Parity Violating Electron Scattering Experiments

Caryn Palatchi NNPSS IU 7/19/2024

What do we think we know fundamentally?



https://sites.google.com/site/joshlimlinganphysicslife/particle-physics/thefourforces

Standard Model Particles					
	Ι	Ш	Ш	1	
mass→ charge→ spin→ name→	2.4 MeV 2/3 1/2 up	1.27 GeV ² / ₃ ¹ / ₂ C charm	171.2 GeV 2/3 1/2 top (truth)	o o 1 Y photon (electromagnetic)	
Quarks	4.8 MeV -1/3 1/2 down	104 MeV -1/3 S 1/2 S strange	4.2 GeV -1/3 1/2 bottom (beauty)	0 0 1 gluon (strong force)	
	$^{<2.2 \text{ eV}} \overset{\circ}{V_2} \overset{V_2}{V_2} V$	$\overset{<0.17 \text{ MeV}}{\overset{0}{\overset{1}{\overset{1}{\overset{1}{\overset{1}{\overset{1}{\overset{1}{$	<15.5 MeV 0 1/2 1/2 tau neutrino	^{91.2 GeV} 0 ⁰ Z ¹ weak force	ces)
Leptons	o.511 MeV -1 y₂ electron	105.7 MeV -1 ¹ / ₂ μ muon	1.777 GeV -1 1/2 T tau	^{80.4 GeV} ^{±1} W [±] ¹ weak force	Bosons (For
				115-185 Gev ±1 0 higgs boson	



What do we <u>NOT</u> know?

It's a Dark World



Caryn Palatchi, NNPSS IU 4

CDF collaboration at Fermilab announces most precise ever measurement of W boson mass to be in tension with the **Standard Model**

D0 I

L3

D0 II

April 7, 2022

- **CDF** collaboration
- 2022
- Most precise measurement to date of the mass of the W boson

M_w 80,433 +/- 9 MeV/c².

https://news.fnal.gov/2022/04/cdf-collaborationat-fermilab-announces-most-precise-evermeasurement-of-w-boson-mass/



SM



Tweet

f Share

News

Email



Anomalies

Andreas Crivellin DIS2024 Based on the Nature Physics Review arXiv:2309.03870

 β $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell \quad e, \mu(+b)$ a_{μ} V_{e} $pp \rightarrow ee$ Combined FCC-hh nuclear **B** meson EW LHC muon significance of at least 3c ≈100GeV ≈10TeV ≈0.1 GeV ≈1GeV ≈10GeV ≈1TeV $M \rightarrow mm' R(D^{(*)}) m_W YY$ *X*17 jj(-jj)

• a_{μ} : Anomalous magnetic moment of the muon: [CDM-3, Electron g-2]

- X17: 17 MeV anomaly in excited nuclei decays [LSND & MiniBooNE]
- β: Anomalies related to β decays [neutron lifetime beam vs bottle]
- v_e: Electron neutrino anomalies [LSND & MiniBooNE]
- b→sµµ & b→cτν: Semi-lepton B meson decays [LHCb, ATLIS, CMS]
- m_w: W mass [electroweak precision observables]
- eµ(+b): Multi-lepton anomalies [ATLAS]
- YY: New resonances at 95GeV, 152GeV and 650GeV [Hints for new Scalars]
- jj(-jj): Di-di-jets [ATLAS, CMS]
- pp→ee: non-resonant di-electrons [CMS, ATLAS, HERA]

Leptoquarks with tau-loops
Di-quarks (tau loops)
Z'

- ∠ 7-7' mixi
- •Z-Z' mixing
- •SU(2) triplet scalar
- •2HDM
- •Dark neutron decays
- •Vector-like quarks
- •MSSM

•H+

How did we get here?

Our history informs our present and future.

What we do currently in research has its origins in 20th century experiments.

