. First-order phase transition.



- With sea quarks: $F_q(T)$ is finite at all T.
- If quarks are light enough, only crossover.



Static quark free energy

- ► In the case illustrated below, the quarks are not infinitely massive
- $F_q(T)$ still decreases rapidly with increasing T
- Only a qualitative indicator of deconfinement



Free energy of a charge pair



Karsch, Laermann, Peikert, Nucl.Phys. B605 (2001) 579.

- $N_f = 3$ with fixed $m_q = 0.1$. σ is the string tension.
- ▶ Band of lines: Cornell phenomenological heavy quark potential

Strange quark number susceptibility

- Also a qualitative indicator of deconfinement.
- $\chi_s = \langle N_s^2 \rangle / (VT)$ measures fluctuations in strangeness N_s .
- At high T the strange degrees of freedom are light more fluctuations.



Dimensional Reduction

Euclidean time boundary conditions

$$egin{array}{rcl} A^{a}_{\mu}({f x}, au) &=& A^{a}_{\mu}({f x}, au+1/T) & {
m periodic} \ q({f x}, au) &=& -q({f x}, au+1/T) & {
m antiperiodic} \end{array}$$

• Fourier decomposition in imaginary time τ is

$$\begin{aligned} A^{a}_{\mu}(\mathbf{x},\tau) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\omega_{b,n}\tau) A^{a}_{\mu,n}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } \omega_{b,n} = 2\pi n T \\ q(\mathbf{x},0) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\omega_{f,n}\tau) q_{n}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } \omega_{f,n} = 2\pi (n+\frac{1}{2}) T \end{aligned}$$

Free-field Euclidean mass-shell condition

$$p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 + \omega_n^2 + m^2 = 0.$$

Turning the Euclidean lattice on its side

In a Euclidean world, any direction can be called imaginary time. So swap z and τ and let $E = ip_z$.

Free-field mass-shell condition

$$E^2 = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + \omega_n^2 + m^2.$$

Tower of 3D bosonic fields

$$E_n^2 = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + m_b^2 + (2\pi nT)^2$$

Tower of 3D fermionic fields

$$E_n^2 = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + m_f^2 + [2\pi(n + \frac{1}{2})T]^2$$

- Three-dimensional Euclidean field theory
 - $A_{n,0}^a$ become scalar fields.
 - $A_{n,i}^{a}$ are 3D vector fields.
 - ► *q_n* are effectively massive fermion fields.
- At high T all fermion fields have high mass regardless of m_f .
- Only the n = 0 bosons are massless when $m_b = 0$.

Consequences of dimensional reduction

- Confining zero-temperature 3D Euclidean Gauge-Higgs field theory!
- ▶ 3D coupling $g\sqrt{T}$.
- Area law for Wilson loop. Corresponds to space-like Wilson loop in 4D.
- Confinement effects for momenta less than g^2T .
- Confined states in 3D correspond to spatial screening in 4D.

$$\langle A(0)B(\mathbf{r})
angle
ightarrow \exp(-\mu r)/r$$

- At high T for $A = \bar{q}\Gamma q$ we have $\mu \approx 2\pi T$.
- ▶ QCD exhibits spatial confinement even at the highest *T*!

Consequences of dimensional reduction

Thermodynamic potential in perturbation theory

$$\Omega(T) = c_0(T) + \alpha_s c_1(T) + \alpha_s^{3/2} c_{3/2}(T) + \alpha_s^2 c_2(T) + \dots$$

- Nonperturbative contributions start at order \alpha_s^3.
- Volume of momentum space with $p < g^2 T$ goes like $g^6 T^3$.



Can hadrons survive in the quark plasma?

- Static quark pair might still be bound: charmonium?
- Could residual confinement effects stabilize resonances?
- Wouldn't high temperatures destroy all resonances?

Spectral functions

Consider the thermal correlator

$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x},0)\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{y}, au)
ight
angle$$

Do spatial Fourier transform (conserved momentum)

$$C(\boldsymbol{p}, \tau, T) = \left\langle \mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, 0) \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{p}, \tau) \right\rangle$$

Spectral decomposition

$$C(\boldsymbol{p},\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \,\rho(\omega,\boldsymbol{p},T) \mathcal{K}(\omega,\tau,T)$$

Kernel function

$$K(\omega, \tau, T) = rac{\cosh \omega (\tau - 1/2T)}{\sinh(\omega/2T)}.$$

• The spectral density $\rho(\omega, p, T)$ has peaks in ω at resonances.

Spectral functions



[Jakovac, Petreczky, Petrov, Velytsky, Phys.Rev. D75 (2007) 014506]

• Perhaps charmonium survives at $1.2T_c$ but not at $2.4T_c$?.

Numerical challenge

Recall

$$C(p,\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \,\rho(\omega,p,T) K(\omega,\tau,T)$$

- ► Note that $C(p, \tau, T)$ is measured only for discrete $\tau = 0, 1, ..., N_{\tau} 1$
- But $\rho(\omega, p, T)$ has values for a continuous ω .
- Ill-posed problem.
- ► Need high precision and MANY imaginary time points. (Lattice with a_t ≪ a_s also good!)
- Add extra constraints. A popular one goes by the name maximum entropy.
- Used also to extract transport coefficients: electrical conductivity, shear and bulk viscosity, important for hydrodynamics.