History : Weak Interaction

- 1930's Weak Interaction was needed to explain nuclear Beta decay
- A problem: 1930, nuclear beta decay A->B+e-, E conservation violated
- Pauli suggests a silent, neutral particle particle (neither the neutron or neutrino had yet been discovered)
- 1933 Fermi the first theory of the weak forces to explain beta decay-contact interactionrequiring no mediating particle (good approximation at low E)
- Widely recognized, at high E this approach would fail needed theory with mediators (*intermediate vector bosons*)
- 1950's Discovery of Parity Violation in the Weak Interaction
- What rules must be obeyed?
- Prior to 1956 taken for granted that parity is conserved in the weak interactions
- A paradox: ' τ - θ ' puzzle, identical particles but distinct parity

 $\theta^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} + \pi^{0} (P=1)$ $\tau^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} + \pi^{0} + \pi^{0} \text{ or } \pi^{+} + \pi^{+} + \pi^{-} (P=-1)$

- Lee and Yang in 1956 suggest τ and θ the same (K⁺) and parity not conserved the weak decay channel. Searched literature for evidence of parity conservation. Found none. Proposed experiment
- C.S. Wu carried out the experiment : famous Co-60 experiment

Fundamental Symmetries: Parity Parity transformation $x, y, z \rightarrow -x, -y, -z$



Mirror

History of Weak Interaction: Parity Violation

- Principle of conservation of parity had been accepted physics doctrine for decades...
- 1950s: Lee and Yang ask C.S. Wu has anyone ever proven the nucleus of a particle always behaves in a symmetrical way?
- Goal: Test Parity Conservation
- Wu devised an experiment:

Parity transformation $x, y, z \rightarrow -x, -y, -z$ $\vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}, \quad \vec{L} \rightarrow \vec{L}, \quad \vec{S} \rightarrow \vec{S}$

- *How does angular momentum behave under a parity transformation?*
- L = r x p
- $r \rightarrow -r$
- p = mv, $v = dr/dt \rightarrow d(-r)/dt$: $p \rightarrow -p$
- $L = r x p \rightarrow (-r)x(-p) = r x p = L ! : L \rightarrow L$
- Angular momentum doesn't reverse sign under a parity transformation,





https://diversity.lbl.gov/2015/05/19/chien-shiung-wuphysicist-who-helped-change-the-world/



History of Weak Interaction: Wu experiment

Parity Transformation: Reverse space + Keep Spin Same = Reverse spin + Keep Space Same



1956: C.S. Wu carried out famous Co-60 experiment – test Parity conservation

- Nuclei spin <u>aligned</u>, beta(e-) decay, recorded the direction of the emitted electrons.
- Observed: nuclei give off more e- in the direction of the nuclear spin
- Proved an exception to the principle Parity conservation of, a fundamental symmetry, earned a Nobel Prize for Lee and Yang in 1957
- Established Parity violation signature of the weak force.

History : Weak Interaction

- The overthrow of parity had a profound effect on physics
- Parity violation signature of the weak force.

۲

neutrino - Mid 50's - discovered all neutrinos are left-handed and all antineutrinos are right handed
 Electric charge determines strength of electric force



History : Weak Interaction

1960's – Glashow- Weinberg-Salam formulated theory unifying the weak and EM interaction – electroweak interaction – required W⁺⁻ and Z⁰ - weak neutral currents

- 1961 Glashow unification of the weak and EM interaction electroweak interaction – required W⁺⁻ and Z⁰
- 1967 Weinburg and Salam formulated Glashow's model as a spontaneously broken gauge theory. γ massless, W⁺⁻ and Z⁰ heavy Higgs mechanism

Electroweak Interaction

Until the 1970's, all known weak interactions could be explained by W^{+/-} exchange

Weak neutral currents are proposed under electroweak unification (late '60s, Weinberg Salam Glashow, but others, also...)



 \Rightarrow The weak mixing angle θ_W introduced

1973 - the first observation of the neutrino reaction v_{μ} + e- \rightarrow v_{μ} + e-

Gargamelle bubble chamber uncovers

 $\nu_{\mu}~e^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ events in 1973, more convincingly

in 1976. Suggested existence of the Z⁰



This demonstrated the existence of the neutral current (Z⁰) but not its nature

	Left	Right
γ Charge	$q = 0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{3}, \pm \frac{2}{3}$	$q = 0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{3}, \pm \frac{2}{3}$
W Charge	$T = \pm \frac{1}{2}$	zero
Z Charge		

- What is the gauge structure of the underlying theory?
- Is this the electroweak unification of GWS?
- Another EW unification?
- A new interaction?

Landmark experiment (late 1970s): parity-violating electron scattering

History of Weak Interaction: nature of Z⁰

- Theory GWS electroweak unification model predictions of Z⁰ Couplings determined by the "weak mixing angle" θ_w Made possible firm prediction for mass of Z⁰ : M_w=M_z cosθ_w In the early days it was hard to estimate θ_w - hence the Z⁰ mass was quite uncertain
- 91.2 GeV 0 1 ZeeV 0 1 geek force 80.4 GeV ± 1 weak force

- Indirect <u>1978 E122 at SLAC</u>
 - Parity Violating Electron Scattering Experiment
 - verified parity non-conservation $sin^2\theta_w = 0.2 + .03$

Reverse space + Keep Spin Same = Reverse spin + Keep Space Same



<u>1978 – E122 at SLAC</u>

1st Parity Violating Electron Scattering Experiment

What is a PVES experiment?

Introduction to electron scattering

Electron scattering: electromagnetic interaction, described as an exchange of a virtual photon.



Q²: 4-momentum of the virtual photon



Elastic Form Factors and Extended Targets

The point-like scattering probability for elastic scattering is modified to account for finite target extent by introducing the "form factor"





Elastic Electron-Nucleon Scattering

For targets with spin, must also account for magnetic moment

Electric and Magnetic form factors $G_E(Q^2)$ and $G_M(Q^2)$

$$\left|\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{Rosenbluth}} = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{Mott}} \left\{\frac{(G_E^2 + \tau G_M^2)}{1 + \tau} + 2\tau G_M^2 \tan^2(\theta / 2)\right\}\right|$$

With no structure

- **G**_E = **1** (proton charge)
- $G_M = 1$ (magnetic moment = μ_B).

At $Q^2 = 0$, the probe does not resolve the target $G_E(0) = 1$ (electric charge) $G_M(0) = \mu$ (magnetic moment in units of μ_B) Proton (and neutron magnetic) form-factors follow dipole form (exponential charge distribution)



Electron Scattering and Parity-violation





 A_{PV} in Deep Inelastic Scattering from liquid Deuterium Q²~1 GeV²



Inclusive measurement detect scattered electron only



• Rapid helicity-flip change sign of e⁻ polarization

- •electro-optic Pockels cell in laser optics
- •NOW: up to 1kHz measurement over helicity reversal



• High polarized luminosity laser-driven photoemission from GaAs cathode

First developed for E122
NOW: superlattice cathodes for ~90% polarization, high QE and lifetime



- Helicity-correlated beam asymmetries
 - •NOW: $A_Q \sim$ few ppb, $\Delta x \sim 1$ nm
 - both precision configuration and feedback



Beam Monitors

- •Measure helicity-dependent changes in current and position
- •NOW: usually RF antenna or RF resonant cavity
- Precision: Q ~ 30 ppm , x ~ 1 micron at 250 Hz

Fast Analysis

• Feedback to source to control beam asymmetries



• High-Power Cryogenic Target

- 30 cm long for high luminosity
- •NOW: power >2300 W, stability better than 40 ppm at 250 Hz
- •FUTURE: 1.5 meters, 4 kW, stability better than 25 ppm at 1kHz



• e-beam Polarimetry

- Møller polarimeter
- •NOW: Mott ~1%, Møller ~1%, Compton ~0.7% (continuous)
- FUTURE: all three methods aim for 0.5%



Magnetic Spectrometer

directs scattered flux to background-free region
defines / calibrates kinematic acceptance



• Integrating Detection

- integrate all signal during helicity window
- measures high rate (>100 kHz) with no deadtime
- •NOW: ~6 GHz
- •FUTURE: ~500 GHz

PVeS Verifies the "Standard Model" (1978)

Indirect

Parity Non-Conservation in Inelastic Electron Scattering, C.Y. Prescott et. al, 1978

A_{PV} ~ 100 ± 10 ppm

Definitive answer on gauge structure of electroweak interaction

	Left	Right	
γ Charge	$q = 0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{3}, \pm \frac{2}{3}$	$q = 0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{3}, \pm \frac{2}{3}$	
W Charge	$T = \pm \frac{1}{2}$	zero	
Z Charge	$T-q\sin^2\theta_W$	$-q\sin^2\theta_w$	

• GWS awarded the Nobel prize in 1979

The Nobel Prize in Physics 1979 was awarded jointly to Sheldon Lee Glashow, Abdus Salam and Steven Weinberg "for their contributions to the theory of the unified weak and electromagnetic interaction between elementary particles, including, inter alia, the prediction of the weak neutral current".

Direct

• 1983 – CERN made **direct** observation of the W(M_w =81±5GeV/c²) and Z(M_z =95±3GeV/c²) E122 at SLAC





Blue Print Parity Violating Electron Scattering

lark ener 68.3%

PVES has become a precision tool



Broad program studying the structure of protons and nuclei, and searching for new (beyond Standard Model) physics

Searches for New Neutral Currents



 Q^e_W Weak charge of the electron

- Perform ultra-precise measurements sensitive to new parity-violating interactions
- Measure the **weak charge of the electron** to extremely high precision (E158 & Moller)

SLAC- E158: Weak charge of the electron



First Measurement of the electron-electron weak

interaction $A_{PV} = (-131 \pm 14 \pm 10) ppb$

$$\frac{\delta(\sin^2\theta_W)}{\sin^2\theta_W} \sim 0.5\%$$

 $egin{array}{ccc} {\sf LEP200} & {\sf E158~Reach} \ \Lambda_{
m VV}^{
m ee} \sim 17.7~{
m TeV} & \Lambda_{
m RR-LL}^{
m ee} \sim 17~{
m TeV} \end{array}$

High Precision of Moller: improve E158 by a factor of 5

sin²0...(u)

The weak charge of the electron

Search for Deviations from the Standard Model

Interference term between the electromagnetic and weak amplitudes. Parity-violating asymmetry is measured by comparing left and right helicity state and relates directly to the electron weak charge and weak mixing angle

$$A_{PV} = \frac{\sigma_R - \sigma_L}{\sigma_R + \sigma_L} \implies Q_W^e \implies \sin^2 \theta_W$$

Extreme Precision Moller Measurement

- A_{PV} 35.6ppb to 0.73 ppb precision
- $\sin^2 \theta_W$: ±0.00026(stat)±0.00013(sy
- Mass Reach scales up to 47TeV

 $Q_W^e = 1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W$

Defining Mass "Reach" of Precision Experiment

Need model independent way to:

- Quantify the effects of new high energy dynamics in low E processes
- Translate high precision at low E into high energy regime
- Express the "reach" of precision experiment

Low energy new NC interactions $(Q^2 << M_x^2)$ Heavy mediators = contact interactions



For each fermion and handedness combination, reach characterized by mass scale Λ , coupling g

$$\mathcal{L}_{f_1 f_2} = \sum_{i,j=L,R} \frac{(g_{ij}^{12})^2}{\Lambda_{ij}^2} \bar{f}_{1i} \gamma_\mu f_{1i} \bar{f}_{2j} \gamma_\mu f_{2j}$$

 $\frac{\text{Moller Experiment Example}}{\text{Sensitivity: } 2.3\% \text{ uncertainty gives}} \\ \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{|g_{RR}^2 - g_{LL}^2|}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}G_F |\Delta Q_W^e|}} = 7.5 \text{TeV}$

on Mass Reach:

Conventional "mass limits" for new contact interaction: assume coupling with compositeness scale $g^2=4\pi$

Gives mass reach scale of Λ =47TeV

Running of $\sin^2\theta_W$





• Atomic Parity Violation (¹³³Cs) Theory challenging (atomic)

Neutrino DIS

Controversial interpretation (QCD)

- e-e & e-p PV Elastic Scattering statistics limited, theory robust
- e-D PV-DIS axial-vector quark coupling

PVeS at low energies provides a method for searching for *new* neutral currents

Recent Weak Interaction measurements



- Recent experiments continue to measure the "weak mixing angle" θ_{w}
- Including an upcoming experiment called:
 MULLER
- Calculating θ_w to increasingly high precision still currently stands as a major challenge for any theory going beyond the Standard Model
- Measuring θ_w in different energy regimes stands as a test of the Standard Model

PVES Experiments: Probing Weak Interaction



Thin neutron skin

TI

Thick neutron skin $R_n - R_p = 0.283 \pm 0.071$ fm

Experimental Overview











CEBAF is the ONLY operating facility in the world where such an experiment could be attempted

MELLER PVES Measurement Purpose

Extend the reach of new physics beyond the Standard Model





$$\sigma \propto |\mathbf{M}_{\gamma} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{weak}}|^2 \sim |\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}|^2 + 2\mathbf{M}_{\gamma} (\mathbf{M}_{\text{weak}})^*$$

$$A_{\rm PV} = \frac{\sigma_R - \sigma_L}{\sigma_R + \sigma_L} \sim \frac{\frac{\gamma}{2^{\circ}}}{\left| \frac{\gamma}{\gamma} \right|^2} \propto \frac{|M_Z|}{|M_{\gamma}|}$$



- Moller scattering is electron-electron scattering
- Longitudinally polarized beam is incident on an unpolarized target
- Change sign of longitudinal polarization
- Measure fractional rate difference
- Interference term between the electro-magnetic and weak amplitudes gives rise to parity-violating asymmetry which relates directly to the electron weak charge and weak mixing angle

$$A_{PV} \Rightarrow Q_W^e \Rightarrow sin^2 heta_W$$

Mass reach scale of $\Lambda = 47 TeV$



Design for precision beyond E158: Moller experiment



Mass Reach is ~600X the mass of the W mediator, ~400X the Higgs Mass

Statistics and Systematics: Summary

Error Source	Fractional Error (%)
Statistical	2.1
Absolute Normalization of the Kinematic Factor	0.5
Beam (second order)	0.4
Beam polarization	0.4
$e + p(+\gamma) \rightarrow e + X(+\gamma)$	0.4
Beam (position, angle, energy)	0.4
Beam (intensity)	0.3
$e + p(+\gamma) \rightarrow e + p(+\gamma)$	0.3
$\gamma^{(*)} + p \rightarrow (\pi, \mu, K) + X$	0.3
Transverse polarization	0.2
Neutral background (soft photons, neutrons)	0.1
Total systematic	1.1

Beam	Assumed	Accuracy of	Required 2 kHz	Required cumulative	Systematic
Property	Sensitivity	Correction	random fluctuations	helicity-correlation	contribution
Intensity	1 ppb / ppb	~1%	< 1000 ppm	< 10 ppb	$\sim 0.1~{ m ppb}$
Energy	-1.4 ppb / ppb	$\sim \! 10\%$	< 108 ppm	< 0.7 ppb	$\sim 0.05~{ m ppb}$
Position	0.85 ppb / nm	$\sim \! 10\%$	$<47~\mu{ m m}$	$< 1.2 \; \mathrm{nm}$	$\sim 0.05~{ m ppb}$
Angle	8.5 ppb / nrad	$\sim 10\%$	$< 4.7 \ \mu \mathrm{rad}$	< 0.12 nrad	$\sim 0.05~{ m ppb}$

39

Obtaining High Precision of Moller

 Beam from source to target: An opto-electric device called a Pockels cell controls the spin of the electron beam by switching the polarization state of the laser beam generating it. There's a new type of crystal, RTP (Rubidium Titanyle Phosphate), which can switch states extremely quickly



Target

MOLLER goal: up to 85 A on 150 cm LHw - 5 kW power

Build on Qweak success using CFD for target design

Silviu Covrig, 2012 DOE Early Career Award



Target



Fast Reversals: Statistical Uncertainty & Helicity Flipping

Choosing your data rate wisely is important



- Helicity switching: Time "windows" are generated in the electron bunch train at a selected flip rate, with the sign of the beam's polarization in each window assigned on a pseudo-random basis.
- Frequency selection for helicity flipping noise, widths, statistical errors
- MOLLER is designed around a flip rate of at least 2 kHz ... hmmm this is hard to do...
- MOLLER Goal: flip polarization within 10 μs

Motivated new Pockels cell design!

Precision & Systematic Error Goals

Any change in the polarized beam, correlated to helicity reversal, can be a potential source for a false asymmetry

$$A_{raw} = A_{det} - A_Q + \alpha \Delta_E + \Sigma \beta_i \Delta x_i$$

Expectation: Helicity-correlated changes in the beam are expected to contribute ~0.14 ppb

Goals

- The beam trajectory must remain unchanged with respect to the sign of the electron beam polarization at the sub-nanometer level (0.5nm,0.05 nrad in the experimental Hall)
- Electron beam position differences in the injector, before acceleration, must approach ~ 20nm.
- Helicity-correlated laser spot-size asymmetries ~10-4
- Achieving these goals all depends on the laser and Pockels Cell

Intensity Asymmetry



Position Difference





Intensity Asymmetry from Laser Polarization Asymmetry



Polarizing element (i.e. photocathode) Position Difference from Polarization Gradient



Spot-size Asymmetries from 2nd moment Polarization Gradient



• The electron beam must be very **symmetric** to make this comparison, both forwards and backwards facing electron beams must have the same intensity and the same direction, position, and spot-size.

High Luminosity, High Rate... High Acceptance



How do you maximize the azimuthal acceptance of the spectrometer?

Idea: 50% Azimuth, 100% Acceptance

Odd number of octants: accept CM[60°,120°] so you always get one of the electrons from each event





Caryn Palatchi, NNPSS IU 46





- Møller and e-p electrons:
 - radial and azimuthal segmentation
 - quartz with air lightguides & PMTs
- Pions and muons:
 - quartz sandwich behind shielding
- Luminosity monitors
 - beam & target density fluctuations

azimuthal defocusing in radial fields, so detector must cover full azimuth



Detector Plane Segmentation

Quartz Cerenkov detectors will have radial and azimuthal segmentation



Azimuthal defocusing – Different ϕ , different θ_{CM} bins



Main Moller peak in Region 5



Proposed Segmentation



28 azimuthal channels per radial bin Moller peak (region 5): 84 azimuthal channels per radial bin 224 total channels Rate per channel ~ few MHz – GHz (overall rate ~ 159 GHz)



MOLLER Status

Broad range of technical challenges

- Full Azimuthal coverage with to ~5° lab angle
 - Magnet construction and engineering
 - collimation
 - backgrounds
- calibration procedures
- I.5 m LH₂ target
 - up to 5 kW power
 - Boiling / density fluctuations <25ppm
- Polarized Source
 - Flip at 2 kHz, 10 µs deadtime
 - beam position stable (<1nm) with reversal
 - Improved slow reversal to cancel beam asymmetries
- Robust 0.4% beam polarimetry
 - Compton
 - Iron foil and atomic hydrogen target Møller
- Detector development
 - resolution, rad hard
 - Ancillary (background and tracking)

Collaboration:

~100 scientists, ~ 30 institutions, expertise from Qweak, E158, HAPPEX, PREX, A4, G0



MOLLER Precision: BSM Physics Search

Beam electrons may interact with target electrons by exchanging a mediator particle:



Other PVES Experiments







10000

LHC

Dark Z

Dark photon, couples to Dark Sector massive particles but with small E&M couplings to known matter

511keV line in galactic core, Pamela high energy positron excess, $(g-2)_{\mu}$ discrepancy

New model: a dark Z_{d^0} with no coupling to the 3 known generations of matter, but mass mixing with the Z^0



Davoudiasl, Lee, Marciano Phys.Rev.Lett. 109 (2012) 031802 Phys.Rev. D85 (2012) 115019 Phys.Rev. D92 (2015) 5, 055005

P2 at MESA / Mainz

Qweak: proton structure F contributes ~30% to asymmetry, ~2% to $\delta(Q_W^p)/Q_W^p$ Negligible for significantly lower Q²

Detector

z/mm

Shield

e- from Møller scattering

500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500

x/mr

Target

-1000 -500

0

Solenoid

2000

1500

1000

500

-500

-1000

-1500

-2000



- E_{beam} = 155 MeV, 25-45°
- Q² = 0.0048 GeV²
- 60 cm target, 150 uA, 10⁴ hours
- $A_{PV} = -29 \text{ ppb to } 1.5\% (0.44 \text{ppb})$ • $\delta(\sin^2\theta_W) = 0.00031 (0.13\%)$

- Development underway
- Funding approved
- start 2020+

SOLID

PV-DIS: controlling hadronic contributions requires precise kinematics and broad range



Strategy: sub-1% precision over broad kinematic range: sensitive Standard Model test and detailed study of hadronic structure contributions Requires 0.4% *e*- polarimetry



PV-DIS at EIC

EIC can access interesting Q² region with PV-DIS - no past or planned measurements

Assumptions:

- Dedicated deuterium run
- This measure will average over ²H polarization
- 200 days of beam time
- Int. Lumi. ~267 fb⁻¹(incl. eff.)



Simulated using "Day-1 EIC detector" described in ePHENIX LOI



Polarimetry ~0.5% for highest energy, luminosity
Differential luminosity precision ~5x10⁻⁴

New Physics Complementarity

mass reach



PREX

Weak Charge Distribution of Heavy Nuclei



- Neutron skin thickness is highly sensitive to the pressure in neutron-rich matter.
- The greater the pressure, the thicker the skin as neutrons are pushed out against surface tension.

neutron proton **Electric charge** 1 0 Weak charge ~0.08 1 for spin-0 nucleus $A_{\rm PV} \approx \frac{G_{\rm F}Q^2}{4\pi\alpha\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_{\rm W}}{F_{\rm ch}}$

Knowledge of r_n highly model dependent, not well constrained by robust measurements Mean-field model predictions of A_{PV} correlate with the neutron skin of a heavy nucleus



R_n of ²⁰⁸Pb: Equation of state for neutron-rich nuclear matter

Density Dependence of Symmetry Energy

A_{PV} from ²⁰⁸Pb provides a clean measure of L, testing the description of nuclear matter



Isovector properties are not well measured. Models informed mostly by measurements of properties sensitive to p+n.





cooling mechanisms (URCA or not)

Measuring Neutron Skins at JLab



PREX (208Pb)

- important check on nuclear structure data set
- uniform nuclear matter
- terrestrial laboratory for n-star matter

CREX (48Ca)

- isovector probe in moderate size system
- finite size effects
- Within reach of microscopic calculations

Spring 2019: PREX (3% APV, rn to 0.06 fm) CREX (2.5% APV, rn to 0.02 fm)



Summary



PVES Experiments

New challenges arise with increasing precision. The experiments are hard, but worth it.

Unprecedented precision enabled by technological advances, preparing for the next generation of PVES experiments

Electroweak Physics with PVES is a powerful component of the low energy fundamental symmetries program

P2, SOLID, MOLLER: Future Flagship experiments for electron beam facilities
 Search for new interactions from 100 MeV to 10s of TeV
 Neutron skin provides a crucial check on nuclear structure theory

A rich experimental program is envisioned over the next 10 years at Jefferson Lab and Mainz MESA facility